Los Angeles County Lancaster, California

> Report on Audit June 30, 2011

Table of Contents June 30, 2011

Independent Auditors' Report	1
Management's Discussion and Analysis	3
Basic Financial Statements	
Statement of Net Assets.	9
Statement of Revenues, Expenses, and Changes in Net Assets	10
Statement of Cash Flows.	11
Statement of Fiduciary Net Assets	13 14
Statement of Changes in Fiduciary Net Assets	14
Notes to Financial Statements	15
Required Supplementary Information	
Schedule of Postemployment Healthcare Benefits Funding Progress	32
Schedule of Employer Contributions.	
Note to Required Supplementary Information.	34
Supplementary Information	
History and Organization.	35
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	36
Schedule of State Financial Assistance – Grants.	
Schedule of Workload Measures for State General Apportionment Annual (Actual) Attendance	38
Reconciliation of Annual Financial and Budget Report with Audited Fund Balances	39
Note to Supplementary Information.	40
Other Independent Auditors' Reports	
Independent Auditors' Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance	
and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with	
Government Auditing Standards	41
Material Effect on Each Major Program and On Internal Control Over Compliance in Accordance	
With OMB Circular A-133	43
Independent Auditors' Report on State Compliance	45
Findings and Recommendations	
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs	
Section 1 – Summary of Auditors' Results.	47
Section 2 – Financial Statement Findings.	48
Section 3 – Federal Awards Findings Section 4 – State Awards Findings	49 50
Status of Prior Year Findings and Questioned Costs.	50 51
	JI



Paul S. Messner, CPA Cindra J. Hadley, CPA James M. Quinn, CPA, CFE

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

The Board of Trustees Antelope Valley Community College District Lancaster, California
We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the business-type activities and the discretely presented component unit of Antelope Valley Community College District (the "District"), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2011, which comprise the District's basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents. These financial statements are the responsibility of the District's management. Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audit.
We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in <i>Government Auditing Standards</i> , issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and the significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinions.
In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the business-type activities and the discretely presented component unit of Antelope Valley Community College District, as of June 30, 2011, and the results of its operations, changes in net assets and cash flows for the fiscal year then ended, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.
In accordance with Government Auditing Standards, we have also issued our report, dated December 8, 2011, on our consideration of the District's internal control over financial reporting and our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards and should be considered in assessing the results of our audit.

The Board of Trustees Antelope Valley Community College District
Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that the management's discussion and analysis on pages 3 through 8 and the schedules of funding progress and employer contributions on pages 32 and 33 be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such information, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board, who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. We have applied certain limited procedures to the required supplementary information in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which consisted of inquiries of management about the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management's responses to our inquiries, the basic financial statements, and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements. We do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the information because the limited procedures do not provide us with sufficient evidence to express an opinion or provide any assurance.
Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the District's financial statements as a whole. The introductory section and the supplementary section are presented for purposes of additional analysis and are not a required part of the financial statements. The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by the U.S. Office of Management and Budget Circular A-133, Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations, and is also not a required part of the financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements or to the financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the information is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the financial statements as a whole. The introductory and supplementary sections have not been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and, accordingly, we do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on it.
Messner & Hadley, LLP.
Messner & Hadley, LLP Certified Public Accountants
Victorville, California December 8, 2011

Management's Discussion and Analysis Fiscal Year Ending June 30, 2011

This section of Antelope Valley College District's annual financial report presents our discussion and analysis of the District's financial performance during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2011.

The California Community College Chancellor's Office has recommended that all State community college districts follow the new standards under the Business Type Activity (BTA) model. The District has adopted the BTA reporting model for these financial statements to comply with the recommendation of the Chancellor's Office and to report in a manner consistent and comparable with other community college districts.

The following discussion and analysis provides an overview of the District's financial activities with emphasis on current year data. As required by the newly adopted accounting principles, the annual report consists of three basic financial statements that provide information on the District as a whole: the Statement of Net Assets; the Statement of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Assets; and the Statement of Cash Flows.

Some of the changes in the financial statements that have resulted under the BTA model from the implementation of these new standards are:

- Revenues and expenses are now categorized as either operating or non-operating; this operating information was not previously presented.
- Pledges from donors (excluding permanent endowments) are recorded, as receivable and nonoperating revenues at the date of the pledge. Previously, pledges were not recorded as revenue until the related gift was received.
- Capital assets are now included in the statement presentations.

FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS

- The District's total combined net assets, inclusive of the component unit, were \$56,659,648 at June 30, 2011.
- During the fiscal year, the District's total operating expenses, inclusive of the component unit, were \$130,149,963. Combined operating and non-operating revenues totaled \$140,984,374.
 Prior period adjustments totaled \$395,596. This produced an excess of revenues over expenses of \$11,230,007.
- In November 2004, voters authorized the District to sell up to \$139 million in bonds over the next several years. The first series of bonds was sold to private investors in 2007, with the district receiving \$30 million to fund construction projects. In fiscal year 2007-2008, the district received the remaining \$109 million from the sale of G.O. bond.
- The general fund reported a fund balance this year of \$8,924,571, which represents an overall 13.60 percent ending balance. The restricted reserve percentage is 2.15 percent and the unrestricted reserve percentage is 15.04 percent. The Chancellor's Office recommends that the District maintain an unrestricted reserve percentage of approximately 5 percent.


Statement of Net Assets

The statement of Net Assets (see page 9, Basic Financial Statements section) presents the assets, liabilities, and net assets of the district as of the end of the fiscal year using the accrual basis of accounting, which is comparable to the accounting basis used by most private-sector institutions. Net assets—the difference between assets and liabilities—are one way to measure the financial health of the district. The data allows readers to determine the assets available to continue the operations of the district. The net assets of the district consist of three major categories.

- Invested in capital assets The district's equity in property, plant and equipment.
- Restricted net assets (divided by either expendable or nonexpendable.) Restricted net assets
 are restricted by use constraints placed on them by outside parties such as through agreements,
 laws, or regulations of creditors or other governments or imposed by law through constitutional
 provisions or enabling legislation.
- Unrestricted net assets The district can use them for any lawful purpose. Although, unrestricted, the district's governing board may place internal restrictions on these net assets, but it retains the power to change, remove, or modify these restrictions.

The District's financial position, as a whole, remained positive in fiscal year 2011. During the fiscal year ending June 30, 2011, the total net assets increased by \$10,707,046, or about 23.30 percent, from the previous year. The District continues to be impacted by the suppressed economic climate in the state of California and increasingly significant reductions in the state support resulting from the growing state budget deficit. This downturn has certainly impacted the District. Accounts receivable has increased by \$12,261,277 or approximately 83.96 percent due to outstanding collections of deferred payments (general fund apportionment) and capital outlay projects collections owed to the District by the State of California. Current liabilities, mainly accounts payable, decreased by \$1,954,698 or by 31.31 percent mainly due to reduced amounts owed to vendors for the bond projects.

The following chart reflects the breakdown of the District's total net assets.




Statement of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Assets


The Statement of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Assets (see page 10, Basic Financial Statements section) presents the operating results of the district. The purpose of the statement is to present the revenues received by the district, both operating and non-operating, and the expenses paid by the district, operating and non-operating, and any other revenues, expenses, gains and losses received or spend by the district. State general apportionment funds, which budgeted for operations, are considered non-operating revenues according to generally accepted accounting principles.

Changes in total net assets on the Statement of Net Assets are based on the activity presented in the Statement of Revenues, Expenses, and Changes in Net Assets. Generally speaking, operating revenues are received for those expenses paid to acquire or produce the goods and services provided in return for the operating revenues, and to carry out the mission of the district.

The statement of Revenues, Expenses, and Changes in Net Assets reflects a positive year due to an increase in other revenue, mainly state apportionments for capital projects. Net assets have increased at the end of the year by \$10,707,046. Although the statement shows an operating loss of \$62,318,777, that balance does not reflect the \$72,558,282 in non-operating revenue. The District reports an increase in the total operating revenues of \$1,211,259 over fiscal year 2010.

The following charts reflect the breakdown of the District's total operating revenues and expenses.





Statement of Cash Flows

The statement of cash flows (see page 11, Basic Financial Statements section) provides additional information about the district's financial results by reporting its major sources and uses of cash. This information assists readers in assessing the district's ability to generate revenue, meet its obligations as they come due, and evaluate its need for external financing. The statement is divided into several parts. The first part deals with operating cash flows and show the net cash used by the operating activities of the institution. The second section reflects cash flows from non-capital financing activities and shows the sources and uses of those funds. The third section deals with cash flows from capital and related financing activities. This section deals with the cash used for the acquisition and construction of capital and related items. The fourth section deals with cash flows from investing activities. This section reflects the cash received and spent for short-term investments and any interest paid or received on those investments. The net cash used by the district for operating activities for period ending June 30, 2011 was \$62,226,652.

District's Fiduciary Responsibility

The District is the trustee, or fiduciary, for certain amounts held on behalf of the students, clubs and donors for student loans and scholarships. The District's fiduciary activities are reported in separate Statements of Fiduciary Net Assets and Changes in Fiduciary Net Assets. These activities are excluded from the District's other financial statements because we cannot use these assets to finance operations. The District is responsible for ensuring that the assets reported in these funds are used for their intended purposes.

Economic Factors That Will Affect the Future

The District's economic strength is directly affected by the economic well being of the State of California. The 2011-2012 Budget was passed by the voters in November 2010. The budget continated several assumptions to address the \$26.6 billion shortfall, which include \$11.1 billion in expenditure reductions, \$11.8 billion in baseline revenue adjustments, \$2.9 billion in borrowing, shifts and fund transfers, \$1 billion in new revenue changes and \$500 million in local alignment revenue impacts.

The growing deficit figure is the result of a continual structual imbalance between state revenue and expenditures and a slow recovery from a severe recession that started in 2007 ended in 2009. With such large deficits, there is an anticipation of midyear budget cuts for 2011-2012. While there has been no definite figure from the Chancellor's Office regarding the midyear cut projections, Antelope Valley College has budgeted \$4.4 million in anticipated cuts.

Higher Education contained many triggers, identified in a tiered approach, if the revenue assumptions were not met. Tier 0 would not institute any cuts if \$3 billion of the \$4 billion in additional revenues materializes. Tier 1 would institute \$100 million to the university system and \$30 million to community colleges. There would also be an additional fee increase to \$46 per credit unit. Tier 2 would institute \$1.8 billion in cuts to K-14 and community colleges would be cut \$72 million beyond the Tier 1 cuts. Due to revenue projections being lower than anticipated, Antelope Valley College has planned for a Tier 2 reduction.

For more than a decade, the state budget has often miscalculated property tax and student fee revenues by projecting above actual collections, thereby providing less than adequate state general funds for the general apportionment. Because the revenue shortfall is not discovered until the fiscal year has virtually closed, the shortfall can threaten year-end operations and adversely affect cash flow.

In addition to mid year cut projections, cash flow issues at the state level have been pushed down to California community colleges. During the 2011-2012 annual statewide budget workshop, the general fund apportionment figures showed \$960 million in anticipated deferrals. In 2011-2012, this will lead to over \$13.7 mil, or 32%, of Antelope Valley Community College's apportionment dollars deferred. This is up from the 24% that was deffered in 2010-2011. Such significant amounts will result in continued borrowing to timely pay for the District's obligations. With America's credit rating being downgraded, it has had a ripple affect on governmental agencies and municipalities. As a result, Antelope Valley College's credit rating was downgraded, which could result in additional interest expense and borrowing costs.

The State unemployment rate has declined from 12.4 percent in 2010-2011 to an estimated 11.7 percent in 2011-2012. The labor force will be increasing their competitiveness through the pursuit of education. In 2011-2012, Antelope Valley Community College is estimating a workload reduction of 8.20 percent, which indicates the Tier 2 trigger being pulled at mid-year. There is no growth anticipated. In 2010-2011, FTES ended at 11,414, with only 11,371.05 being funded FTES. With Tier 2 planned, FTES figures have declined as a result of the workload by 868.98 FTES 3, making the estimates for 2011-2012 at 10,502.07 funded FTES.


Contacting the Antelope Valley College's Financial Management

This financial report is designed to provide our citizens, taxpayers, and overview of the District's finances and to demonstrate the District's accountability for the money it receives. If you have any questions about this report or need additional financial information, contact the District's Business Services Area.

¹ January 12, 2011, http://www.lao.ca.gov/reports/2011/bud/budget_overview/budget_overview_011211.aspx

² August 17, 2011, California Community College State Budget Southern Workshop, California's Economic Outlook and Proposition 98, School Serivces of California, Inc.

³ September 21, 2011, Email from Chris Yatooma from the Chancellor's Office.



Statement of Net Assets June 30, 2011

ASSETS	District	Component Unit Foundation	
Current assets:			
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 43,387,488	\$ 35,484	
Investments	ψ 13,307, 100 -	2,375,366	
Accounts receivable	26,652,087	213,459	
Stores inventories	320,285	213,103	
Other current assets	417,067	35,210	
Total current assets	70,776,927	2,659,519	
Capital assets, net	175,105,306		
TOTAL ASSETS	\$ 245,882,233	\$ 2,659,519	
LIABILITIES			
Current liabilities:			
Current liabilities	\$ 11,073,184	\$ 19,141	
Deferred revenue	1,827,540	-	
Short term borrowing	9,500,000	-	
Current portion of long-term debt	2,397,625		
Total current liabilities	24,798,349	19,141	
Non-current liabilities	167,064,614	-	
TOTAL LIABILITIES	191,862,963	19,141	
NET ASSETS			
Invested in capital assets	37,438,908	-	
Restricted:			
Non-expendable	-	2,006,741	
Expendable	20,140,566	604,682	
Unrestricted	(3,560,204)	28,955	
TOTAL NET ASSETS	54,019,270	2,640,378	
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND NET ASSETS	\$ 245,882,233	\$ 2,659,519	

Statement of Revenues, Expenses, and Changes in Net Assets For the Year Ended June 30, 2011

	District	Component Unit Foundation
OPERATING REVENUES		
Tuition and fees	\$ 2,985,057	\$ -
Grants and contracts, non-capital	51.011.040	
Federal	51,011,940	-
State	7,218,752	-
Local	914,160	1,019,635
Auxiliary enterprise sales and charges	4,089,378	-
Other operating revenues	664,209	
Total operating revenues	66,883,496	1,019,635
OPERATING EXPENSE		
Salaries	43,089,122	62,856
Employee benefits	13,585,297	-
Books and supplies	6,197,925	-
Services and other operating expenses	15,583,049	137,831
Program support	-	747,003
Depreciation	1,186,836	-
Payment to students	49,560,044	
Total operating expenses	129,202,273	947,690
OPERATING INCOME/(LOSS)	(62,318,777)	71,945
NON-OPERATING REVENUES/(EXPENSES)		
State apportionments, non-capital	47,794,796	-
Property taxes	6,732,238	-
State taxes and other revenues	44,729	•
Investment income - non-capital	842,186	72,680
Unrealized gains on assets	-	378,336
Gain/(loss) on sales of assets	(293,266)	· -
Interest expense - capital asset-related debt	(7,801,266)	-
Other non-operating revenues	2,023,887	_
Other non-operating expenses	(1,686,257)	
Total non-operating revenues (expenses)	47,657,047	451,016
Income Before Other Revenues, Expenses, Gains, or Losses	(14,661,730)	522,961
State apportionments, capital	17,791,645	-
Local property taxes and revenues, capital	6,658,574	
CHANGE IN NET ASSETS	9,788,489	522,961
NET ASSETS	, , ,	,-
Net Assets - Beginning	43,835,185	2,117,417
Prior period adjustments	395,596	
Net Assets - Ending	\$ 54,019,270	\$ 2,640,378

Statement of Cash Flows For the Year Ended June 30, 2011

	District	Component Unit Foundation
Cash Flows from Operating Activities		
Tuition and fees	\$ 3,558,874	\$ -
Grants and contracts	59,489,083	-
Payments to suppliers	(24,010,635)	(138,498)
Payments to/on-behalf of employees	(56,482,558)	(62,856)
Student loans/grants	(49,560,044)	(747,003)
Auxiliary enterprise sales and charges	4,145,452	1 000 010
Other receipt	664,209	1,028,818
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	(62,195,619)	80,461
Cash Flows from Non-Capital Financing Activities		
State apportionments and receipts	44,117,396	-
Property taxes	6,732,238	-
Other receipts	382,359	
Net cash provided by non-capital financing activities	51,231,993	-
Cash Flows from Capital Financing Activities		
Purchases of capital assets	(39,570,856)	-
Sales of capital assets	255,952	-
Proceeds from sale of tax anticipation revenue notes	9,500,000	-
Payment of tax anticipation revenue notes	(8,500,000)	-
Proceeds from borrowing	16,801,506	-
Interest paid on capital debt	(5,334,132)	-
Principal paid on capital debt	(2,210,941)	-
State revenue, capital projects	8,925,788	•
Local property taxes and other revenues for capital purposes	6,658,574	
Net cash provided by capital financing activities	(13,474,109)	-
Cash Flows from Investing Activities		
Unrealized change in market value	-	378,336
Interest on investments	644,054	72,679
Prior period adjustments	395,265	
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	1,039,319	451,015
NET INCREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	(23,398,416)	531,476
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS		
Beginning of year	66,785,904	2,092,833
End of year	\$ 43,387,488	\$ 2,624,309

Statement of Cash Flows For the Year Ended June 30, 2011

Reconciliation of operating income (loss) to cash used in	District	ponent Unit undation
operating activities		
Operating income (loss)	\$ (62,318,777)	\$ 71,945
Depreciation	1,186,836	-
Decrease in accounts receivable	400,305	9,183
Decrease in inventory	202,836	-
Decrease in other current assets	168,076	-
Decrease in accounts payable	(2,600,573)	(667)
Increase in deferred revenue	573,817	-
Increase in other current liabilities	191,861	
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	\$ (62,195,619)	\$ 80,461

Antelope Valley College Statement of Fiduciary Net Assets June 30, 2011 **Agency Funds** ASO **ASSETS** \$ 31,185 Cash and cash equivalents 266,113 Accounts receivable \$ **Total assets** 297,298 LIABILITIES \$ Accounts payable Due to student groups 297,298 **Total liabilities** \$ 297,298 See the accompanying notes to the financial statements.

Statement of Changes in Fiduciary Net Assets For the Year Ended June 30, 2011	
	Agency Fund ASO
Additions Student activities	\$ 134,49
Total Additions	134,49
Deletions	
Student activities	131,44
NET CHANGE IN FUND BALANCE	3,04
Net Assets - Beginning	175,99
Prior Period Adjustment	118,25
Net Assets - Ending	\$ 297,29

	es to Financial Statements 30, 2011
ron	TE 1 – SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES
Repo	orting Entity
proving colle unit a characteristic decision generative with the ""	elope Valley Community College District (the "District") is a political subdivision of the State of California and ides educational services to the local residents of the surrounding area. The District consists of one community age located in Lancaster, California. While the District is a political subdivision of the State, it is not a component of the state in accordance with the provisions of Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement No The District is classified as a state instrumentality under Internal Revenue Code Section 115, and is also classified as aritable organization under Internal Revenue Code Section 501(c)(3), and is therefore exempt from federal taxes. The sion to include a potential component unit in the reporting entity was made by applying the criteria set forth in rally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) and GASB Statement 14 as amended by GASB Statement 39. The criteria for requiring a legally separate, tax-exempt organization to be discretely presented as a component unit are direct benefit" criterion, the "entitlement/ability to access" criterion, and the "significance" criterion. The District tified the Antelope Valley Community College Foundation (the "Foundation") as its only potential component unit.
<u>Com</u>	ponent Unit
for-p Distr to the discr	District will report the Foundation as a component unit. The Foundation was established as a legally separate, not profit corporation to support the District and its students. It contributes to various scholarship funds for the benefit of rict students and contributes directly to the college. The funds contributed directly by the Foundation are significant to District's financial statements. Therefore, the District has classified the Foundation as a component unit that will be retely presented in the District's annual financial statements. The Foundation also issues a stand-alone audit expectation of the contribute of the property which can be obtained from the District or the Foundation.
<u>Basi</u> :	s of Presentation
acco timir	basic financial statements are reported using the economic resources measurement focus and the accrual basis of unting. Revenues are recorded when earned and expenses are recorded when a liability is incurred, regardless of he of the related cash flows. Property taxes are recognized as revenue in the year for which they are levied. Grants similar items are recognized as revenue as soon as all eligibility requirements have been met.
<u>Fina</u>	ncial Reporting
No. inclu Univ flows Acco gove report states been	basic financial statements required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB), including Statement 34, Basic Financial Statements and Management Discussion and Analysis – for State and Local Governments and ding Statement No. 35, Basic Financial Statements and Management Discussion and Analysis of Public Colleges and Persities, include a balance sheet, a statement of revenues, expenses, and changes in net assets, and a statement of cash s. The District is considered a special-purpose government under the provisions of GASB Statement No. 35 predingly, the District has chosen to present its basic financial statements using the reporting model for special purpose from the District has chosen to present its basic financial statements all financial information for the District to be reted in a single column. In accordance with the business-type activities reporting model, the District prepares its ments of cash flows using the direct method. The effect of internal activities between funds or groups of funds has a eliminated from these basic financial statements. The District's operating revenues includes tuition, fees and federal state revenues. Operating costs include cost of services as well as materials, contracts, personnel, and depreciation.

Antelope Valley College	
Notes to Financial Statements	
Tune 30, 2011	
Cash and Cash Equivalents	
The District participates in the common investment pool of to value. For purposes of the statement of cash flows, the District plus any other cash deposits or investments with initial maturities.	considers all cash and investments pooled with the Count
Accounts Receivable	
Accounts receivable consists primarily of amounts due from private sources, in connection with reimbursement of allowable contracts. Accounts receivable are recorded net of estimate budgetary and financial reporting purposes any amount of state appropriated from the subsequent fiscal year for payment of cur	le expenditures made pursuant to the District's grants and ted uncollectible amounts. The District recognized for the appropriations deferred from the current fiscal year and
<u>Inventories</u>	
Bookstore, cafeteria, and supply inventories are recorded at consumption method.	cost on the first in-first out basis and expended on th
Restricted Cash and Cash Equivalents	
Restricted cash and cash equivalents are those amounts externations. District's grants, contracts, and debt service requirements.	ally restricted as to use pursuant to the requirements of th
Capital Assets	
Capital assets are recorded at cost at the date of acquisition. value at the date of donation. For equipment, the District's of \$5,000 or more and an estimated useful life of greater than confrastructure, and land improvements that significantly increase capitalized. Interest incurred during construction is not capitali	capitalization policy includes all items with a unit cost of one year. Buildings, as well as renovations to buildings are the value or extend the useful life of the structure are
Depreciation of capital assets is computed and recorded by th ife:	e straight-line method over the following estimated useful
Asset Class	Estimated Useful Life
Buildings	50
Portable buildings	15
Land improvements Equipment and vehicles	10 8
Technology equipment	3

	to Financial Statements 30, 2011
<u>Defe</u>	red Revenue
defen that q	n and fees received prior to June 30 for classes and programs offered in the subsequent fiscal year are reported a ed revenue. Cash received for federal and state special projects and programs is recognized as revenue to the extenualified expenditures have been incurred. Deferred revenue is recorded to the extent that cash received on specific and programs exceeds qualified expenditures.
Com	pensated Absences
of the	ordance with GASB Statement No. 16, accumulated unpaid employee vacation benefits are recognized as a liability of District as compensated absences in the Statement of Net Assets. The District has accrued a liability for the statement to load banking hours within accrued liabilities. Load banking hours consist of hours worked by ctors in excess of full-time load which they may carryover for future paid time off.
recog taken servic	eave benefits are accumulated without limit for each employee. Accumulated employee sick leave benefits are no nized as a liability of the District. The District's policy is to record sick leave as an operating expense in the period since such benefits do not vest nor is payment probable; however, unused sick leave is added to the creditable e period for calculation of retirement benefits when the employee retires and within the constraints of the priate retirement systems.
Net A	<u>ssets</u>
	vistrict's net assets are classified as follows:
The I	
<i>In</i>	vested in capital assets, net of related debt – This represents the District's total investment in capital assets, net of tstanding debt obligations related to those capital assets. To the extent debt has been incurred but not yet expended capital assets, such amounts are not included as a component of invested in capital assets, net of related debt.
In ou fo	tstanding debt obligations related to those capital assets. To the extent debt has been incurred but not yet expended
for for for full is	tstanding debt obligations related to those capital assets. To the extent debt has been incurred but not yet expended capital assets, such amounts are not included as a component of invested in capital assets, net of related debt. **stricted net assets - expendable - Restricted expendable net assets include resources in which the District is legally contractually obligated to spend resources in accordance with restrictions imposed by external third parties or by abling legislation adopted by the District. The District first applies restricted resources when an expense is incurred.

	ne 30, 2011
St	ate Apportionments
pr	ertain current year apportionments from the state are based on various financial and statistical information of the evious year. Any prior year corrections due to the recalculation each February will be recorded in the year computed be State.
Pı	operty Taxes
No Di re	scured property taxes attach as an enforceable lien on property as of March 1. Taxes are payable in two installments of ovember 15 and March 15. Unsecured property taxes are payable in one installment on or before August 31. The strict reports real and personal property tax revenues in the same manner in which the County auditor records an ports actual property tax receipts to the Department of Education. This is generally on a cash basis. A receivable haven accrued in these financial statements to reflect the amount of property taxes receivable as of June 30, 2011.
<u>O</u> :	n-Behalf Payments
pa en Te fis	ASB Statement 24 requires that direct on-behalf payments for fringe benefits and salaries made by one entity to a third rty recipient for the employees of another, legally separate entity be recognized as revenue and expenditures by the apployer government. The State of California makes direct on-behalf payments for retirement benefits to the State eachers and Public Employees Retirement Systems on behalf of all Community Colleges in California. However, scal advisory was issued by the California Department of Education instructing districts not to record revenue and penditures for these on-behalf payments.
<u>C</u>]	assification of Revenues
up	ne District has classified its revenues as either operating or non-operating. Certain significant revenue streams relies on for operations are recorded as non-operating revenues, as defined by GASB Statement No. 35, including state propriations, local property taxes, and investment income. Revenues are classified according to the following criteria:
	<i>Operating revenues</i> – Operating revenues include activities that have the characteristics of exchange transactions such as: student fees, net of scholarship discounts and allowances, and Federal and most state and local grants and contracts.
	Non-operating revenues – Non-operating revenues include activities that have the characteristics of nonexchanger transactions, such as State apportionments, taxes, and other revenue sources that are defined as nonoperating revenue by GASB No. 9, Reporting Cash Flows of Proprietary and Nonexpendable Trust Funds and Governmental Entities that use Proprietary Fund Accounting, and GASB No. 33, Accounting and Financial Reporting for Nonexchanger Transactions, such as investment income.

	Antelope Valley College							
П	Notes to Financial Statements June 30, 2011		Ď.					
	Scholarship Discounts and Allowances Student tuition and fee revenues and certain other re allowances, in the statement of revenues, expenses a the difference between the stated charge for goods a	nd c	hanges in net a ervices provide	ssets. ed by t	Scholarship he District a	discound the	nts and allo amount that	wances are t is paid by
	students and/or third parties making payments on the and other Federal, state or nongovernmental prograstatements. To the extent that revenues from such prothe District has recorded a scholarship discount and all	ams, ogra	are recorded a	as oper satisfy	rating revenu tuition and f	ies in ees and	the District d other stude	's financial
	Estimates The preparation of the financial statements in commanagement to make estimates and assumptions accompanying notes. Actual results may differ from the statements of the preparation of the financial statements in commander of the preparation of the financial statements in commander of the preparation of the financial statements in commander of the preparation of the financial statements in commander of the preparation of the financial statements in commander of the preparation of the financial statements in commander of the preparation of the financial statements in commander of the preparation of the financial statements in commander of the preparation of the financial statements in commander of the preparation of the financial statements in commander of the preparation of the financial statements in commander of the preparation of the preparatio	that	affect the am					
	NOTE 2 – CASH AND INVESTMENTS Cash and investments, as of June 30, 2011, consist of	the:	following:					
			District	Т	iduciary		Total	
	Cash on hand	-\$	59,500		- Iducial y	-\$	59,500	
Li	Deposits in financial institutions	*	761,181	•	152,050	*	913,231	
	Cash in County		39,885,250		725,602	4	0,610,852	
Ц	Cash with fiscal agent		1,803,905		-		1,803,905	
	Total cash and cash investments		42,509,836		877,652	\$ 4	3,387,488	
	Policies and Practices							
	Under provision of the District's investment policy, Government Code, the District may invest in the California Government Code to make direct investments acceptances; registered State warrants or bankers acceptances; commercial paper; certificates companies; repurchase or reverse repurchase agree issued by diversified management companies, certificates.	follonents treas of o	wing types of s in local agen- ury notes; secu deposit placed ts; medium-ter	invest cy bon urities with c m con	ments: The ds, notes or of the U.S. ommercial boorate notes;	Distri warrar Govern anks a share	ict is authorate with the nament, or it and/or saving sof benefic	rized under State; U.S. is agencies; gs and loan cial interest
	collateralized obligations.	mall	es or participal	iioii, O	onganons wi	1112	i priority so	curry, and

Notes to Financial Statements June 30, 2011

General Authorizations

The table below identifies the investment types authorized for the District by the California Government Code. The table also identifies certain provisions of the California Government Code that address interest rate risk, credit risk, and concentration of credit risk.

	Maximum	Maximum	Maximum
Authorized	Remaining	Percentage	Investment
Investment Type	Maturity	of Portfolio	in One Issuer
Local Agency Bonds, Notes, Warrants	5 years	None	None
Registered State Bonds, Notes, Warrants	5 years	None	None
U.S. Treasury Obligations	5 years	None	None
U.S. Agency Securities	5 years	None	None
Banker's Acceptance	180 days	40%	30%
Commercial Paper	270 days	25%	10%
Negotiable Certificates of Deposit	5 years	30%	None
Repurchase Agreements	1 year	None	None
Reverse Repurchase Agreements	92 days	20% of base	None
Medium-Term Corporate Notes	5 years	30%	None
Mutual Funds	N/A	20%	10%
Money Market Mutual Funds	N/A	20%	10%
Mortgage Pass-Through Securities	5 years	20%	None
County Pooled Investment Funds	N/A	None	None
Local Agency Investment Fund (LAIF)	N/A	None	None
Joint Powers Authority Pools	N/A	None	None

Cash in County Treasury

In accordance with the Budget and Accounting Manual, the District maintains substantially all of its cash in the Los Angeles County Treasury as part of the common investment pool. These pooled funds are carried at cost which approximates fair value. The fair market value of the District's deposits in this pool as of June 30, 2011, as provided by the pool sponsor, was \$39,901,117 with an average maturity of 600 days. Interest earned is deposited quarterly into participating funds. Any investment losses are proportionately shared by all funds in the pool.

Interest Rate Risk

Interest rate risk is the risk that changes in market interest rates will adversely affect the fair value of an investment. The District does not have a formal investment policy that limits investment maturities as a means of managing its exposure to fair value losses arising from increasing interest rates.

Credit Risk

Credit risk is the risk that an issuer of an investment will not fulfill its obligation to the holder of the investment. Government Code sections 16430 and 53601 allow governmental entities to invest surplus moneys in certain eligible securities. The District has no investment policy that would further limit its investment choices.

Notes to Financial Statements June 30, 2011

Custodial Credit Risk

Custodial credit risk is the risk that in the event of a bank failure, the District's deposits may not be returned to it. The District does not have a policy for custodial credit risk, but all public funds are invested in bonds or government backed (collateralized) securities at 110% on the amount of deposit.

Concentration of Credit Risk

Concentration of credit risk is the risk of a loss attributed to the magnitude of a government's investment in a single issuer. The investment policy of the District contains no limitations on the amount that can be invested in any one issuer beyond the amount stipulated by the California Government Code. District investments that are greater than 5 percent of total investments are in either an external investment pool or mutual funds and are therefore exempt.

NOTE 3 – RECEIVABLES

Receivables, at June 30, 2011, consist of the following and are considered collectable in full:

	Bu	isiness-Type		
		Activities	 2010	Change
Federal Government				
Categorical aid	\$	563,230	\$ 1,709,239	\$ (1,146,009)
State Government				
Apportionment		11,892,686	9,856,368	2,036,318
Categorical aid		12,126,839	2,074,579	10,052,260
Lottery		676,820	421,794	255,026
Local Government				
Interest		118,510	213,644	(95,134)
Other Local Sources		1,274,002	 328,646	945,356
			 _	
	\$	26,652,087	\$ 14,604,269	\$ 12,047,818

Notes to Financial Statements June 30, 2011

NOTE 4 – CAPITAL ASSETS

The following provides a summary of changes in capital assets for the year ended June 30, 2011:

Business-Type Activities	Balance July 01, 2010	Additions	Deductions	Balance June 30, 2011
Capital assets not being depreciated				
Land	\$ 2,430,691	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,430,691
Construction in progress	106,210,852	38,823,119	37,314	144,996,657
Total capital assets not being depreciated	108,641,543	38,823,119	37,314	147,427,348
Capital assets being depreciated				
Site, buildings, and improvements	42,291,192	-	-	42,291,192
Machinery and equipment	7,879,283	785,051	1,438,634	7,225,700
	-		-	
Total capital assets being depreciated	50,170,475	785,051	1,438,634	49,516,892
Less accumulated depreciation				
Site, buildings, and improvements	16,696,884	559,116	-	17,256,000
Machinery and equipment	5,137,896	627,720	1,182,682	4,582,934
Total accumulated depreciation	21,834,780	1,186,836	1,182,682	21,838,934
Capital Assets, net	\$ 136,977,238	\$ 38,421,334	\$ 293,266	\$ 175,105,306

NOTE 5 – TAX REVENUE ANTICIPATION NOTES

The District issued \$9,500,000 of Tax Revenue Anticipation Notes dated March 31, 2011 through the Los Angeles County Schools Pooled Financing Program. The notes bear interest at 2.00% and mature February 1, 2012. The notes were sold by the District to supplement its cash flows.

Notes to Financial Statements June 30, 2011

NOTE 6 – LONG-TERM LIABILITIES

Summary

A schedule of changes in long-term debt, for the year ended June 30, 2011, is shown below:

	Balance July 01, 2010	Additions	Accretion	Deductions	Due in One Year	Long-term Balance
Governmental Activities						
General obligation bonds (GO) Bonds:						
Series 2006						
Current interest	\$ 17,535,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 17,535,000
Capital appreciation	6,755,127	_	703,305	1,165,000	682,246	5,611,186
Series 2004						
Current interest	83,750,000	-	-	415,000	575,000	82,760,000
Capital appreciation	31,063,146	-	1,765,999	_	-	32,829,145
Issuance costs and premiums, net	658,641	-	-	24,394	-	634,247
Certificates of Participation-Solar Plant	-	10,000,000	-	320,000	440,000	9,240,000
Issuance costs and premiums, net	-	(555,603)	_	(22,224)	-	(533,379)
Capital Lease-Central Plant	-	6,279,411	366,536		14,487	6,631,460
Loan payable	316,466	-	-	190,941	61,758	63,767
Compensated absences	1,271,507	32,532	-	-	-	1,304,039
Early retirement incentive	-	-	-	-	-	-
SERP	1,161,339	-	-	387,113	387,113	387,113
Other postemployment benefits	9,701,453	546,442	-	-	-	10,247,895
Loan payable-Edison		711,162	-	120,000	237,021	354,141
	\$ 152,212,679	\$ 17,013,944	\$ 2,835,840	\$ 2,600,224	\$ 2,397,625	\$ 167,064,614

2006 Series Bonded Debt

In August of 2006, the District issued \$24,336,792 in bonds to refund a portion of the 2004 election Series A. This issuance came out of the 2004 election. The bonds mature in August of 2022, and have stated interest rates of between 3.65% and 4.22%. The maturity of the bonds is as follows:

	Series 2006						
Year		Principal		Interest		Total	
2011	\$	682,246	\$	1,404,504	\$	2,086,750	
2012		629,772		1,501,978		2,131,750	
2013		580,593		1,596,157		2,176,750	
2014		536,598		1,690,152		2,226,750	
2015		493,495		1,778,255		2,271,750	
2016 - 2020		11,159,590		4,617,910		15,777,500	
2021 - 2025		7,035,000		198,208		7,233,208	
Accreted Interest		2,711,138		(2,711,138)		-	
Total	\$	23,828,432	\$	10,076,026	\$	33,904,458	

Notes to Financial Statements June 30, 2011

2004 Series Bonded Debt

In August 2006, the District partially refunded its 2004 election, Series A issuance except for \$5,105,000. In August of 2007, the District issued two series of bonds out of the 2004 election. Series B was in the amount of \$52,536,256, which consisted of both current interest and capital appreciation bonds. The stated interest rates are between 5.25% and 5.67%. Series C was in the amount of \$56,460,276 which consisted of both current interest and capital appreciation bonds. The stated interest rates for Series C are between 4.00% and 5.63%. The 2004 Election, Series A through C mature through 2040 as follows:

			S	eries 2004		
Year	F	Principal =		Interest	Total	
2012	\$	575,000	\$	4,223,166	\$	4,798,166
2013		755,000		4,197,391		4,952,391
2014		940,000		4,163,953		5,103,953
2015		1,140,000		4,122,363		5,262,363
2016		1,360,000		4,071,706		5,431,706
2017-2021		6,200,000		19,507,831		25,707,831
2022-2026	2	24,005,000		16,402,369		40,407,369
2027-2031		19,497,736		36,313,702		55,811,438
2032-2036		12,788,812		51,791,251		64,580,063
2037-2042	4	42,679,985		14,970,546		57,650,531
2043		-		-		-
Accreted Interest		6,222,612		(6,222,612)		0
Total	\$ 1	16,164,145	\$ 1	53,541,666	\$ 2	69,705,811

Certificates of Participation

In June, 2010 the District issued Certificates of Participation in the amount of \$10,000,000 with interest rates ranging from 3.00% to 5.25% to finance the construction and installation of solar energy projects. The annual payments to amortize the Certificates of Participation outstanding, as of June 30, 2011, are as follows:

	Certificates of Participation						
Year		Principal		Interest		Total	
2012	\$	440,000	\$	447,144	\$	887,144	
2013		460,000		433,944		893,944	
2014		485,000		420,144		905,144	
2015		505,000		405,594		910,594	
2016		115,000		390,444		505,444	
2017-2021		880,000		1,870,719		2,750,719	
2022-2026		1,530,000		1,598,500		3,128,500	
2027-2031		2,250,000		1,163,750		3,413,750	
2032-2036		3,015,000		417,113		3,432,113	
Total	\$	9,680,000	\$	7,147,350	_\$	16,827,350	

Notes to Financial Statements June 30, 2011

Loans Payable

The District entered into two loan agreements with the California Energy Commission during 2002 and 2003 for the installation of energy conservation measures on the District campus. The original amount of the loans was \$1,696,227 payable in two semi-annually installments with an interest rate of 3.0%. The loans mature as follows:

	CI	CEC Loans		
2012	\$	61,762		
2013		63,627		
2014		136		
	\$	125,525		

In fiscal 2011, the District was notified of a significant underbilling for electricity costs by Southern California Edison (SCE). Subsequently, the District entered into an agreement with SCE to pay for the underbilling over two years. The payments are due as follows:

	Edison Loan		
2012	\$	237,021	
2013		354,141	
	\$	591,162	

Capital Lease

In November, 2010, the District entered into a master equipment lease/purchase agreement with Bank of America to provide tax-exempt financing for certain energy-related projects, including: addition to the North Central utility plant, building lighting retrofits, exterior lighting upgrades, supervisory controls, re-commissioning, computer power management, variable speed pool pump and irrigation system controls upgrade to be installed by Chevron Energy Solutions. Future minimum lease payments are as follows:

Year Ended	Lease
June 30,	Payments
2012	\$ 407,714
2013	452,898
2014	501,317
2015	553,197
2016	599,360
2017-2022	4,688,858
2023-2026	3,388,426
	10,591,770
Less amount representing Interest	(3,945,823)
Present value of lease payments	\$ 6,645,947

June 30, 2011	
Compensated	<u>Absences</u>
The long-term \$1,304,039.	portion of accumulated unpaid employee vacation for the District, at June 30, 2011, amounted to
Other Postem	ployment Benefit (OPEB) Obligation
<i>Benefits Other</i> year ended Jun resulted in a n	Inplemented GASBS No. 45, Accounting and Financial Reporting by Employers for Postemployment Than Pensions during the year ended June 30, 2008. The District's annual required contribution for the e 30, 2011, was \$1,068,898 and contributions made by the District during the year were \$522,456 which et OPEB obligation for 2011 of \$546,442. See Note 8 for additional information regarding the OPEE the postemployment benefit plan.
NOTE 7 – EA	RLY RETIREMENT INCENTIVE
In prior fiscal y	years the District entered into agreements to provide both its academic and classified personnel with early ntive.
District Spons	ored – Certificated Program
Eligibility:	Be at least 50 years of age on date of retirement and a full-time employee for at least 8 years.
Benefits:	A retiring employee may choose either the Cash Incentive or the Service Credit option described below:
	Sh Option – Unit member retiring under this option shall receive a \$26,000 cash incentive payable in fou al installments of \$6,500 over the next four years.
	rvice Credit – Under this option a qualifying retiree shall receive two additional years of STRS service dit, paid for by the District, pursuant to the provision of Education Code Sections 22726 and 87488.
rece	alth Insurance Benefits – In addition to the Cash Option or the Service Credit, each qualified retiree shale eive District-paid health insurance premiums up to \$6,000 per year until the age of 65 or 5 years, whicheve onger, with the following exceptions:
	O Qualified retirees under the age of 55 would be limited to a maximum of 10 years District-paid health insurance.
	o Retirees eligible for health benefits may choose to waive the benefits option of the retirement incentive and instead receive a \$3,000 cash payment each year they would have been eligible to receive those benefits.
to r	irees with 35 Years of Service – Retirees with 35 or more years of service with the District could chooseceive 10 years of District-paid health insurance premiums up to \$6,000 per year but would not be eligible eceive either the Cash Option or the Service Credit.
District Spons	ored – Classified Program
Eligibility:	Be at least 55 years of age on date of retirement and a full-time employee for at least 10 years.
Benefits:	A retiring employee will receive \$15,000 paid over a 3-year period. The retiree may choose to receive th \$5,000 per year in cash or use it towards purchasing the District's health insurance.

June 30	o Financial Statements 0, 2011
NOTE	8 – OTHER POSTEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS
In add follows	ition to the pension benefits described in Note 9, the District provides post retirement health care benefits as
<u>Certifi</u>	cated Personnel
one pla and acc same p and ac	an is a retirement incentive program for academic employees who retire prior to age 65. An employee may choose in or the other, but may not combine the benefits of the two plans. Recipients of the benefit shall receive all health cident, dental, vision, and life insurance coverage for the employee and dependents that, insofar as possible, are the lans and contain the same benefits as the health and accident insurance coverage that remain in force for regular tive certificated employees of the District during the period covered by this benefit. The following specifications will apply to retirees under this plan:
•	For employees retiring before the year in which they reach their 65 th birthday, the employee must have been continuously employed in a position requiring certification for ten (10) years and by the District for a period of eight (8) years immediately prior to retirement, of which the immediately preceding five (5) years were full-time employment.
•	During the entire period of this benefit, the retired employee must be actively drawing service retirement benefits from either the State Teachers' Retirement System (STRS) or the Public Employees Retirement System (PERS).
•	To be eligible for health and accident insurance coverage under this plan, an employee must have been eligible for health insurance while an active employee and immediately prior to receiving this benefit.
•	This benefit is to terminate on the 65 th birthday of the retired employee.
•	The applicant must be at least 55 years of age prior to July 1 of the year of early retirement.
•	The effective date of this benefit shall be July 1, following the receipt of a qualified application.
•	Applications to participate in this program must be directed to the President by February 1 of the academic year preceding the effective date of early retirement.

Notes to Financial Statements June 30, 2011

Classified Personnel

The plan is an incentive benefit for classified employees who retire between the ages of 60 and 65. Recipients of the benefit shall receive all health and accident, dental, vision and life insurance coverage for the employee and dependents, that insofar as possible, are the same plans and contain the same benefits as the health and accident insurance that remains in force for regular active classified employees of the District during the period covered by this benefit. The following specific regulations shall apply to classified retirees under this plan:

- The minimum age shall be 55.
- The employee must have been employed full-time by the District for a period of:

(W)

Classified:

Twenty (20) years prior to retirement at ages 57 to 59.


Ten (10) years prior to retirement at age 60. 55.

Nine (9) years prior to retirement at age 61.

Eight (8) years prior to retirement at age 62.

Faculty and Management:

Ten (10) years prior to retirement at age 55.



- The Employee must have been eligible for health insurance while an active employee and immediately prior to receiving this benefit.
- This benefit is to terminate on the 65th birthday of the retired employee.

Funding Policy

Currently there is no funding policy.

Annual OPEB Cost and Net OPEB Obligation

The District's annual other postemployment benefit (OPEB) cost (expense) is calculated based on the annual required contribution of the employer (ARC), an amount actuarially determined in accordance with the parameters of GASB Statement No. 45. The ARC represents a level of funding that, if paid on an ongoing basis, is projected to cover normal costs each year and amortize any unfunded actuarial accrued liabilities (UAAL) (or funding excess over a period not to exceed thirty years.

The following table shows the components of the District's annual OPEB cost for the year, the amount actually contributed to the plan, and changes in the District's net OPEB obligation to the Plan:

Annual required contribution	\$ 1,013,533
Interest on net OPEB obligation	485,073
Adjustment to annual required contribution	(429,707)
Annual OPEB cost (expense)	1,068,898
Contributions made	(522,456)
Increase in net OPEB obligation	546,442
Net OPEB obligation, beginning of year	 9,701,453
Net OPEB obligation, end of year	\$ 10,247,895

Antelope Vall	ev College
-	cial Statements
Funded Statu	s and Funding Progress
probability of mortality, and required contr expectations a supplementary	ations of an ongoing plan involve estimates of the value of reported amounts and assumptions about the occurrence of events far into the future. Examples include assumptions about future employment the healthcare cost trend. Amounts determined regarding the funded status of the plan and the annual ibutions of the employer are subject to continual revision as actual results are compared with pasted new estimates are made about the future. The schedule of funding progress, presented as required information following the notes to the financial statements, presents trend information about whether the of plan assets is increasing or decreasing over time relative to the actuarial accrued liabilities for benefits.
Actuarial Me	thods and Assumptions
employer and pattern of shar assumptions u	benefits for financial reporting purposes are based on the substantive plan (the plan as understood by the the plan members) and include the types of benefits provided at the time of each valuation and the historical ring of benefit costs between the employer and plan members to that point. The actuarial methods are sed include techniques that are designed to reduce the effects of short-term volatility in actuarial accrued the actuarial value of assets, consistent with the long-term perspective of the calculations.
percent invest employee ben percent annual 2011, was 28	, 2009 actuarial valuation, the entry age normal method was used. The actuarial assumptions included a sment rate of return (net of administrative expenses), based on the plan being funded in an irrevocable efit trust invested in a long-term fixed income portfolio. Healthcare cost increases were estimated at a ly. The UAAL is being amortized at a level dollar method. The remaining amortization period at July 1 years. The actuarial value of assets was not determined in this actuarial valuation. At June 30, 2010, the assets in the amount of \$0.
NOTE 9 – EM	IPLOYEE RETIREMENT SYSTEMS
State of Calif	loyees are covered under multiple-employer contributory retirement plans maintained by agencies of the ornia. Certificated employees are members of the State Teachers' Retirement System (CalSTRS) and loyees are members of the Public Employees' Retirement System (CalPERS).
State Teacher	s' Retirement System (CalSTRS)
Plan Descript sharing multip The plan provestablished by separate comp	ion – The District contributes to the California State Teachers' Retirement System (CalSTRS); a cost- le-employer public employee retirement system defined benefit pension plan administered by CalSTRS vides retirement and disability benefits and survivor benefits to beneficiaries. Benefit provisions are State statutes, as legislatively amended, within the State Teachers' Retirement Law. CalSTRS issues a rehensive annual financial report that includes financial statements and required supplementary information. CalSTRS annual financial report may be obtained from CalSTRS, 7667 Folsom Blvd., Sacramento, CA
	by – Active plan members are required to contribute 8.0 percent of their salary and the District is required to actuarially determined rate. The actuarial methods and assumptions used for determining the rate are those

\$2,006,134 and \$1,952,642, respectively, and equal 100 percent of the required contributions for each year.

adopted by CalSTRS Teachers' Retirement Board. The required employer contribution rate for fiscal year 2010-2011, was 8.25 percent of annual payroll. The contribution requirements of the plan members are established by State statute. The District's contributions to CalSTRS for the fiscal years ending June 30, 2009, 2010, and 2011 were \$2,103,083,

	tes to Financial Statements ae 30, 2011
<u>Pul</u>	blic Employees' Retirement System (CalPERS)
Ret pen adju legi fina	n Description – The District contributes to the School Employer Pool under the California Public Employer irement System (CalPERS), a cost-sharing multiple-employer public employee retirement system defined beresion plan administered by CalPERS. The plan provides retirement and disability benefits, annual cost-of-live systements, and death benefits to plan members and beneficiaries. Benefit provisions are established by State statutes is latively amended, within the Public Employees' Retirement Laws. CalPERS issues a separate comprehensive and ancial report that includes financial statements and required supplementary information. Copies of the CalPERS and financial report may be obtained from the CalPERS Executive Office, 400 P Street, Sacramento, CA 95814.
ado 10.	nding Policy – Active plan members are required to contribute 7.0 percent of their salary and the District is required attribute an actuarially determined rate. The actuarial methods and assumptions used for determining the rate are the opted by the CalPERS Board of Administration. The required employer contribution rate for fiscal year 2010-2011 707 percent of annual payroll. The contribution requirements of the plan members are established by State state District's contribution to CalPERS for the fiscal years ending June 30, 2009, 2010, and 2011 were \$1,108,1150,499, and \$1,279,519, respectively, and equal 100 percent of the required contributions for each year.
Soc	cial Security
sys	established by Federal law, all public sector employees who are not members of their employer's existing retirent tems (CalSTRS or CalPERS) must be covered by social security or an alternative plan. The District has elected to social security as its alternative plan.
NO	TE 10 – COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES
<u>Sta</u>	te and Federal Allowances, Awards and Grants
age	e District has received State and Federal funds for specific purposes that are subject to review and audit by the grancies. Although such audits could generate expenditure disallowances under terms of the grants, it is believed that uired reimbursement will not be material.
Lit	<u>igation</u>
mai	e District may be involved in various litigations arising from the normal course of business. In the opinion nagement and legal counsel, the disposition of all litigation pending is not expected to have a material adverse effect overall financial position of the District at June, 30 2011.
NO	TE 11 – PARTICIPATION IN PUBLIC ENTITY RISK POOLS AND JOINT POWERS AUTHORITIES
TI.	e District participates in three joint powers authorities (JPAs). The District pays an annual premium to the entities ir coverage. The relationship between the District and the JPAs is such that none of the JPAs are a component un
the	District for financial reporting purposes.


Notes to Financial Statements June 30, 2011

The District is a member of the following joint powers authorities (JPAs):

A. Entity	PIPS	SIRMA	SIRMA		
B. Purpose	Workers' compensation coverage	Liability and property insurance	Employee benefits		
C. Participants	K -12 School Districts, Charter Schools, and Community College Districts in California	Public school districts and other governmental agencies	Public school districts and other governmental agencies		
D. Governing Board	Member agencies	Member agencies	Member agencies		

NOTE 12 – PRIOR PERIOD ADJUSTMENTS

Prior period adjustments have been made to correct various accounts. The general fund corrected prior year overstatement of assets of \$98,604; the child development fund corrected prior year overstatement of assets of \$2,397; the revenue bond construction fund corrected prior year understatements of assets of \$102,139; the bookstore fund corrected prior year understatement of assets of \$357,307; the representation fee trust fund corrected prior year understatement of assets of \$18,448; and the financial aid trust fund corrected prior year understatement of assets of \$18,372.



Schedule of Postemployment Healthcare Benefits Funding Progress For the Year Ended June 30, 2011


Actuarial Valuation Date			Actuarial Accrued Liability (Entry Age Normal Cost Method) (AAL)		Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (UAAL)		Funding Ratio		Covered Payroll	UAAL as a Percentage of Covered Payroll
May 1, 2006	\$	-	\$	7,974,678	\$	7,974,678	\$	- 4	\$ 46,196,997	17.26%
March 1, 2009	\$	-	\$	7,848,063	\$	7,848,063	\$	-	\$ 42,809,595	18.33%

Schedule of Employer Contributions For the Year Ended June 30, 2011

Year Ended June 30,	Annual Required Contribution	Percentage Contributed	Net OPEB Obligation
2009	\$ 1,119,675	40.89%	\$ 9,239,286
2010	\$ 1,013,533	59.61%	\$ 9,701,453
2011	\$ 1,013,533	51.55%	\$ 10,247,895

See the accompanying note to the required supplementary information.

NOTE 1 – PURPOSE OF SCHEDULES Schedule of Postemployment Healthcare Benefits Funding Progress This schedule is prepared to show information for the most recent actuarial valuation and in future years, the informatic from the three most recent actuarial valuations in accordance with Statement No. 45 of the Governmental Accounting and Financial Reporting by Employers for Postemployment Benefits Other Than Pension has eschedule is intended to show trends about the funding progress of the District's actuarially determined liability footsemployment benefits other than pensions. Schedule of Employer Contributions This schedule is prepared in accordance with Statement No. 43 of the Governmental Accounting Standards Boar Financial Reporting for Postemployment Benefit Plans Other Than Pension Plans. The schedule is intended to sho rends about the percentage of the annual required contribution made to the plan.			
Chis schedule of Postemployment Healthcare Benefits Funding Progress This schedule is prepared to show information for the most recent actuarial valuation and in future years, the information the three most recent actuarial valuations in accordance with Statement No. 45 of the Governmental Accounting translated Board, Accounting and Financial Reporting by Employers for Postemployment Benefits Other Than Pension The schedule is intended to show trends about the funding progress of the District's actuarially determined liability for statement benefits other than pensions. Schedule of Employer Contributions This schedule is prepared in accordance with Statement No. 43 of the Governmental Accounting Standards Board Tinancial Reporting for Postemployment Benefit Plans Other Than Pension Plans. The schedule is intended to sho	•	d Supplementary Information	
Chis schedule is prepared to show information for the most recent actuarial valuation and in future years, the information from the three most recent actuarial valuations in accordance with Statement No. 45 of the Governmental Accounting tandards Board, Accounting and Financial Reporting by Employers for Postemployment Benefits Other Than Pension The schedule is intended to show trends about the funding progress of the District's actuarially determined liability for sostemployment benefits other than pensions. Schedule of Employer Contributions This schedule is prepared in accordance with Statement No. 43 of the Governmental Accounting Standards Boar Tinancial Reporting for Postemployment Benefit Plans Other Than Pension Plans. The schedule is intended to sho	June 30, 2011		
This schedule is prepared to show information for the most recent actuarial valuation and in future years, the information from the three most recent actuarial valuations in accordance with Statement No. 45 of the Governmental Accounting that the fundance of the Board, Accounting and Financial Reporting by Employers for Postemployment Benefits Other Than Pension The schedule is intended to show trends about the funding progress of the District's actuarially determined liability for sostemployment benefits other than pensions. Schedule of Employer Contributions This schedule is prepared in accordance with Statement No. 43 of the Governmental Accounting Standards Boar Financial Reporting for Postemployment Benefit Plans Other Than Pension Plans. The schedule is intended to sho	NOTE 1 – PU	RPOSE OF SCHEDULES	
rom the three most recent actuarial valuations in accordance with Statement No. 45 of the Governmental Accounting tandards Board, Accounting and Financial Reporting by Employers for Postemployment Benefits Other Than Pension The schedule is intended to show trends about the funding progress of the District's actuarially determined liability for ostemployment benefits other than pensions. Chedule of Employer Contributions This schedule is prepared in accordance with Statement No. 43 of the Governmental Accounting Standards Boar Tinancial Reporting for Postemployment Benefit Plans Other Than Pension Plans. The schedule is intended to sho	chedule of P	stemployment Healthcare Benefits Funding Progress	
nis schedule is prepared in accordance with Statement No. 43 of the Governmental Accounting Standards Boar nancial Reporting for Postemployment Benefit Plans Other Than Pension Plans. The schedule is intended to sho	om the three andards Boa ne schedule :	most recent actuarial valuations in accordance with Statement No. 45 of the Governmental Accord, Accounting and Financial Reporting by Employers for Postemployment Benefits Other Than Persistended to show trends about the funding progress of the District's actuarially determined liability	untin <i>ision</i> .
inancial Reporting for Postemployment Benefit Plans Other Than Pension Plans. The schedule is intended to sho	chedule of E	<u>iployer Contributions</u>	
	inancial Rep	rting for Postemployment Benefit Plans Other Than Pension Plans. The schedule is intended to	



History and Organization June 30, 2011		
Antelope Valley Community Co California and provides education community college located in Lan	llege District was established in 1929. In services to the local residents of the sucaster, California.	It is a political subdivision of the Surrounding area. The District consists
	BOARD OF TRUSTEES	
MEMBER	OFFICE	TERM EXPIRES
Earl J. Wilson	President	November 2011
Betty Wienke	Vice-President	November 2012
Michael Adams	Clerk	November 2012
Steve Buffalo	Member	November 2012
Jack Seefus	Member	November 2011
Dr. Jackie L. Fisher, Sr.	DISTRICT EXECUTIVE OFFICE District President – Superintend	
Thomas Brundage	-	Assistant Superintendent of Business
Mrs. Sharon Lowry	Executive Vice-President of Ac	ademic Affairs and Student Services

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards For the Year Ended June 30, 2011

Federal Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor/Program or Cluster	CFDA Number	Pass-Through Entity Identifying Number	Federal Expenditures
U. S. Dept. of Education:			
Student Financial Aid Cluster [1]:			
Federal Work Study	84.033	*	331,611
SEOG	84.007	*	265,357
Pell	84.063	*	22,688,306
Title V Co-Op	84.375	*	664,070
Title V - Solo	84.375	*	658,342
ARRA - State Fiscal Stablization Funds [1]	84.394	24997	41,003
Vocational Applied Technology Educational Act - Opportunities	84.048	15040	691,776
TRIO	84.042A	24304	231,648
Total Department of Education			25,572,113
U. S. Dept. of Health and Human Services:			
Youth Development Services	93.674	25040	38,880
Temporary Assistance to Needy Families (TANF)	93.558	25040	79,964
Total Department of Health and Human Services			118,844
Total Federal Expenditures			\$ 25,690,957

[1] - Major Program

Schedule of State Financial Assistance – Grants For the Year Ended June 30, 2011


		Progr	ram Revenues				
Program Name	 Cash Received		Accounts Receivable	Pay	Accounts able/Deferred Revenue	Total	Total Program spenditures
State Awards					5		
Disabled Students Programs and Services	\$ 537,890	\$	162,605	\$	-	\$ 700,495	\$ 700,495
Extended Opportunity Programs and Service	606,886		-		7,300	599,586	599,586
BFAP	512,015		30,088		94,625	447,478	447,478
Basic Skills	247,519		233,856		307,440	173,935	173,935
CalWorks	538,994				(9,901)	548,895	548,894
CARE Program	-		299,469		1,759	297,710	297,710
Career Tech 08	_		-		299,469	(299,469)	(299,469)
Career Tech 09	310,000		-		140,916	169,084	169,084
DSS/CalWORKS	70,848		11,411		-	82,259	82,259
EEO	6,523		18,260		17,443	7,340	7,339
Enrollment Fee	91,027		_		-	91,027	91,027
Foster Parent	75,726		45,034		-	120,760	120,760
Block Grant	-		123,828		95,837	27,991	27,992
Matriculation - Credit	382,725		-		-	382,725	382,725
Matriculation - Noncredit	8,443		1		-	8,444	8,444
Nursing Enrollment	171,097		-		5,221	165,876	165,876
Nursing Facilities	-		25,630		11,070	14,560	14,560
Prop 20	366,465		161,133		297,562	230,036	107,308
RTF 08	75,835		1,170		-	77,005	77,005
RTF 09	169,309		-		21,929	147,380	147,380
CTE Pathways	400,000		-		400,000	-	_
Temporary Assistance to Needy Family (TANF) - CDC	18,472		6,241		-	24,713	24,713
Transfer Articulation	3,691		-		2,285	1,406	1,406
Infrastructure Program (TTIP)	27,895		-		8,113	19,782	19,782
WIP	90,000		90,000		-	180,000	-
CTE 09-140	 310,000				310,000	 	
Total State Programs	\$ 5,021,360	\$	1,208,726	\$	2,011,068	\$ 4,219,018	\$ 3,916,289

Schedule of Workload Measures for State General Apportionment Annual (Actual) Attendance For the Year Ended June 30, 2011

	Reported Data
A. Summer Intersession (Summer 2010)	
1. Noncredit	-
2. Credit	645
B. Summer Intersession (Summer 2011 - Prior to July 1, 2011)	
1. Noncredit	-
2. Credit	29
B. Primary Terms (Exclusive of Summer Intersession)	
1. Census Procedure Courses	
(a) Weekly Census Contact Hours	9,838
(b) Daily Census Contact Hours	576
2. Actual Hours of Attendance	
(a) Noncredit	14
(b) Credit	268
3. Independent Study/Work Experience	
(a) Weekly Census Contact Hours	6
(b) Daily Census Contact Hours	
C. Total FTES	11,376
D. Basic Skills Courses and Immigrant Education	
1. Noncredit	13
2. Credit	1,754

Reconciliation of For the Year End	Annual Financial a ed June 30, 2011	nd Budget Repo	rt with Audited F	and Balances	
771		4.1.42- A		and Davis and	
There were no ad	ustments to the Dis	trict's Annual F	inancial and Budg	дет Кероп.	
	See the	e accompanying	note to the supple	ementary information	

History and Organization This schedule provides information about the District, members of the governing board, and members of the administration. Schedules of Expenditures of Federal Awards and State Financial Assistance The audit of the Antelope Valley College for the year ended June 30, 2011 was conducted in accordance with OM Circular A-133, which requires a disclosure of the financial activities of all federally funded programs. To comply wi A-133 and state requirements, the Schedule of Federal Awards and the Schedule of State Financial Assistance was prepared for the District on the modified accrual basis of accounting. Schedule of Workload Measures for State General Apportionment This schedule represents the basis of apportionment of the District's annual source of funding for many of its program This schedule includes only the apportionment generating FTES of California residents. Reconciliation of Annual Financial and Budget Report with Audited Fund Balances This schedule reports any audit adjustments made to the fund balances of all funds as reported on the Form CCFS-311.		te to Supplementary Information ne 30, 2011
This schedule provides information about the District, members of the governing board, and members of the administration. Schedules of Expenditures of Federal Awards and State Financial Assistance The audit of the Antelope Valley College for the year ended June 30, 2011 was conducted in accordance with OM Circular A-133, which requires a disclosure of the financial activities of all federally funded programs. To comply wi A-133 and state requirements, the Schedule of Federal Awards and the Schedule of State Financial Assistance was prepared for the District on the modified accrual basis of accounting. Schedule of Workload Measures for State General Apportionment This schedule represents the basis of apportionment of the District's annual source of funding for many of its program This schedule includes only the apportionment generating FTES of California residents. Reconciliation of Annual Financial and Budget Report with Audited Fund Balances	NC	OTE 1 – PURPOSE OF SCHEDULES
The audit of the Antelope Valley College for the year ended June 30, 2011 was conducted in accordance with OM Circular A-133, which requires a disclosure of the financial activities of all federally funded programs. To comply wi A-133 and state requirements, the Schedule of Federal Awards and the Schedule of State Financial Assistance was prepared for the District on the modified accrual basis of accounting. Schedule of Workload Measures for State General Apportionment This schedule represents the basis of apportionment of the District's annual source of funding for many of its program This schedule includes only the apportionment generating FTES of California residents. Reconciliation of Annual Financial and Budget Report with Audited Fund Balances	Γh	is schedule provides information about the District, members of the governing board, and members of the
This schedule represents the basis of apportionment of the District's annual source of funding for many of its program This schedule includes only the apportionment generating FTES of California residents. Reconciliation of Annual Financial and Budget Report with Audited Fund Balances	Γh Cir A-	e audit of the Antelope Valley College for the year ended June 30, 2011 was conducted in accordance with OM cular A-133, which requires a disclosure of the financial activities of all federally funded programs. To comply with 133 and state requirements, the Schedule of Federal Awards and the Schedule of State Financial Assistance was
	Γh	is schedule represents the basis of apportionment of the District's annual source of funding for many of its program





Paul S. Messner, CPA Cindra J. Hadley, CPA James M. Quinn, CPA, CFE

REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS
The Board of Trustees Antelope Valley Community College District Lancaster, California
We have audited the financial statements of the business-type activities and the discretely presented component unit that comprise the basic financial statements of Antelope Valley Community College District (the "District"), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2011, and have issued our report thereon dated December 8, 2011. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in <i>Government Auditing Standards</i> , issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.
Internal Control Over Financial Reporting
In planning and performing our audit, we considered the District's internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control over financial reporting.
A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented or detected and corrected on a timely basis.
Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that might be deficiencies, significant deficiencies, or material weaknesses. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above.
- 41 -
17070 Cilian D. I

The Board of Trustees Antelope Valley Community College District
Compliance and Other Matters
As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the District's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under <i>Government Auditing Standards</i> .
This report is intended solely for the information and use of management, the audit committee, the Governing Board, the California Department of Finance, the State Chancellor's Office, Federal awarding agencies, and pass-through entities and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.
Mesoner & Hadley, LLP.
Messner & Hadley, LLP Certified Public Accountants
Victorville, California December 8, 2011
- 42 -



Paul S. Messner, CPA Cindra J. Hadley, CPA James M. Quinn, CPA, CFE

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON COMPLIANCE WITH REQUIREMENTS THAT COULD HAVE A DIRECT AND MATERIAL EFFECT ON EACH MAJOR PROGRAM AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE IN ACCORDANCE WITH OMB CIRCULAR A-133

CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE IN ACCORDANCE WITH OMB CIRCULAR A-133
The Board of Trustees Antelope Valley Community College District Lancaster, California
Compliance
We have audited the compliance of Antelope Valley Community College District with the types of compliance requirements described in the <i>OMB Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement</i> that could have a direct and material effect on each of its major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2011. The District's major federal programs are identified in the summary of auditor's results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. Compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements applicable to each of its major federal programs is the responsibility of the District's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the District's compliance based on our audit.
We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in <i>Government Auditing Standards</i> , issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and OMB Circular A-133, <i>Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations</i> . Those standards and OMB Circular A-133 require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the District's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion. Our audit does not provide a legal determination of the District's compliance with those requirements.
In our opinion, Antelope Valley Community College District complied, in all material respects, with the requirements referred to above that are applicable to each of its major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2011.
Internal Control Over Compliance
Management of the District is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to federal programs. In planning and performing our audit, we considered the District's internal control over compliance with requirements that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on compliance and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with OMB Circular A-133, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control over compliance.
- 43 -

The Board of Trustees Antelope Valley Community College District A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be deficiencies, significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above. This report is intended solely for the information and use of management, the audit committee, the Governing Board, the California Department of Finance, the State Chancellor's Office, Federal awarding agencies, and pass-through entities and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties. However, this report is a matter of public record and its distribution is not limited. Mesoner & Hadley, LLP. Messner & Hadley, LLP Certified Public Accountants Victorville, California December 8, 2011

- 44 -




Paul S. Messner, CPA Cindra J. Hadley, CPA James M. Quinn, CPA, CFE

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON STATE COMPLIANCE

1	- 45 -
	Fiscal Operations - Salaries of Classroom Instructors (50 Percent Law) - Gann Limit Calculation
	Apportionments - Residency Determination for Credit Courses - Students Actively Enrolled - Concurrent Enrollment of K-12 Students in Community College Credit Courses - Apportionment for Instructional Service Agreements / Contracts - Enrollment Fees
	Student Fees — Instructional Materials Fees and Health Fees
	Minimum Conditions – Standards of Scholarship
	Administration Open Enrollment
	General Directives Management Information System Implementation – State General Apportionment Funding Required Data Elements
	In connection with our audit referred to above, we selected and tested transactions and records to determine the District's compliance with the following state laws and regulations in accordance with the Chancellor's Office's California Community Colleges Contracted District Audit Manual (CDAM). Management is responsible for the District's compliance with those requirements. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the District's compliance based on our examination:
	Our audit was made in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial and compliance audits contained in <i>Government Auditing Standards</i> , issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the District's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion. Our audit does not provide a legal determination of the District's compliance with those requirements.
	We have audited the financial statements of the business-type activities and the discretely presented component unit of Antelope Valley Community College District (the "District"), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2011, and have issued our report thereon dated December 8, 2011.
	The Governing Board Antelope Valley Community College District Lancaster, California

Γhe Board of Trustees Antelope Valley Community College District
Student Services Matriculation — Uses of Matriculation Funds
CalWorks – Uses of State and Federal TANF Funding
Special Programs - Allocation of Costs
<u>Facilities</u> - Scheduled Maintenance Programs
Management is responsible for the District's compliance with those requirements. Our responsibility is to express are opinion on the District's compliance based on our examination. Our examination was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and, accordingly, includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the District's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our examination provides a reasonable basis for our opinion. Our examination does not provide a legal determination on the District's compliance with specified equirements.
n our opinion, Antelope Valley Community College District complied with the compliance requirements for the state programs listed and tested above, as shown in the Finding and Recommendations Section on the Schedule of State Awards Findings and Questioned Costs. Nothing came to our attention as a result of the aforementioned procedures to indicate that the District had not complied with the terms and conditions of state assisted educational programs not relected for testing.
Our examination of compliance made for the purposes set forth in the preceding paragraph of this report would no necessarily disclose all instances of noncompliance.
This report is intended solely for the information and use of management, the audit committee, the Governing Board, the California Department of Finance, the State Chancellor's Office and federal awarding agencies and pass-through entities and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties. However, this report is a matter of public record and its distribution is not limited.
Mesoner & Hadley, LLP.
Messner & Hadley, LLP Certified Public Accountants
Victorville, California December 8, 2011



Antelope Valley College Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs June 30, 2011

SECTION 1 – SUMMARY OF AUDITORS' RESULTS	5		
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS			
Type of auditors' report issued:		Un	qualified
Internal control over financial reporting:		-	
Material weaknesses identified?			No
Significant deficiencies identified not considered			
to be material weaknesses?			No
Non-compliance material to financial statements no	ted?		No
FEDERAL AWARDS			
Internal control over major programs:			
Material weaknesses identified?			No
Significant deficiencies identified not considered			
to be material weaknesses?			No
Type of auditors' report issued on compliance for major programs:		Un	qualified
Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be rep	orted in accordance with		
Circular A-133, Section .510(a)			No
Identification of major programs:			
CFDA Number(s)	Name of Federal Program of Cluster		
84.007, 84.033 and 84.063	Student Financial Aid Cluster		
84.394	ARRA	-	
Dollar threshold used to distinguish between Type A and Type B programs:			770,729
Auditee qualified as low-risk auditee?			Yes
STATE AWARDS			
Internal control over State programs:			
Material weaknesses identified?			No
Significant deficiencies identified not considered			
to be material weaknesses?			No
Type of auditors' report issued on compliance for State	programs:	Un	qualified

chedule of Findings and Questioned Costs une 30, 2011	NT FINDINGS
ECTION 2 – FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS	
The results of our tests did not disclose any findings related to the financial statements that are required to an additional statement and are required to the financial statements that are required to the financial statements are required to the financial statements and the financial statements are required to the financial	to be reported
	92

- 48 -

ine 30, 2011	and Questioned Co					
ECTION 3 – FFD	ERAL AWARDS I	FINDINGS				
ECTION 3 - PED	EKAL AWAKDS I	FINDINGS				
he results of our tes	ts did not disclose a	ny findings and	questioned cost	s related to the fe	deral awards.	

Antelope Valley College		
chedule of Findings and Questioned Costs une 30, 2011		
ECTION 4 – STATE AWARDS FINDINGS		
he results of our tests did not disclose any finding	s and questioned costs related to the state awards.	
	- 50 -	

tatus of Prior Year Findings and Questioned Costs and 30, 2011 INANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS here were no financial statement findings or questioned costs in 2009-10. EDERAL AWARDS FINDINGS here were no federal award findings or questioned costs in 2009-10. TATE AWARDS FINDINGS here were no state award findings or questioned costs in 2009-10.	ntelope Valley College				
here were no financial statement findings or questioned costs in 2009-10. EDERAL AWARDS FINDINGS here were no federal award findings or questioned costs in 2009-10. FATE AWARDS FINDINGS		d Questioned Costs			
here were no financial statement findings or questioned costs in 2009-10. EDERAL AWARDS FINDINGS here were no federal award findings or questioned costs in 2009-10. FATE AWARDS FINDINGS	NANCIAL STATEMENT	TINDINGS			
here were no federal award findings or questioned costs in 2009-10. FATE AWARDS FINDINGS			ed costs in 2009-10).	
TATE AWARDS FINDINGS	EDERAL AWARDS FIND	NGS			
	iere were no federal award fi	dings or questioned co	osts in 2009-10.		
here were no state award findings or questioned costs in 2009-10.	TATE AWARDS FINDING	5			
	ere were no state award find	ngs or questioned costs	s in 2009-10.		