

Outcomes Committee Minutes

Monday, September 10, 2018

L 201

3:00 – 4:30pm

Type of Meeting: *Regular*

Note Taker: *Wendy Stout*

Committee Members:

Glenn Haller, Faculty co-Chair
 Meeta Goel, Administrative Co-chair
 Svetlana Deplazes, Research Analyst
 LaDonna Trimble, Student Services Dean
 Stacey Adams, Faculty Division Rep
 Tiesha Klundt, Faculty Area Rep
 Gary Heaton-Smith, Faculty Division Rep
 Cindy Hendrix, Faculty Division Rep
 Cynthia Lehman, Faculty Division Rep
 Scott Lee, Faculty Area Rep
 Tim Lynskey, Faculty Division Rep
 Karen Heinzman, Faculty Division Rep
 Candace Martin, Faculty Division Rep
 Mary Rose Toll, Faculty Division Rep
 Joe Owens, Faculty Division Rep
 Wendy Stout, Faculty Division Rep
 Eugenie Trow, Faculty Division Rep
 Stephen Langjahr, Faculty Division Rep
 Nathan Dillon, Adjunct Rep

| Items | Person | Action |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|---|
| I. Approval of Agenda | | <p><u>Action Taken:</u> Moved and Approved</p> <p><u>Items added:</u></p> |
| II. Opening Comments from the Chairs | <i>Glenn/ Meeta</i> | <p><u>Action Taken:</u></p> <p>Glenn: Glenn is not getting revised courses to put on the agenda. There are bunch of courses to go through process and we will get them. Glenn now has the ability to approve in CurricUNET once the committee approves.</p> <p>Glenn was told that this committee will have the keys to eLumen. As soon as we can work with it this committee will do so and check that everything is on the right track and ready. Then this committee will need to set up training. Make sure that courses are getting in for revision.</p> |

| | | |
|--|---------------------|---|
| | | <p>Meeta: There was a negative perception made about outcomes committee. Nathan stated that he received negative feedback during his division meeting, Stating that faculty feel that Outcomes just generates extra work. Glenn stated another problem is coming from people who were having a hard time getting course and programs through this committee in the spring. Meeta has heard that Outcomes is being blamed for eLumen even though it is not on this committee at this time. Nathan also states that faculty have shown a disappointment because all the data we collected in weave is lost. The faculty did the work and with nothing to show. So, why should the faculty believe in eLumen? Meeta stated that maybe Ed needs to hear these complaints. We should stop the miss information.</p> <p>Glenn: Should I go to the division meetings to dissolve the miss information? Some members feel that it would be good and others feel it is not needed</p> <p>Meeta asked Glenn to the College Planning Retreat.</p> <p>Stacy-Outcomes in Weave are lost and we need to move forward. 2017-2018 data is a new starting point but what was done with the data from this year.</p> <p>Glenn by putting in the 2017-2018 data in we can show what eLumen can do.</p> <p>Meeta- we also need this for QFE so we should put the 2017-2018 data in.</p> <p><u>Follow Up Items:</u></p> |
| <p>III. Approval of Minutes</p> | <p><i>Glenn</i></p> | <p><u>Action Taken:</u> Moved and Approved with correction of typos</p> <p><u>Follow Up Items:</u></p> |
| <p>IV. Informational Item – Outcomes Committee Schedule</p> <p>Sept. 24, 2018, Oct. 8, 2018, Oct. 22, 2018, Nov. 26, 2018,</p> <p>Feb. 11, 2019, Feb. 25, 2019, Mar. 11, 2019, Mar. 25, 2019, Apr. 8, 2019, Apr. 22, 2019, May 13, 2019,</p> | <p><i>Glenn</i></p> | <p><u>Issues Discussed:</u> Next meeting will be in BE 324</p> <p><u>Action Taken:</u></p> <p><u>Follow Up Items:</u></p> |
| <p>V. Action Items – Consent Approvals</p> | | <p><u>Issues Discussed:</u> Moved and Approved</p> |

| | | |
|--|----------------|---|
| GEOG 102, GEOG 105, GEOG 106 | | <u>Follow Up Items:</u> |
| <p>VI. Action Items – New or Substantial Approvals</p> <p>AS - Computer Engineering ENGR 110 AA-Arts and Humanities – Option III</p> | | <p><u>Issues Discussed:</u> AS - Computer Engineering- Moved and Approved ENGR 110- Moved and Approved AA-Arts and Humanities – Option III- Moved and approved with the following change to both PLOs “Multiple choice test/quiz, or, essay test/quiz in Multiple choice test/quiz, or, essay test/quiz in” to Multiple choice test/quiz, or, essay in. also change CHIN 202 (SLO N?A) to CHIN 202 (SLO N/A)</p> <p><u>Follow Up Items:</u></p> |
| <p>VII. Action Items – Pre Approvals</p> <p>AAT - Elementary Teacher Education</p> | | <p><u>Issues Discussed:</u> AAT - Elementary Teacher Education</p> <p>Tabled at this time at this time. As the issues that were holding the course up have been determined</p> <p><u>Follow Up Items:</u></p> |
| <p>VIII. Discussion/Action Item – eLumen implementation questions</p> | Tim/ Nathan | <p><u>Issues Discussed:</u></p> <p><u>Follow Up Items:</u></p> |
| <p>IX. All College Planning Retreat and Outcomes and Program review</p> | Meeta | <p>The all college Planning Retreat is on September 28th. A draft schedule was sent out. Someone should be there from each department. College is moving away from the strategic master plan so we need to get back to aligning our work to those goals. Meeta reviewed the schedule. Discussion was had about the schedule and why this meeting is important.</p> |
| <p>X. Discussion/Action Item – Action Plans</p> | Glenn | <p><u>Issues Discussed:</u> Yes we are still doing action plans and we need to get the word done. Action plans are supposed to be due on 9/30 for the 2017-2018 year. An email will be sent out in the next few days.</p> <p><u>Follow Up Items:</u></p> |
| <p>NEXT MEETING DATE: 9/24/2018</p> | | |
| | | |