

2012 | FACT BOOK





Board of Trustees

The following citizens currently serve as members of the Antelope Valley College Board of Trustees:

Michael R. Adams, President

Steve Buffalo, Clerk

Jack Seefus, Vice President

Lew Stults, Member

Betty Wienke, Member

Sandra Govin, Student Trustee

Credits

Aeron Zentner, Research Analyst

Table of Contents

Introduction	3
Section One: Antelope Valley College Service Area	5
Section Two: Student Enrollment Trends	9
Section Three: New AVC Students	15
Section Four: Special Populations	18
Section Five: Success and Retention	20
Section Six: Awards and Transfer	23
Section Seven: AVC Employees	25
Section Eight: Accountability Reporting for the Community Colleges	27
Accountability in Higher Education	30

Introduction and General Technical Notes

The Antelope Valley Fact Book is a reference manual containing trend data concerning the district's service area, students, faculty, staff, instructional programs and services. Data and analysis contained in this volume provide background information to facilitate policy analysis and decision-making. Intended audiences for this compendium are district managers, faculty and staff, as well as other interested educational institutions and the community served by Antelope Valley College. We hope that it will be useful in analyzing information for program review and that it will facilitate the work for accreditation self-studies.

The Dean and research technician compiled the information in this book using many different resources and database information centers. Whenever possible the AVC data were drawn from the Chancellors' Office Data Mart; exceptions and additional information were drawn from Institutional Effectiveness Research and Planning's office analysis through the college databases. The primary source for student data used in this publication is the MIS data that is reported to the Chancellors' Offices. Other sources include SCT Banner student data, various state and federal reports, as well as external data (i.e., U.S. Census) concerning the communities we serve. Differences in numbers between this and other documents may occur because of the particular criteria applied when the data are selected. When a breakdown of a category includes a group called "Unknown," this group includes those who either left the category blank, or who marked "Unknown" or "Decline to State." When the "Unknown" category refers to age, it represents those whose age seems unreasonable for a community college student. The age may have resulted from an erroneous date of birth entry.

The ethnic categories are those currently defined in the California Community Colleges' MIS Data Element Dictionary. The category Asian Pacific includes those who identify Asian, Pacific Islander or Filipino as their ethnic group. Native American/Alaskan Native and Others are combined with Unknowns in some cases. In previous editions of the AVC Fact Book, Filipino and Hispanic students were combined.

All the information about AVC students reported in this book has been obtained from the Chancellors' Office Data Mart. The SCT Banner system database, which was established in 1985, has undergone numerous structural changes over the years and data sources and data entry practices have changed as well. If you have questions regarding any data outcomes, please contact the Department of Institutional Effectiveness, Research and Planning. For those who wish to refer to the Fact Book as a reference, we have added a list of tables and charts at the back.

Vision for the Department of Institutional Research

Institutional research, data and information are provided to national, state and community organizations, and to internal college offices to foster a 'data driven campus' with easy access to accurate institutional data and widely distributed reports that are easy to read and easy to use by multiple campus constituents to measure effectiveness and enhance improvement.

Mission

The primary purpose of the institutional research at Antelope Valley College (AVC) is to provide useful, accurate and timely information to decision makers. In addition, the office participates in meaningful discussions of the college's purpose and performance.

Institutional Research Goals

- Provide technical assistance in assessment and performance evaluation; research design and methodology; and data access, analysis, and reporting to internal college offices involved in academic instruction, grants, programs and services for planning and accountability purposes.
- Create links between strategic planning, college goals and institutional data by participating in discussions regarding research.
- Act as a district liaison to other colleges and state and federal agencies involved in issues of educational research and planning.

Departmental Strategies

Under the direction of the Dean of Institutional Research Effectiveness, Research and Planning, the research office provides the following services:

- Conducts statistical and empirical research
- Offers technical support and research assistance
- Provides timely, accurate and reliable reports on institutional effectiveness
- Instructional, grant-based, and student service program assessment
- Student success and satisfaction (student outcomes), and demographic data (statistical profiles)

Research Department Tactics

The primary services of the institutional research office include:

Ad Hoc Requests. Institutional data are gathered, analyzed and reported on an ad hoc basis to provide information for college decision makers. Analyzing responses to the Student Satisfaction Survey is an example.

Information Dissemination. Accurate information about Antelope Valley College is disseminated to individuals and groups both inside and outside the college as needed. The information contained in the Fact Book is an example.


Institutional Reports. Routine reports depicting student, personnel, fiscal, facility and community information is produced on a regular basis. Reporting information to support Accountability Reporting in California Community Colleges is an example.

Mandated Reports. Research activities and reports are completed to satisfy federal, state and local mandates. Validations of English and math placement tests and/or validation of biology prerequisites for vocational nursing are examples.


Special Projects. Large research projects are conducted as determined by the college management and as resources allow. Conducting an environmental scan to support a new vocational program is an example.

Technical Assistance. AVC managers, faculty and classified staff are given assistance in data access, collection and analysis; research design and methodology; and custom-reporting options as time allows. Assisting a faculty member in developing a survey for a program review is one example. Training staff on data access software (SDA Views) is another example.

Section 1: Antelope Valley College Service Area



The campus at Antelope Valley College is located north of the mountains that separate Antelope Valley from the Los Angeles basin. Mature trees and lush lawns on the 135-acre campus provide an inviting place for students to relax. The entire campus consists of 35 permanent buildings, 11 temporary buildings, five athletic fields, eight tennis courts and six parking lots. Academic disciplines generally are grouped on campus by subject area. The district also includes a second site located in Palmdale at 1529 E. Palmdale Blvd. The Palmdale site consists of classrooms on the first and third floors of the building, with an additional multipurpose room that was added in 2007.



Tab	Table 1-1 Community by Zip Code				
Community	Zip Code(s)				
Acton	93510				
California City	93505				
Edwards	93523, 93524				
Lake Hughes	93532				
Lake Los Angeles	93591				
Lancaster/QH	93534, 93535, 93536				
Littlerock	93543				
Mojave	93544				
Palmdale	93550, 93501, 93551, 93552				
Rosamond	93553				
Tehachapi	91350, 91351				

The dark shaded areas on the map above represent the college's highest enrollment service area, which comprises 92.9% of the total fall 2012 credit enrollment. The light shaded area is the extended service area with 3.3% of the total enrollment. Student enrollment of residential zip codes are unknown are 3.8%.

Community Comparison

	Table 1-2 Enrollment to Population Comparison								
City	Fall 2012 Percentage		Adult Community	Percentage	Total Community				
Acton	71	1.2%	5924	0.9%	7596				
California City	145	1.4%	10671	1.0%	14120				
Edwards	22	1.7%	1292	1.1%	2063				
Lake Hughes	49	9.0%	544	7.6%	649				
Lake Los Angeles	162	2.0%	8239	1.3%	12328				
Lancaster/ QH	6669	6.1%	109473	4.3%	156633				
Littlerock	332	34.7%	958	24.1%	1377				
Mojave	88	3.0%	2940	2.1%	4238				
Palmdale	5230	5.1%	102236	3.4%	152750				
Rosamond	493	3.8%	12860	2.7%	18150				
Tehachapi	152	1.3%	11815	1.1%	14414				
Unknown/Others	528	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A				
Total	13941	*5.0%	266952	*3.5%	384318				

Note: *Percent does not include Unknown/Others

Data retrieved from 2010 US Census and AVC Banner database

The adult community consists of persons 18 years and older. Any PO Box zip codes are assumed to be the city of residence for the students. Unavailable census data was left blank. The following are included within larger populations: Lake Hughes includes Elizabeth Lake (EL), Lancaster includes Quartz Hill (QH), Littlerock includes Juniper Hills (JH), and Palmdale includes Leona Valley (LV).

Demographic Comparison

Table 1-3 Gender Comparison						
Percent AVC Fall 2012 Total Community						
Female	58.4%	49.3%				
Male	40.1%	50.7%				

Note: AVC fall 2011 percentages does not include unknown which is 1.5%

Table 1-4 Ethnicity Comparison						
Percent	AVC Fall 2012	Total Community				
African-American	21.0%	11.2%				
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.4%	0.7%				
Asian	1.9%	2.7%				
Hispanic / Latino	42.2%	30.7%				
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.2%	0.2%				
Two or More Races	4.7%	3.7%				
Other/Unknown / Non-Respondent	1.4%	14.6%				
White	26.4%	36.3%				

Table 1-5 Age Comparison					
Percent	AVC Fall 2012	Total Community			
<20	27.3%	34.2%			
20 - 24	34.7%	7.7%			
25 - 34	12.1%	13.5%			
35 - 49	12.7%	21.2%			
50+	5.9%	23.4%			

Data retrieved from 2010 US Census and Chancellor's Office Data Mart

Compared to the local community, Antelope Valley College serves more female and African American students than would be expected. The white student population, on the other hand, is underrepresented compared to its local community proportion. It is unclear why this group of students has observed a decline in its proportion. One possible cause could be recruitment efforts targeted at minorities and/or the socioeconomically disadvantaged populations.

Section 2: Student Enrollment Trends

Table 2-1 Student Enrollment 2008-2009 to 2012-2013								
Term Enrollment 2008-2009 2009-2010 2010-2011 2011-2012 2012-2013								
Summer	7506	7870	3927	1907	1587			
Fall	15445	16043	14587	14294	13941			
Winter	4386	966	1789	184	N/A			
Spring	15527	12979	14551	14051	N/A			
Total	42864	37858	34965	30252	15528			

Data retrieved from Chancellor's Office Data Mart

Over the last five years, the AVC student population total has fluctuated greatly, hitting its peak in the 2008-2009 academic year. Unfortunately for AVC, this was the last year of headcount growth as the state observed a huge financial deficit. In turn, funding for education at all levels was cut. Because of this cut in funding, AVC has been unable to offer the same number of course sections as in years past, thus, student headcount has declined. One positive effect of this decline is that individual students, on average, have accounted for more FTES than five years ago. What this suggests is that students are enrolling in more units and staying enrolled beyond the census date. It is expected that AVC student goal achievement, whether it is degree or certificate attainment or transferring to a four-year institution, will improve as a result of increased attempted units.

Table 2-2 Fall Enrollment							
Headcount Fall 2008 Fall 2009 Fall 2010 Fall 2011 Fall 2012							
Enrollment 15445 16043 14587 14294 1							
Percent Change	9.7%	3.9%	-9.1%	-2.0%	-2.5%		




Figure 2-1 shows a steady increase in fall enrollment until 2010 which declines across following the academic years occur as a result of budgetary constraints due to state cuts.

Table 2-3 FTES Trend							
FTES Fall 2008 Fall 2009 Fall 2010 Fall 2011 Fall 2012							
Credit FTES	4863.6	4920.8	4766.4	4743.6	5040.7		
Non-Credit FTES 29.9 32.2 7.2 4.4							
Total	4893.4	4953	4773.7	4748	5040.7		

Data retrieved from Chancellor's Office Data Mart

Table 2-4 Fall Enrollment Load Comparison							
Headcount	Fall 2008	Fall 2009	Fall 2010	Fall 2011	Fall 2012		
Part-Time	10350	11004	9724	9331	9402		
Full-Time 5095 5039 4863 4963 453							
Total	15445	16043	14587	14294	13941		

Data retrieved from Chancellor's Office Data Mart




Table 2-4 shows a slight upward trend in enrollment load proportion full time full-time students during the past three fall semesters and .

Table 2-5 Time of Day Comparison							
Status	Fall 2008	Fall 2009	Fall 2010	Fall 2011	Fall 2012		
Day	11549	12207	11792	11834	11654		
Evening	3581	3487	2573	2255	2132		
Unknown	315	349	222	205	155		
Total	15445	16043	14587	14294	13941		




Table 2-5 shows the proportion of fall credit students taking at least one class during the day has increased over the previous five years. Note: Daytime status includes all students taking one or more classes before 5 p.m. Evening students take only classes offered on evenings and/or weekends. Students enrolled only in nontraditional classes, such as online instruction and independent study, are counted as evening.

Enrollment by Demographics

Table 2-6 Enrollment by Gender							
Headcount	Fall 2008	Fall 2009	Fall 2010	Fall 2011	Fall 2012		
Female	9236	9436	8540	8339	8147		
Male	6037	6424	5879	5752	5591		
Unknown	172	183	168	203	203		
Total	15445	16043	14587	14294	13941		




Table 2-6 presents a five-year trend for unduplicated student headcount by gender. While women have maintained their majority status over the last five years, the male student proportion stagnant around 40% of the overall population.

Table 2-7 Enrollment by Ethnicity								
Headcount	Fall 2008	Fall 2009	Fall 2010	Fall 2011	Fall 2012			
African-American	3146	2625	2828	2968	2929			
American Indian/Alaskan Native	159	114	82	58	49			
Asian	408	301	293	284	265			
Filipino	373	281	285	264	245			
Hispanic	4789	3907	4641	4871	5888			
Pacific Islander	69	52	55	418	33			
Two or More Races	N/A	N/A	262	36	651			
Unknown/Non-Respondent	1193	4803	1963	1581	198			
White Non-Hispanic	5308	3960	4178	3814	3683			
Total	15445	16043	14587	14294	13941			




Table 2-7 presents a five-year trend for unduplicated student headcount by ethnicity. Due to dual ethnicity coding requirements, special steps had to be taken to ensure the accuracy of this data. Unfortunately, the proportion for the Unknown/Unreported category in the fall 2009 academic year is artificially elevated because of known database issues.

Table 2-8 Enrollment by Age								
Headcount	Fall 2008	Fall 2009	Fall 2010	Fall 2011	Fall 2012			
19 or Less	5023	4999	4281	3982	3805			
20-24	4385	4847	4751	4804	4842			
25-29	1673	1789	1670	1700	1686			
30-34	995	1040	990	1066	1007			
35-39	869	841	698	690	634			
40-49	1494	1544	1310	1204	1142			
50+	1005	981	885	846	825			
Unknown	1	2	2	2	0			
Total	15445	16043	14587	14294	13941			

Table 2-9 Percent of Enrollment by Age								
Percent	Fall 2008	Fall 2009	Fall 2010	Fall 2011	Fall 2012			
19 or Less	32.5%	31.2%	29.3%	27.9%	27.3%			
20-24	28.4%	30.2%	32.6%	33.6%	34.7%			
25-29	10.8%	11.2%	11.4%	11.9%	12.1%			
30-34	6.4%	6.5%	6.8%	7.5%	7.2%			
35-39	5.6%	5.2%	4.8%	4.8%	4.6%			
40-49	9.7%	9.6%	9.0%	8.4%	8.2%			
50+	6.5%	6.1%	6.1%	5.9%	5.9%			
Unknown	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%			
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%			

Data retrieved from Chancellor's Office Data Mart

Table 2-8 presents a five-year trend for unduplicated student headcount by age. The proportion of students that are less than twenty years of age has decreased over the last five years, while the 20 to 24 age group has increased. This could possibly be a result of the recent decrease in section offerings at AVC. Students that are already taking courses at AVC have a higher likelihood of completing matriculation components and being assigned priority registration over incoming students who are unfamiliar with the enrollment process and less likely to be assigned priority registration.

Section 3: New AVC Students

Table 3-1 Enrollment Status								
Headcount	Fall 2008	Fall 2009	Fall 2010	Fall 2011	Fall 2012			
Continuing Student	8056	9102	8712	9085	9037			
First-Time Student	3929	3651	2958	2678	2426			
First-Time Transfer Student	884	855	770	654	705			
Returning Student	1907	1789	1630	1407	1204			
Special Admit Student	626	619	485	471	569			
Uncollected/Unreported	0	1	0	0	0			
Total	15402	16017	14555	14294	13941			




Table 3-1 shows the enrollment of returning students has more than doubled and continuing student enrollment has increased by 10.8% from the fall 2008 term. However, the first time student enrollment has decreased from 25.5% in fall 2008 to 17.4% in fall 2012, which may have to due to the limited offerings force by budgetary constraints.

High School Yield

Table 3-2 Student Yields from Feeder High Schools								
High School	Graduating Class Size 2012	AVC Freshman Fall 2012	High School Yield					
Antelope Valley Adult	81	2	2.5%					
Antelope Valley HS	366	76	20.8%					
Bethel Christian HS	18	3	16.7%					
Desert Christian HS	98	25	25.5%					
Desert HS (Edwards)	99	19	19.2%					
Desert Sands Charter School	186	2	1.1%					
Desert Winds HS	41	9	22.0%					
Eastside HS	471	58	12.3%					
Highland HS	648	165	25.5%					
Knight HS	646	49	7.6%					
Lancaster HS	510	138	27.1%					
Littlerock HS	321	82	25.5%					
Mojave HS	45	8	17.8%					
Palmdale HS	533	141	26.5%					
Paraclete HS	180	51	28.3%					
Quartz Hill HS	638	204	32.0%					
R. Rex Parris HS	76	1	1.3%					
Rosamond HS	177	48	27.1%					
SOAR HS	47	1	2.1%					
Tehachapi HS	273	25	9.2%					
Vasquez HS	104	9	8.7%					
Average Local Yield	5558	1116	20.1%					

Data retrieved from SARC 2011-2012 Report and AVC Banner database

Feeder schools are defined as all high schools within the college district that supply graduates to AVC. High school yield is the percentage of each school's graduating class that enrolls at AVC within one year of graduation.

Demographics

Table 3-3 Enrollment of First-Time Students by Gender								
Headcount Fall 2008 Fall 2009 Fall 2010 Fall 2011 Fall								
Female	2095	1907	1563	1407	1273			
Male	1810	1718	1353	1231	1118			
Unknown	34	34	45	42	35			
Total	3939	3659	2961	2680	2426			

Table 3-4 Percent of First-Time Students by Gender							
Percent	Fall 2008 Fall 2009 Fall 2010 Fall 2011 Fall 201						
Female	53.2%	52.1%	52.8%	52.5%	52.5%		
Male	46.0%	47.0%	45.7%	45.9%	46.1%		
Unknown	0.9%	0.9%	1.5%	1.6%	1.4%		
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		

Table 3-5 Enrollment of First-Time Students by Ethnicity								
Headcount	Fall 2008	Fall 2009	Fall 2010	Fall 2011	Fall 2012			
African-American	975	481	592	618	539			
American Indian/Alaskan Native	39	10	13	5	9			
Asian	91	30	38	39	29			
Filipino	69	16	53	29	32			
Hispanic	1371	550	1193	1042	1179			
Pacific Islander	27	6	12	5	3			
Two or More Races	N/A	N/A	109	111	91			
Unknown/Non-Respondent	257	2182	175	221	18			
White Non-Hispanic	1110	384	776	610	526			
Total	3939	3659	2961	2680	2426			

Table 3-5 Percent of First-Time Students by Ethnicity								
Percent	Fall 2008	Fall 2009	Fall 2010	Fall 2011	Fall 2012			
African-American	24.8%	13.1%	20.0%	23.1%	22.2%			
American Indian/Alaskan Native	1.0%	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%	0.4%			
Asian	2.3%	0.8%	1.3%	1.5%	1.2%			
Filipino	1.8%	0.4%	1.8%	1.1%	1.3%			
Hispanic	34.8%	15.0%	40.3%	38.9%	48.6%			
Pacific Islander	0.7%	0.2%	0.4%	0.2%	0.1%			
Two or More Races	0.0%	0.0%	3.7%	4.1%	3.8%			
Unknown/Non-Respondent	6.5%	59.6%	5.9%	8.2%	0.7%			
White Non-Hispanic	28.2%	10.5%	26.2%	22.8%	21.7%			
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%			

Section 4: Special Populations

Table 4-1 Special Population Counts								
Headcount	Fall 2008	Fall 2009	Fall 2010	Fall 2011	Fall 2012			
CalWORKS	609	669	601	645	670			
DSP&S	676	826	839	839	963			
EOPS/CARE	716	682	599	424	533			

Table 4-2 Percent Comparison								
Percent	Fall 2008	Fall 2009	Fall 2010	Fall 2011	Fall 2012			
CalWORKS	4.0%	4.2%	4.1%	4.5%	4.8%			
DSP&S	4.4%	5.2%	5.8%	5.9%	6.9%			
EOPS/CARE	4.6%	4.3%	4.1%	3.0%	3.8%			
AVC	87.0%	86.4%	86.0%	86.7%	84.5%			





Table 4-1 reflects on participation trends over the past five years within special populations at AVC showing California Work Opportunity and Responsibility to Kids (CalWORKs) participation has increased by 9.1%%, additionally DSP&S shows and increase of 29.8% participation. In contrast, Extended Opportunity Programs and Services (EOP&S/CARE) participation showed a 34.3% decrease.

Financial Aid Population

Table 4-3 Financial Aid Recipients by Type									
Type of Aid	2007-2008	2008-2009	2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012				
BOG Fee Waiver	9627	11490	12070	12443	12767				
Grants	4753	6393	7282	8270	8958				
Loans	1482	2140	2684	3220	3012				
Scholarship	171	157	184	172	164				
Work Study	109	71	123	108	89				
Total Recipients	10058	12106	12459	12716	13077				

Data retrieved from Chancellor's Office Data Mart



The Table 4-3 presents a five-year trend for unduplicated student headcount by type of financial aid received. Major increases in the proportion of students receiving BOG Fee Waivers, Grants, and Loans have been observed over the last five years. The proportion of students receiving some sort of grant funding has more than doubled. As financial resources have become scarce, the data suggest that alternative forms of funding are being increasingly utilized.

Section 5: Success and Retention

Table 5-1 Success and Retention									
Term	Fall 2008	Fall 2009	Fall 2010	Fall 2011	Fall 2012				
Success	64.5%	65.6%	67.5%	68.3%	70.3%				
Retention	83.6%	85.4%	85.2%	84.7%	87.5%				

Data retrieved from AVC Banner database




Table 5-1 shows a five year trend with success increasing 5.3% and retention increasing 3.9%.

Success Rates by Demographics

Table 5-2 Success by Gender									
Percent	Fall 2008	Fall 2009	Fall 2010	Fall 2011	Fall 2012				
Female	65.1%	66.5%	69.0%	69.1%	71.2%				
Male	63.6%	64.3%	65.4%	67.3%	69.0%				
Unknown	65.3%	64.6%	68.5%	70.2%	70.7%				

Table 5-3 Success by Ethnicity										
Percent	Fall 2008	Fall 2009	Fall 2010	Fall 2011	Fall 2012					
African-American	48.4%	50.9%	51.8%	55.1%	57.6%					
American Indian/Alaskan Native	68.0%	69.6%	62.8%	64.7%	68.0%					
Asian	77.3%	77.5%	84.1%	74.8%	78.4%					
Filipino	71.4%	73.1%	75.2%	71.8%	72.0%					
Hispanic	64.7%	65.8%	68.2%	64.7%	72.3%					
Multi-Ethnicity	N/A	N/A	66.3%	65.5%	71.9%					
Pacific Islander	63.2%	66.1%	67.9%	62.5%	67.0%					
Unknown	68.5%	73.2%	70.7%	71.1%	75.1%					
White Non-Hispanic	71.9%	65.6%	74.4%	73.1%	75.9%					

Table 5-4 Success by Age									
Percent	Fall 2008	Fall 2009	Fall 2010	Fall 2011	Fall 2012				
19 or Less	63.2%	65.8%	67.7%	69.4%	73.7%				
20 to 24	60.4%	62.1%	62.6%	65.2%	69.7%				
25 to 29	61.4%	60.9%	63.5%	64.8%	67.8%				
30 to 34	60.0%	61.8%	64.4%	66.1%	66.2%				
35 to 39	61.6%	60.5%	62.2%	62.3%	66.8%				
40 to 49	65.8%	66.9%	66.0%	68.5%	69.1%				
50 +	69.5%	68.2%	68.5%	69.1%	71.8%				
Unknown	53.8%	100.0%	80.0%	100.0%	N/A				

Data retrieved from AVC Banner database

Retention Rates by Demographics

Table 5-5 Retention by Gender									
Percent	Fall 2008	Fall 2009	Fall 2010	Fall 2011	Fall 2012				
Female	83.7%	85.4%	85.4%	84.6%	87.4%				
Male	83.7%	85.6%	84.8%	84.8%	87.6%				
Unknown	81.5%	83.1%	84.4%	85.9%	89.4%				

Table 5-6 Retention by Ethnicity										
Percent	Fall 2008	Fall 2009	Fall 2010	Fall 2011	Fall 2012					
African-American	77.0%	79.3%	79.1%	78.9%	83.0%					
American Indian/Alaskan Native	85.4%	86.1%	78.1%	83.4%	85.6%					
Asian	87.2%	92.1%	93.0%	86.9%	90.1%					
Filipino	86.9%	87.8%	86.1%	85.7%	86.0%					
Hispanic	85.1%	85.2%	86.3%	83.9%	89.0%					
Multi-Ethnicity	N/A	N/A	85.9%	83.5%	87.7%					
Pacific Islander	85.8%	86.4%	85.1%	82.7%	85.1%					
Unknown	84.7%	87.4%	86.1%	85.2%	87.3%					
White Non-Hispanic	85.7%	86.5%	87.0%	86.3%	88.9%					


Table 5-7 Retention by Age										
Percent	Fall 2008	Fall 2009	Fall 2010	Fall 2011	Fall 2012					
19 or Less	86.9%	89.2%	88.3%	88.2%	91.8%					
20 to 24	81.7%	83.3%	83.3%	82.5%	86.4%					
25 to 29	79.8%	82.5%	83.6%	81.2%	84.4%					
30 to 34	80.1%	83.3%	83.1%	81.2%	84.6%					
35 to 39	80.5%	80.2%	79.7%	79.2%	84.4%					
40 to 49	81.7%	82.6%	83.9%	81.0%	86.0%					
50 +	81.9%	83.8%	81.8%	80.9%	86.1%					
Unknown	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	40.0%	N/A					

Data retrieved from AVC Banner database

Section 6: Awards and Transfer

Table 6-1 AVC Awards									
Award Type	2007-2008	2008-2009	2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012				
Associate of Arts (A.A.) degree	579	533	436	488	501				
Associate of Science (A.S.) degree	313	314	314	348	357				
Total Degrees	892	847	750	836	858				
Certificate requiring 6 to < 18 units	10	8	0	0	0				
Certificate requiring 18 to < 30 units	124	121	150	148	170				
Certificate requiring 30 to < 60 units	119	137	203	240	251				
Total Certificates	253	266	353	388	421				
Total Awards	1145	1113	1103	1224	1279				

Data retrieved from Chancellor's Office Data Mart



The data shows a high rate of efficiency within the student population as headcount decreased 6.1% from 2010-2011to 2011-2012, but shows an increase in awards earned by 4.3%.

Transfer Data

This report uses the same transfer methodology used by Bahr, Hom & Perry (2005). The method tracks cohorts of first-time college students for six years to determine if they show "behavioral intent to transfer". A student becomes eligible to potentially enter a Transfer Cohort by enrolling for the first time at any California Community College (CCC). Students may be concurrently enrolled in high school (aka "special admits"), may be high school drop-outs, or may be high school graduates at the time of their initial enrollment. Students are assigned a cohort year according to the academic year in which they first enroll at a CCC. Transfer cohort students are attributed uniquely to one "home" community college based on where they earned most of their units.

The initial group or cohort of first-time students is evaluated six years after initial enrollment in order to determine if they have shown behavioral intent to transfer. If by six years after initial enrollment a student has completed twelve credit units and attempted transfer-level math or English, the student then enters into the Transfer Cohort and that student's transfer outcome is calculated for a variety of time frames ranging from three years after initial enrollment to as high as twelve years after initial enrollment, time allowing. Obviously, more recent cohorts will have a smaller range of time windows available with the more recent cohort showing transfer rates for just three years, four years, etc. after initial enrollment at a CCC.

It is important to note that although the Transfer Cohorts are not finalized until six years after initial enrollment, transfer rates can be retroactively calculated for this group for years three, four and five. The transfer rates are calculated using an official methodology developed in 2001 by the Chancellor's Office in consultation with constituent groups and adopted by the Transfer Data Technical Workgroup (TDTW). The cohorts are first-time college students with a minimum of 12 units earned who attempted a transfer level math or English course. The outcome is transfer to a four-year institution within a given time period subsequent to initial enrollment. A data match with the National Student Clearinghouse (NSC), University of California (UC) and California State University (CSU) provided information on the enrollment of former CCC students at public and private four-year transfer institutions within the United States.

Dividing the number of students with enrollments at a Bachelors-granting institution by the total size of the Transfer Cohort yields the transfer rate. The data mart provides the overall transfer rate for each Transfer Cohort as well as transfer rates for various sub-populations included in the Transfer Cohorts.

This methodological explanation is adapted from a longer document available at: http://www.ccctransfer.org/TransferReport.pdf

References


Bahr, P. R., Hom, W., & Perry, P. (2005). College transfer performance: A methodology for equitable measurement. *Journal of Applied Research in the Community College, 13* (1), 73-87.

Table 6-2 AVC Transfer Cohort Velocity									
Headcount	2002-2003	2003-2004	2004-2005	2005-2006	2006-2007				
Transferred Student	561	439	422	470	551				
Cohort Student	1731	1276	1224	1266	1597				
Transfer Rate	32%	34%	34%	37%	35%				

Section 7: AVC Employees

Table 7-1 AVC Employees by Position									
Position	Headcount	Percent							
Administration	24	3.0%							
Adjunct Faculty	381	47.7%							
CMS/Classified	234	29.3%							
Fulltime Faculty	168	21.0%							
Total	799	100.0%							

Data retrieved from Chancellor's Office Data Mart



The comparison of the data from Table 7-1 shows that the majority employee population is faculty at 68.7% with adjunct faculty making up 47.7% of the overall population.

Employee Demographics

Table 7-2 Faculty by Gender								
Faculty	Full-Time		ne Adjunct		All			
Female	81	48.2%	161	42.3%	242	44.1%		
Male	87	51.8%	220	57.7%	307	55.9%		
Total	168	100.0%	381	100.0%	549	100.00%		

Figure 7-3 Faculty by Ethnicity									
Faculty	F	Full-Time		Adjunct		All			
African-American	11	6.55%	26	6.82%	37	6.74%			
American Indian/Alaskan Native	1	0.60%	6	1.57%	7	1.28%			
Asian, Pacific Islander, Filipino	8	4.76%	16	4.20%	24	4.37%			
Hispanic	17	10.12%	32	8.40%	49	8.93%			
Other / Unknown	20	11.90%	68	17.85%	88	16.03%			
Two or More Races	4	2.38%	13	3.41%	17	3.10%			
White Non-Hispanic	107	63.69%	220	57.74%	327	59.56%			
Total	168	100.00%	381	100.00%	549	100.00%			

Figure 7-4 Administration and CMS/Classified by Gender						
Faculty Administrator CMS/Classified					All	
Female	9	56.3%	144	61.5%	153	61.2%
Male	7	43.8%	90	38.5%	97	38.8%
Total	16	100.0%	234	100.0%	250	100.0%

Figure 7-5 Administration and CMS/Classified by Ethnicity						
Staff	Adı	Administrator CMS/Classified			All	
African-American	2	12.50%	8	3.4%	10	4.0%
American Indian/Alaskan Native	0	0.0%	1	0.4%	1	0.4%
Asian, Pacific Islander, Filipino	0	0.0%	2	0.9%	2	0.8%
Hispanic	1	6.3%	21	9.0%	22	8.8%
Other / Unknown	11	68.8%	156	67.0%	167	67.1%
Two or More Races	0	0.0%	4	1.7%	4	1.6%
White Non-Hispanic	2	12.5%	41	17.6%	43	17.3%
Total	16	100.0%	233	100.0%	249	100.0%

Section 8: Accountability Reporting for the Community Colleges

Table 8-1 Student Progress and Achievement Rate						
2003-2004 to 2008-2009	2004-2005 to 2009-2010	2005-2006 to 2010-2011				
56.3%	54.8%	57.8%				

Percentage of first-time students who showed intent to complete and who achieved any of the following outcomes within six years: Transferred to a four-year college; or earned an AA/AS; or earned a Certificate (18 units or more); or achieved "Transfer Directed" status; or achieved "Transfer Prepared" status.

Table 8-2 Percent of Students Who Earned at Least 30 Units				
2003-2004 to 2008-2009	009 2004-2005 to 2009-2010 2005-2006 to 2010-2011			
56.3%	54.8%	57.8%		

Percentage of first-time students who showed intent to complete and who earned at least 30 units while in the California Community College System.

Table 8-3 Persistence Rate				
2003-2004 to 2008-2009	2004-2005 to 2009-2010	2005-2006 to 2010-2011		
67.8%	67.3%	69.6%		

Percentage of first-time students with a minimum of six units earned in a fall term and who returned and enrolled in the subsequent fall term anywhere in the system.

Table 8-4 Annual Successful Course Completion Rate for Credit Vocational Courses				
2003-2004 to 2008-2009	2004-2005 to 2009-2010	2005-2006 to 2010-2011		
74.4%	78.0%	77.4%		

Table 8-5 Annual Successful Course Completion Rate for Basic Skills Courses				
2003-2004 to 2008-2009	2004-2005 to 2009-2010 2005-2006 to 2010-2011			
56.0%	58.9%	59.4%		

Table 8-6 Basic Skills Improvement Rate			
2003-2004 to 2008-2009	2004-2005 to 2009-2010	2005-2006 to 2010-2011	
53.0%	56.9%	59.2%	

Table 8-7 ESL Improvement Rate				
2003-2004 to 2008-2009 2004-2005 to 2009-2010 2005-2006 to 2010-2011				
51.1%	44.0%	47.2%		

Table 8-8 Career Development and College Preparation (CDCP) Progress and Achievement Rate				
2003-2004 to 2008-2009 2004-2005 to 2009-2010 2005-2006 to 2010-2011				
10.1%	9.3%	11.8%		

	Table 8-9 Peer Grouping					
	Indicator	College's Rate	Peer Group	Peer Group Low	Peer Group High	Peer Group
Α	Student Progress and Achievement Rate	57.8	49.9	38	60.5	A1
В	Percent of Students Who Earned at Least 30 Units	75.9	73.3	65.7	81.4	В2
С	Persistence Rate	69.6	71	57.3	80.8	C3
D	Annual Successful Course Completion Rate for Credit Vocational Courses	77.4	73.3	62.6	81.3	D2
Ε	Annual Successful Course Completion Rate for Basic Skills Courses	59.4	58.5	40.8	70.2	E4
F	Basic Skills Improvement Rate	59.2	58.4	38.8	76.9	F2
G	ESL Improvement Rate	47.2	48.8	10.1	67.5	G2

College Self-Assessment

Antelope Valley College was founded in 1929 as a department of Antelope Valley Joint Union High School in Lancaster. The average daily attendance at the college was 13 during the 1929-30 school year. Enrollment grew steadily during the postwar years, partly because of the GI Bill of Rights and partly because Antelope Valley began developing an aircraft industry. In 1959, groundbreaking was held for a new college campus on 110 acres at Avenue K and 30th Street West where the college resides today. The college has expanded the campus size to approximately 135 acres through land purchases at the Lancaster location. A second site in the city of Palmdale has been added, with plans underway to establish a second permanent campus in Palmdale.

Antelope Valley College has a long history of serving the communities of the valley through preparation for transfer to four-year colleges, lifelong learning, basic skills, general education, and vocational education. Antelope Valley College affords students significant learning opportunities through devoted teaching, excellent support services, and an environment that is responsive to students' changing needs and circumstances.

The rapid growth of housing and population in Antelope Valley over the past decade has stopped because of the economic slowdown but has been replaced with an influx to the district of displaced workers seeking additional education and training. This increase in demand has been offset by a budget-induced reduction in course offerings. Enrollment was projected to grow from fall 2009 to 16,294 students, but instead fell to 14,555 students and has continued to decrease 14,294. Over the past decade the average age of the student body has declined as the proportion of under 20 students has grown to about 30%. This decline has again slowed this year with a continued influx of older students who have returned from the workforce to re-enter college. AVC has responded to both the changing needs of the younger student body with programs focused on increasing student success and retention and to the older students with additional workforce preparation courses.

Antelope Valley College scored in the mid-range in the peer group of each of the seven performance indicators in the ARCC 2012 report. Antelope Valley College showed increases in the Student Progress and Achievement Rate, the Persistence Rate, the ESL Improvement Rate, the Percent of Students Who Earned at Least 30 Units, the Annual Successful Course Completion Rate for Credit Basic Skills Courses, and the Basic Skills Improvement Rate. The Annual Successful Course Completion Rate for Vocational Courses fell this year.

Antelope Valley College is dedicated to raising performance in all areas with a goal of continuously improving the success of our students. The Basic Skills Committee, Student Equity Committee, and the Enrollment Management Committee have all continued efforts to increase persistence and success of students enrolled at Antelope Valley College.

Accountability in Higher Education

The Antelope Valley College Fact Book is a reference manual containing trend data about the district's service area; demographics on our students, faculty and staff; and enrollment patterns. Information contained in this publication is intended as a resource for AVC managers, faculty and staff to facilitate strategic planning and decision-making. In addition, The Antelope Valley College Fact Book addresses various accountability measures adopted by both the state and federal government, the California State Chancellor's Office and accrediting agencies as explained below.

Assembly Bill 1725 (1988) requires the Board of Governors of the California Community Colleges to develop and implement a comprehensive accountability program focused on system wide collection and reporting of information concerning student access, student success, student satisfaction, staff composition and fiscal condition. Assembly Bill 1808 (1991) elaborates on the provisions of AB 1725 to expand reporting requirements.

In 1998, **Senate Bill 645** established The Partnership for Excellence program (PFE) as a means of implementing the commitment of the California Community College to significantly expand its contribution to the social and economic success of California. Districts are required to report on their progress in contributing to statewide goals in five areas of performance: (1) transfer; (2) degrees and certificates; (3) successful course completion; (4) workforce development; and (5) basic skills improvement.

The Student Equity Program requires annual reporting by individual colleges to the State of California concerning access, retention, degree and certificate completion, ESL, basic skills completion and transfer for each of the historically underrepresented groups. With the amendments of 1998 (HR 1853), the federal government extended provisions of the Carl D. Perkins Vocational and Applied Technology Education Act to all populations. Previous amendments to this legislation had strengthened accountability requirements, requiring states to report annually on selected benchmarks designed to indicate progress in achieving a state's goals, including placement and retention, increased earnings and skill mastery.

The Western Association of Schools and Colleges (WASC) provide in its accreditation standards for assessment of "Institutional Integrity, Purposes, Planning and Effectiveness." This stand requires that the "planning process uses the results of institutional research on subjects such as institutional effectiveness, student outcomes, and demographics" (1C.2) and, further, that "institutional research and program review are conducted as essential elements in planning and evaluating institutional effectiveness" (1D.1).

We hope the data displayed on the preceding pages will assist the college in ensuring access to a quality education to students and successful fulfillment of the district's mission, as well as compliance with state and federal requirements.

List of Tables

TABLE	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
Table 7-5	Administration, CMS and Classified by Ethnicity	26
Table 7-4	Administration, CMS and Classified by Gender	26
Table 1-5	Age Comparison	8
Table 8-5	Annual Successful Course Completion Rate for Basic Skills Courses	27
Table 8-4	Annual Successful Course Completion Rate for Credit Vocational Courses	27
Table 6-1	Awards	23
Table 8-6	Basic Skills Improvement Rate	27
Table 8-8	Career Development and College Preparation Progress and Achievement Rate	28
Table 1-1	Community by Zip Code	6
Table 7-1	Employees by Position	25
Table 2-8	Enrollment by Age	14
Table 2-7	Enrollment by Ethnicity	13
Table 2-6	Enrollment by Gender	12
Table 3-5	Enrollment of First-Time Students by Ethnicity	17
Table 3-3	Enrollment of First-Time Students by Gender	17
Table 3-1	Enrollment Status	15
Table 1-2	Enrollment to Population Comparison	7
Table 8-7	ESL Improvement Rate	27
Table 1-4	Ethnicity Comparison	8
Table 7-3	Faculty by Ethnicity	26
Table 7-2	Faculty by Gender	26
Table 2-2	Fall Enrollment	9
Table 2-4	Fall Enrollment Load Comparison	10
Table 4-3	Financial Aid Recipients by Type	19
Table 2-3	FTES Trend	10
Table 1-3	Gender Comparison	8
Table 8-9	Peer Grouping	28
Table 8-3	Persistence Rate	27
Table 5-7	Retention by Age	22
Table 5-6	Retention by Ethnicity	22
Table 5-5	Retention by Gender	22
Table 4-1	Special Population Counts	18
Table 2-1	Student Enrollment 2008-2009 to 2012-2013	9
Table 8-1	Student Progress and Achievement Rate	27
Table 3-2	Student Yields from Feeder High Schools	16
Table 8-2	Students Who Earned at Least 30 Units	27
Table 5-1	Success and Retention	20
Table 5-4	Success by Age	21
Table 5-3	Success by Ethnicity	21
Table 5-2	Success by Gender	21
Table 2-5	Time of Day Comparison	11
Table 6-2	Transfer Cohort Velocity	24



Department of Institutional Effectiveness, Research and Planning 3041 West Avenue K | Lancaster, CA 93536 | 661.722.6398 | azentner@avc.edu