

# Outcomes Committee Minutes

Monday, August 26, 2019

LH-100

3:00 – 4:30pm

**Type of Meeting:** *Regular*

**Note Taker:** *Wendy Stout*

**Please Review/Bring:** Review the past minutes for accuracy.

## Committee Members:

Glenn Haller, Faculty co-Chair

Meeta Goel, Administrative Co-chair

Svetlana Deplazes, Research Analyst

**LaDonna Trimble , Student Services Dean**

Stacey Adams, Faculty Division Rep

Tiesha Klundt, Faculty Area Rep

Gary Heaton-Smith, Faculty Division Rep

Cindy Hendrix, Faculty Division Rep

Cynthia Lehman, Faculty Division Rep

**Scott Lee, Faculty Area Rep**

Fredy Aviles, Faculty Division Rep

**Karen Heinzman, Faculty Division Rep**

Candace Martin, Faculty Division Rep

Ronda Nogales, Faculty Division Rep

Joe Owens, Faculty Division Rep

Wendy Stout, Faculty Division Rep

Eugenie Trow, Faculty Division Rep

TBD, Faculty Division Rep

TBD, Adjunct Rep

TBD, Student Rep

Kenya, Johnson. CMS Rep

Items	Person	Action
I. Approval of Agenda		<b><u>Action Taken:</u></b> Moved and Approved <b><u>Items added:</u></b>
II. Opening Comments from the Chairs	<i>Glenn/ Meeta</i>	<b><u>Action Taken:</u></b> Glenn moved is opening comments to later  <b><u>Follow Up Items:</u></b>
III. Approval of Minutes	<i>Glenn</i>	<b><u>Action Taken:</u></b> Moved and Approved

		<b><u>Follow Up Items:</u></b>
<p>IV. Informational Item – Outcomes Committee Schedule</p> <p>Sept. 9, 2019, Sept. 23, 2019; Oct. 14, 2019; Oct. 28, 2019; Feb. 10, 2020; Feb. 24, 2020; Mar. 9, 2020; Mar. 23, 2020; Apr. 13, 2020; Apr. 27, 2020; May 11, 2020</p>	Glenn	<p><b><u>Issues Discussed:</u></b> IN LH 100 until now</p> <p><b><u>Action Taken:</u></b></p> <p><b><u>Follow Up Items:</u></b></p>
V. Welcomes		<p><b><u>Issues Discussed:</u></b> Introductions of everyone for new members</p> <p><b><u>Follow Up Items:</u></b></p>
VI. Action Items – Consent Approvals		<p><b><u>Issues Discussed:</u></b> None at this time but we will have some to do.</p> <p><b><u>Follow Up Items:</u></b></p>
VII. Action Items – Consent Approvals Special Circumstances		<p><b><u>Issues Discussed:</u></b> None at this time</p> <p><b><u>Follow Up Items:</u></b></p>
VIII. Action Items – New or Substantial Approvals		<p><b><u>Issues Discussed:</u></b> None at this time</p> <p><b><u>Follow Up Items:</u></b></p>
IX. Action Item – Proxy for Faculty co-Chair	Glenn	<p><b><u>Issues Discussed:</u></b> Wendy Stout was moved and approved to be co-Chair</p> <p><b><u>Follow Up Items:</u></b></p>
X. Action Item – Goals	Glenn	<p><b><u>Issues Discussed:</u></b> Last year’s goals were sent in the email. Read last year’s goals. What goals does this committee want?</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Train faculty to use eLumen. Was brought up and</li> <li>2. Training for the members of this committee for eLumen to support the faculty.</li> </ol>

		<p>3. To clarify the role of this committee          4. Determine how student learn outcomes fit in with program review and the bigger picture.</p> <p>Discussion to place on all of these proposed goals. One was dose the committee have the place and knowledge to train faculty. To date the eLumen committee has denied it any training for this committee. The members of this committee should be available to support faculty as it is part of the goal of this committee. It was asked who is on the eLumen committee. No one knows who the members are and those who are this committee have not been to any meetings. Glenn said it was stated by the main elumen team that outcomes would not help.</p> <p><b><u>Follow Up Items:</u></b></p>
<p>XI. Discussion Item – State of Outcomes</p>	<p>Glenn</p>	<p><b><u>Issues Discussed:</u></b></p> <p>At this time Glenn feels that this committee could go away as there is a lack of communication. However need 3/4<sup>th</sup> vote by the senate to make this happen. What others would like to do is splitting this committee between AP&amp;P and Program Review. Glenn does not have a problem with this split he just has a problem with the way it was handled. So this committee would be task force for each program review and AP&amp;P. In AP&amp;P doing SLO approvals and in Program Review working with action plans.</p> <p>Revisions will be done in CurricUNET and we will have a lot in our que to get through this term. We may have to do extra meetings. This will be played by ear. Watch for approvals from CurricUNET. We will need to update for new division reps. So they can have a que for approvals.</p> <p><b><u>Follow Up Items:</u></b></p>
<p>XII. Discussion Item – eLumen</p>	<p>Glenn</p>	<p><b><u>Issues Discussed:</u></b></p> <p>The implementation committee for eLumen took eLumen outcomes out of this committee hands. Therefore, they set things up different than what this committee has put into place. One of the big ones is that the Department Chairs are in charge of outcomes. When Glenn talked to Van Rider he basically said outcomes won't be around after this year. Another thing is every student is marked for every SLO when this committee stated that we would not do things this way.</p>

		<p>In talking to others Glenn was told it is a done deal and we just need to deal with. However, Glenn is going to the Senate to go over this because the eLumen committee is stating things are the way the outcomes committee wanted them when it was not disused with or not what this committee said. It was also stated that outcomes did not need to be for Summer or intersession.</p> <p>It is believed somethings could not be done but it was never been brought back to this committee. This made this committee look back according to Glenn.</p> <p>Fredy this is what happened before with Weave and then people did not like it.</p> <p>Wendy stated that we are putting data in for the 2018-2019 school year and most do not have individual student data. To overcome this and for training is was said to put grades in.</p> <p>Freddy asked who came up with due dates and putting in past data. Wendy stated this was to put in data to train.</p> <p>Stacy, 2018-2019 data is to be put in for students and if you don't have it just use grades. This is not right unless this is going to be deleted. Does not meet our time line made for accreditation. Part of the problem with weave was it was not set up right and we had crap for data. She is not going to enter grades. She is on the elumen implementation team and she was not told there was training. When asked to go to training she stated she was told she could not go.</p> <p>Freddy asked Meta did we have something on accreditation. Meta stated yes and we just need to be honest that it was not ready for the 2018-2019 school year.</p> <p>Glenn if this committee gets eLumen under our control we will look at it and see if we can get it to meet our guidelines. If no changes can be made this committee will need to change our guidelines. There are things in eLumen that look and work well. For example,</p> <p>Eugenie asked is there a statement made by someone that grades should not be used for SLOs. We need a statement from an organization that shows you are not to use grades from SLOs. A discussion took place over this topic.</p> <p><b><u>Follow Up Items:</u></b></p>
<p>XIII. Discussion Item – CurricUNET</p>	<p>Glenn</p>	<p><b><u>Issues Discussed:</u></b></p> <p>We will continue to use CurricUNET. Glenn will add new members. If you do not have access please let Glenn know.</p>

		<b><u>Follow Up Items:</u></b>
XIV. Discussion Item – Committee Make-up	Glenn	<b><u>Issues Discussed:</u></b> Glenn will have Nancy Masters to send out a call for Math, Science and Engineering other vacancies are filled.  <b><u>Follow Up Items:</u></b>
XV. Discussion/Action Item – Adjunct Representative	Glenn	<b><u>Issues Discussed:</u></b>  The reason we need to cover this is because a call needs to be sent out. However, we do not have duties for adjunct. In past we just had them help in their division. Should the adjunct have the ability to help their division or do they just have a say, do they have more duties. Stacy the adjunct is a third in a division so they should be just a voice for the adjunct faculty. Nathan and Fredy agreed with Stacy. Eugena asked if we could get money for the adjunct to do more work.  The role of the adjunct rep would be to provide the voice of the adjunct faculty. They are a voting member and in CurricUNET they can see SLO's they just do not approve at the division level.  <b><u>Follow Up Items:</u></b>
<b>NEXT MEETING DATE: 9/9/2019</b>		<b><u>End at 1625</u></b>