

# **Minutes - Equity Committee** Meeting

Tuesday, April 30, 2018 L-201 3:30 PM - 5:00 PM

**Type of Meeting**: AVC Student Equity Working Group Meeting (*Regular*) Note Taker: Darlene O'Keeffe, Clerical III Please Review/Bring: Agenda

## Atte

Absent:
Jason Bowen- Faculty, Math and Sciences
Dr. Rashitta Brown-Elize- Director, EOP&S
Dr. De'Nean Coleman-Carew- Counselor, P.R.I.D.E
Marisela Corona- Technician I, CalWorks
Scott Covell- Faculty, Rhetoric and Literacy
Rosa Fuller- Counselor, Counseling & Matriculation
Vanessa Gibson- Specialist, Financial Aid
Sergio Gomez- Director, Human Resources & Employee
Relations
Vejea Jennings- Faculty, Rhetoric and Literacy
Dr. Louis Lucero- Director, Office of Students with
Disabilities
Susan Lowry- Faculty, Rhetoric and Literacy, Basic Skills
Faculty Co-Chair
Tina McDermott- Faculty, Rhetoric and Literacy
Audrey Moore- Counselor, Counseling & Matriculation
Brian Palagallo- Faculty, Rhetoric and Literacy
Tamira Palmetto Despain- D.S. Specialist, Office for
Students with Disabilities
Michael Rios- Faculty, Kinesiology, Athletics, and Dance
Yuliana Sandoval- Technical Analyst, Financial Aid
Rich Sim- Faculty, Arts and Humanities
LaDonna Trimble- Dean, Enrollment Services
Dr. Sherri Zhu- Faculty, Social & Behavioral Sciences

	ltems	Person	Action				
١.	Meeting	Rashall	Issues Discussed:				
	Minutes for	Hightower-Sticke	• The following documents were handed out and also emailed				
	April 9, 2019	1	to committee members:				
	Meeting		o April 9, 2019 Meeting Minutes				
	_		o Nova Data				
			o Student Equity metric data Definitions				
			o Tableau Data				
			Members were asked for recommended changes to April 9				
			Meeting Minutes- there were none.				
			Follow Up Items:				



			• Committee members not included in the teams listed in <i>Section III, Sub-Groups</i> of the April 9, 2019 meeting minutes are to join a group aligned with their interests and assist with that section of the report.
.	SEA Data Review	Dr. Svetlana Deplazes Dr. Meeta Goel	<ul> <li>Issues Discussed:</li> <li>Dr. Goel discussed the over-arching goals from the chancellor's office and how they relate to NOVA.</li> <li>Chancellor's office removed most of the data for groups that had less than 10 individuals.</li> <li>Gender is not a separate category.</li> <li>Dr. Deplazes clarified how to read the Tableau dashboard numbers.</li> <li>Minimum equity is 80% and only appears in the NOVA data; Full equity appears on the Tableau dashboard.</li> <li>Student Population tab on Tableau dashboard shows bar charts of 4-years of historical data.</li> </ul>
			<ul> <li>Follow Up Items:</li> <li>In order to achieve the Chancellor's goals, it is the Committee's task to set AVC's goals and activities for achieving them, for each separate group.</li> <li>Rashall Hightower-Stickel to send members a link to the Tableau dashboard.</li> </ul>
111.	Metric Data Definitions	Dr. Svetlana Deplazes Dr. Meeta Goel	<ul> <li>Issues Discussed:</li> <li>Rashall clarified the Student Equity Metric Definitions sheet:         <ul> <li>Front Side- definitions of metrics on Tableau Dashboard</li> <li>Reverse Side- Student Equity Indicators as defined by the Chancellor's office.</li> <li>Baseline: Refers to 17/18 data as shown in NOVA</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
IV.	Report Out From Sub-Committee s	Rashall Hightower-Sticke I	<ul> <li>Issues Discussed:         <ul> <li>'Activities' defined: Programs, events, activities inside and outside of the classroom.</li> <li>Transfer: Dr. Vines reported out             <ul> <li>Identify students who are physically disabled and male in each category who have demonstrated academic achievement that reflect transfer-ready skills who are not on track to transfer.</li> </ul> </li> </ul> </li></ul>



<ul> <li>o Target group will be analyzed and each student will be guided by the counselor or target area, EOPS, wherever they are connected on campus, to assist with that effort ensuring the students are aware of the Learning Center support.</li> <li>o Create hourly coaches to case manage to focus on disabled males transfer to universities, enhancing what the counselors are already doing.</li> <li>o Push alert messaging to target groups to provide the information we want them to have.</li> <li>o Visit the disciplines and ask what they can do in the classroom and find out some of the things they're doing.</li> </ul>
Access: Kenya Johnson reported out
<ul> <li>Start by reflecting on Access data and develop research questions to guide inquiry into the Access for under-represented populations.</li> <li>Appoint an inquiry team that meets the characteristics of a high-learning team, with 10 key individuals on campus involved in that team to meet throughout the year and conduct the inquiry.</li> <li>Map out Outreach related services, structures and activities campus-wide, including with faculty and different areas on campus such as Student Services and friends of Outreach efforts.</li> <li>Look at training for the inquiry team.</li> <li>Using one of the models from the CUE conference.</li> </ul>
o I-Foster Student Workers to help support foster youth
as peer mentors.
<ul> <li>o Create a directory of resources that are available to students through faculty, Student Services, web pages, emails, after registration, and canvas, including grades.</li> <li>o Create a Parent University- videos for parents with</li> </ul>
information that includes a growth mind set, expectations for students, resources available to their student(s) to guide parents in understanding what a successful student looks like.



		, i	
		0	Communication to students using Apps, push notifications, early alerts, imbedded tutors, coordinated peer mentor program, emergency funds for students.
	Rashall	0	Expanded opportunity for community partners, i.e. legal assistance.
	tower-Sticke I	0	More support in the classroom with BooksH.E.L.P, student and faculty classroom supplies and increased coordination of technology.
Dr. A	debayo-Ige	0	Spoke about making a more coordinated effort within all of Student Services, i.e. how to help students deal with 'syllabus shock'- maybe have them sit through a session- and making sure that students have all this information when they first get to AVC.
	Michelle Iernandez	0	Advocacy fatigue was discussed- knowing what it is and how to identify it when it occurs, as well as providing faculty and staff support and training on how to recognize a student in crisis.
	Rashall tower-Sticke I	0	Dr. Adebayo-Ige wrote a paper on AVID strategy and the importance of mentoring. University of Texas at Austin had increased their retention rate using this strategy. Have students who excel in a class mentor students that need help. It provides encouragement and motivation for the student to keep going. Sharon Wilson is successfully using that AVID strategy in her business class, where she has a peer mentor from a previous class go in and peer mentor her students in
Ziı	Dr. Jill mmerman	0	the next class. There was discussion about also using push notifications, short 90 second videos, to educate and
Hei	di Williams	_	guide students to be on track- quick, fun, and engaging.
Ziı	Dr. Jill mmerman	0	Looking at all communications through an equity lens- how do we communicate to the student that they will be made accountable but also finding out what happened during the semester what contributed to the student being unresponsive or not fulfilling their responsibilities? Have more of a check-in approach rather than a punitive approach- helps develop rapport.
Dr.	Erin Vines	0	The needs of our personal and AVC community are very different than where some of our faculty live. How do



· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
		we prepare ourselves and our campus to know our students and have approaches that work for where the students are, in and out of the classroom?
		<ul> <li>A mind-shift is needed to see our students differently because we haven't had an accurate view of what an entry-level English/Math class looked like before.</li> </ul>
		Concerned that equity gaps are going to widen before they get better because instructors aren't going to
	Michelle Hernandez	know how to teach those students and may judge them. o The AVID Retreat training was suggested to prepare
	Heidi Williams	faculty for these students.
		<ul> <li>Some colleges are reporting favorable results. What kind of training do they provide their faculty?</li> </ul>
		<ul> <li>General discussion of the possibility of tracking students'</li> </ul>
	Dr. Jill	grades through Banner and Canvas to see discrepancies and the effects of the transition on the students.
	Zimmerman	o Possible strategy looking at each classrooms' grade
		distribution per assignments, dis-aggregated with the equity groups. Having that historical data as part of the
		conversation will enable each instructor to look at their own data and determine patterns and identify what
		they're doing in their classroom that they can attribute
		to either closing the equity gap or widening it. CUE can come to AVC to help disaggregate that data.
		<ul> <li>The pros/cons of different types of early alert and</li> </ul>
		<ul><li>mid-semester check-in systems were discussed.</li><li>Basic Skills committee is currently working on a home-grown</li></ul>
		early alert system and enable an early alert before 8 weeks.
		There will be presentations on Fall opening day about the resources that will be available to them, such as the early
		alert and embedded tutoring, to help instructors see how to
		<ul> <li>change the culture of their classroom</li> <li>Faculty will need to be more involved and take more</li> </ul>
		ownership in order to change the culture. Every faculty
		member is a student success coach. It's about what instructors are collaboratively doing, not just what the
		student does that contributes to success or the lack thereof.
		<ul> <li>We often look at Equity as a space in an office rather than a culture of Equity throughout the campus and in the</li> </ul>



			classrooms. It needs to be discussed in Division and Board meetings, in the Campus Update newsletter, at hiring tables- in everything we do and everywhere we go.
V.	Student Equity Google Form and Data Collection from Campus	Rashall Hightower-Sticke I	<ul> <li>Issues Discussed:</li> <li>It was noticed that data entered into the NOVA system has been changed and moved. Asking that one person from each sub-committee be assigned to enter the data.</li> <li>An overview of a Google form created by RHS was provided. To be used campus-wide so anyone can write to the report.</li> <li>Ensure that clubs have an opportunity to contribute.</li> </ul>
			<ul> <li>Follow Up Items:</li> <li>RHS to create electronic version of the QuickWrite.</li> <li>Get the QuickWrite out to staff and faculty. Ask faculty to give it to their students.</li> <li>RHS to email the link to the Google form.</li> <li>Committee members: Provide feedback for changes and suggestions to improve the Google form</li> <li>Student Equity: <ul> <li>Conduct a Student Equity Tour across campus to explain Equity.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
VI.	Goals and Benchmarks	Rashall Hightower-Sticke I	<ul> <li>Issues Discussed:</li> <li>The Committee reviewed and discussed the AVC Local Goals Related to CCCCO Vision for Success Goals &amp; College Indicators of Success, May 2019 document.</li> <li>Members were asked for suggestions for goals for the committee.</li> <li>For 18/19: Complete Equity Plan</li> <li>To spread Equity across the campus in 18/19 and continue it for 19/20.</li> <li>Request to send more committee members to the CUE and bring CUE to AVC.</li> <li>It was suggested to align AVC's goals with the Chancellor's goals and set targets for the next 5 years.</li> </ul>
			Follow Up Items:



	<ul> <li>Members to email recommendations for a couple of goals for the committee to RHS.</li> </ul>
NEXT MEETING DATE:	Date: May 14, 2019
	<b>Time:</b> 3:30pm to 5:00pm
	Location: L-201

#### Metric: Attained the Vision Goal Completion Definition

Definition: The Attained Goal metric is an unduplicated count of students who earned one or more of the following: Chancellor's Office approved certificate, associate degree, and/or CCC baccalaureate degree, and had an enrollment in the selected or previous year (Student Success Metric 619SW).

									Y	
	Academic Y.	<b>U</b>	Disagg	Gender			0	% Subgro PPG (%		
tained the	2014-2015	Ethnicity	American Indian or Alas		2	0.72	3.3%	4.6%	Metric     Attained the Vision Goal Completion	
sion Goal			Black or African American	Female	116	0.69	3.2%	4.6%	Completed Both Transfer-Level Mat	
efinition				Male	56	0.48	2.2%	4.6%	Enrolled in the Same Community C	
			Native Hawaiian or other	Male	0	0.00	0.0%	4.6%	Retained from Fall to Spring at the	
		Foster Youth	Foster Youth	Female	23	0.58	2.7%	4.6%	Transferred to a Four-Year Institution	
				Male	14	0.58	2.7%	4.6%		
		LGBT	LGBT	Male	1	0.19	0.9%	4.6%		
	2015-2016	Ethnicity	American Indian or Alas	Male	2	0.78	3.9%	5.0%	Academic Year	
			Black or African American	Female	114	0.66	3.3%	5.0%	2013-2013	
				Male	49	0.44	2.2%	5.0%	✓ 2016-2017	
			Native Hawaiian or other	Female	0	0.00	0.0%	5.0%	2017-2018	
		Foster Youth	Foster Youth	Foster Youth	Female	33	0.66	3.3%	5.0%	
				Male	14	0.46	2.3%	5.0%	Quarter	
		LGBT	LGBT	Male	4	0.66	3.3%	5.0%	Gender	
	2016-2017	Ethnicity	Black or African American	Female	106	0.65	3.4%	5.3%	✓ Female	
				Male	63	0.64	3.4%	5.3%	✓ Male	
			Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	Female	0	0.00	0.0%	5.3%		
				Male	0	0.00	0.0%	5.3%		
		Foster Youth	Foster Youth	Female	32	0.60	3.2%	5.3%	Subgroup All	
		LGBT	LGBT	LGBT	Female	11	0.56	2.9%	5.3%	
	Male	4	0.43	2.2%	5.3%	PI or PPG				
	2017-2018	Ethnicity	American Indian or	Female	2	0.74	3.9%	5.3%	▼ PI	
			Alaska Native	Male	0	0.00	0.0%	5.3%	✓ PPG	
			Asian	Male	9	0.77	4.1%	5.3%	-	
			Black or African American	Female	120	0.77	4.1%	5.3%	-	
				Male	67	0.71	3.8%	5.3%	Note: Transfer data are not available for	
			Native Hawaiian or other	Female	1	0.59	3.1%	5.3%	2017-2018 base-line year (choose prior years)	
		Foster Youth	Foster Youth	Female	42	0.78	4.1%	5.3%	Disagg	
				Male	17	0.56	3.0%	5.3%	Multiple values	
		LOPT		Fomolo		0.00	4 4 0/	E 00/		

#### Definitions

Proportionality Index (PI) - "Compares the percentage of a disaggregated subgroup in an initial cohort to its own percentage in the resultant outcome group" - CCCC0 2015 Equity Guidelines (if PI < 1.0 the Subgroup is less prevalent in the Outcome group in in cohort group) Percentage Point Gap (PPG) - "Compares the percent of students in a disaggregated subgroup who succeed in an outcome with the percent of all students who succeed in the same outcome"

- CCCCO 2015 Equity Guidelines

## **DI Y/N** □ N ✔ Y DI Y/N

Y

#### Metric: Completed Both Transfer-Level Math and English within the Distric

Defintion: The Transfer Level Math and English is defined as the proportion of students who completed both transfer-level math and English in their first academic year of credit enrollment at AVC (Student Success Metric 501SW).

## DI Y/N □ N ✓ Y

Aetric Desc	Academic Year	Subgroup	Disagg	Gender	Numerator	PI (< 1.00 then DI)	% within group	% Subgroup	PPG (% - %Subgroup)	Y	
ompleted	2014-2015	Disabled	Disabled	Female	0		0.0%	3.5%	-3.5%	Metric Attained the Vision Goal Completion I	
oth ansfer-				Male	0		0.0%	3.5%	-3.6%	Completed Both Transfer-Level Math	
evel Math		Economically D	Economically Disadvant	Female	32		2.0%	3.5%	-2.6%	C Enrolled in the Same Community Coll	
nd English		Ethnicity	Black or African American	Female	1		0.3%	3.5%	-3.5%	Retained from Fall to Spring at the Sa	
thin the stric				Male	5		1.4%	3.5%	-2.3%	O Transferred to a Four-Year Institution	
sinc		Foster Youth	Foster Youth	Female	1		0.8%	3.5%	-2.7%		
	2015-2016	Disabled	Disabled	Male	1		1.0%	5.1%	-4.2%	Academic Year	
		Ethnicity	Black or African American	Female	8		2.7%	5.1%	-2.6%	✓ 2014-2015	
				Male	9		3.1%	5.1%	-2.2%	2015-2016	
			More than one race	Male	1		1.4%	5.1%	-3.8%	2016-2017	
		Foster Youth	Foster Youth	Female	0		0.0%	5.1%	-5.3%	2017-2018	
		Veteran	Veteran	Male	0		0.0%	5.1%	-5.1%		
	2016-2017	Disabled	Disabled	ed Disabled	Female	1		1.1%	6.6%	-5.6%	Gender
				Male	1		1.6%	6.6%	-5.1%		
		Ethnicity	Ethnicity	Black or African American	Female	6		2.3%	6.6%	-4.7%	Female
				Male	1		0.4%	6.6%	-6.7%	<ul> <li>Male</li> </ul>	
			Some other race	Female	0		0.0%	6.6%	-6.6%		
		Foster Youth	Foster Youth	Female	1		0.7%	6.6%	-6.1%	Subgroup	
		LGBT	LGBT	Female	1		1.5%	6.6%	-5.2%	All	
				Male	0		0.0%	6.6%	-6.6%		
	2017-2018	Disabled	Disabled	Female	1		1.1%	5.4%	-4.5%	PI or PPG	
		Ethnicity Black or African American	Female	2		0.6%	5.4%	-5.3%	<ul><li>✓ PI</li><li>✓ PPG</li></ul>		
				Male	6		2.3%	5.4%	-3.4%	I PPG	
		Foster Youth	Foster Youth	Female	2		1.3%	5.4%	-4.3%		
				Male	0		0.0%	5.4%	-5.6%	Note: Transfer data are not available for	
		LGBT	LGBT	Male	0		0.0%	5.4%	-5.5%	2017-2018 base-line year (choose prior years)	
										<b>Disagg</b> Multiple values	

#### Definitions

Proportionality Index (PI) - "Compares the percentage of a disaggregated subgroup in an initial cohort to its own percentage in the resultant outcome group" - CCCC0 2015 Equity Guidelines (if PI < 1.0 the Subgroup is less prevalent in the Outcome group in in cohort group) Percentage Point Gap (PPG) - "Compares the percent of students in a disaggregated subgroup who succeed in an outcome with the percent of all students who succeed in the same outcome"

- CCCCO 2015 Equity Guidelines

#### Metric: Enrolled in the Same Community College

Definition: The Access metric computes the number of students who applied to AVC , indicated a degree/transfer goal and who enrolled at AVC during the selected year (Student Success Metric 300SW).

etric Desc	Academic Y	Subgroup	Disagg	Gender	Numerator	PI (< 1.00 %	% within g	% Subgro	PPG (%	Y
nrolled in	2014-2015	Ethnicity	Black or African American	Female	1,185		42.8%	45.4%	-3.1%	-
e Same ommunity				Male	814		42.1%	45.4%	-3.7%	Metric Attained the Vision Goal Completi
ollege			Some other race	Female	36		26.7%	45.4%	-18.9%	Completed Both Transfer-Level M
U				Male	24		30.4%	45.4%	-15.1%	Enrolled in the Same Community
			White	Female	788		40.3%	45.4%	-5.7%	Retained from Fall to Spring at the
	2015-2016	Ethnicity	Black or African American	Female	2,125		41.9%	46.0%	-4.9%	O Transferred to a Four-Year Institu
				Male	1,428		41.7%	46.0%	-4.8%	
			Some other race	Female	67		34.5%	46.0%	-11.5%	Academic Year
			Male	41		35.0%	46.0%	-11.0%	✓ 2014-2015	
			White	Female	1,547		42.0%	46.0%	-4.6%	2015-2016
		Foster Youth	Foster Youth	Male	250		41.3%	46.0%	-4.8%	2016-2017
	2016-2017	Disabled	Disabled	Female	491		41.7%	46.5%	-5.0%	2017-2018
		Ethnicity	Black or African American	Female	2,080		41.3%	46.5%	-6.1%	
				Male	1,313		41.0%	46.5%	-6.1%	Gender
			Native Hawaiian or other	Female	28		34.1%	46.5%	-12.4%	
			White	Female	1,552		41.4%	46.5%	-5.7%	<ul> <li>Female</li> </ul>
		Foster Youth		Female	456		41.4%	46.5%	-5.3%	✓ Male
				Male	250		41.3%	46.5%	-5.3%	
		LGBT	LGBT	Female	312		42.3%	46.5%	-4.3%	Subgroup
		Veteran	Veteran	Male	151		41.3%	46.5%	-5.3%	All
	2017-2018	2018 Ethnicity	American Indian or Alas	Male	44		28.2%	42.9%	-14.7%	
			Asian	Female	280		37.6%	42.9%	-5.3%	PI or PPG
				Male	222		29.1%	42.9%	-14.1%	✓ PI ✓ PPG
			Black or African American	Female	2,135		40.4%	42.9%	-2.9%	✓ PPG
				Male	1,339		39.2%	42.9%	-4.0%	
			Native Hawaiian or other	Female	31		32.3%	42.9%	-10.6%	
			Pacific Islander	Male	21		17.5%	42.9%	-25.4%	Note: Transfer data are not available for 2017-2018 base-line year (choose prior
			Some other race	Female	54		24.4%	42.9%	-18.5%	years)
				Male	32		11.0%	42.9%	-32.1%	Disagg
			White	Female	1,536		38.7%	42.9%	-4.7%	Multiple values

#### Definitions

**Proportionality Index (PI)** - "Compares the percentage of a disaggregated subgroup in an initial cohort to its own percentage in the resultant outcome group" – CCCCO 2015 Equity Guidelines (If PI < 1,0 the Subgroup is less prevalent in the Outcome group than in cohort group)

Percentage Point Gap (PPG) - "Compares the percent of students in a disaggregated subgroup who succeed in an outcome with the percent of all students who succeed in the same outcome" – CCCCO 2015 Equity Guidelines

#### DI Y/N □ N ✔ Y

#### Metric: Retained from Fall to Spring at the Same College

Defintion: Retention is defined as the the proportion of students retained from fall to spring at AVC in the selected year, excluding students who completed an award or transferred to a postsecondary institution (Student Success Metric 406SW).

#### DI Y/N N Y DI Y/N

Academic     PI (< 1.00	
Retained 2014-2015 Economically D.: Economically Disadvant Male 3,432 71.6% 73.1% -2.2% Ottained the Vision Goa	
Spring at the Same Male 659 60.6% 73.1% -13.6% Enrolled in the Same Completed both Transfer	
College More than one race Male 169 65.8% 73.1% -7.5% Retained from Fall to Sp	oring at the Sa
Some other race Female 56 56.6% 73.1% -16.6% O Transferred to a Four-Yo	ear Institution
Male 38 51.4% 73.1% -21.8%	
Foster Youth Foster Youth Female 323 68.4% 73.1% -4.8%	
Male         186         64.1%         73.1%         -9.1%         Academic Year           2014-2015         Image: Control of the second secon	
Veteran Veteran Male 181 64.6% 73.1% -8.6% 2015-2016	
2015-2016 Ethnicity Black or African American Female 1,048 66.8% 72.6% -6.6% 2016-2017	
Male 597 63.2% 72.6% -10.1% 2017-2018	
Foster Youth Foster Youth Female 309 63.4% 72.6% -9.5%	
Male 193 66.1% 72.6% -6.6% Gender	
2016-2017 Ethnicity Black or African American Female 924 65.2% 71.8% -7.5%	
Male 547 66.5% 71.8% -5.7% Female	
Foster Youth Foster Youth Female 306 65.1% 71.8% -7.0%	
Male 163 63.4% 71.8% -8.6%	
LGBT LGBT Female 107 61.8% 71.8% -10.1% Subgroup	
Veteran Veteran Male 153 64.6% 71.8% -7.4% All	
2017-2018         Ethnicity         Black or African American Female         945         66.0%         71.3%         -5.9%	
Male 497 59.3% 71.3% -12.8% Pl or PPG	
Some other race         Male         25         53.2%         71.3%         -18.2%         PI           Foster Youth         Easter Youth         Male         172         58.9%         71.3%         -12.7%         PPG	
Foster Youth Foster Youth Male 172 58.9% 71.3% -12.7%	
Veteran         Male         153         62.4%         71.3%         -9.1%	

Note: Transfer data are not available for 2017-2018 base-line year (choose prior years)
Disagg

Multiple values

#### Definitions

**Proportionality Index (PI)** - "Compares the percentage of a disaggregated subgroup in an initial cohort to its own percentage in the resultant outcome group" – CCCCO 2015 Equity Guidelines (If PI < 1,0 the Subgroup is less prevalent in the Outcome group than in cohort group)

Percentage Point Gap (PPG) - "Compares the percent of students in a disaggregated subgroup who succeed in an outcome with the percent of all students who succeed in the same outcome" - CCCCO 2015 Equity Guidelines

#### Metric: Transferred to a Four-Year Institution

Definition: The Transfer metric is the number of students who transferred from AVC to a postsecondary institution (Student Success Metric 620SW).

## 

										DI Y/N
	Academic					PI (< 1.00	% within		PPG (% -	Y
Metric Desc	Year	Subgroup	Disagg	Gender	Numerator	then DI)		% Subgroup	%Subgroup)	Metric
Transferred	2014-2015	Disabled	Disabled	Male	31	0.75	5.8%	7.7%		Attained the Vision Goal Completion D
to a Four-Year		Ethnicity	American Indian or Alas	Female	2	0.78	6.1%	7.7%		Completed Both Transfer-Level Math
Institution			Hispanic or Latino	Male	147	0.75	5.8%	7.7%		Enrolled in the Same Community Coll
			Native Hawaiian or other	Male	0	0.00	0.0%	7.7%		Retained from Fall to Spring at the Sa
		Foster Youth	Foster Youth	Female	23	0.68	5.2%	7.7%		Transferred to a Four-Year Institution
				Male	11	0.60	4.7%	7.7%		
		LGBT	LGBT	Female	7	0.76	5.8%	7.7%		
				Male	2	0.41	3.2%	7.7%		Academic Year 2014-2015
	2015-2016	Disabled	Disabled	Male	25	0.66	5.1%	7.7%		2014-2013
		Ethnicity	Black or African American	Male	48	0.73	5.6%	7.7%		2016-2017
			Native Hawaiian or other	Female	0	0.00	0.0%	7.7%		2017-2018
			Pacific Islander	Male	0	0.00	0.0%	7.7%		
		Foster Youth	Foster Youth	Female	27	0.78	6.1%	7.7%		Gender
				Male	5	0.29	2.3%	7.7%		
		LGBT	LGBT	Female	6	0.57	4.4%	7.7%		<ul> <li>Female</li> </ul>
	2016-2017	Disabled	Disabled	Male	18	0.57	3.9%	6.9%		✓ Male
		Ethnicity	American Indian or Alas	Female	1	0.52	3.6%	6.9%		
			Black or African American	Male	39	0.72	5.0%	6.9%		Subgroup
			Filipino	Female	6	0.62	4.3%	6.9%		All
			Hispanic or Latino	Male	149	0.76	5.2%	6.9%		
			Native Hawaiian or other	Male	0	0.00	0.0%	6.9%		PI or PPG
		First Generation	First Generation	Male	195	0.79	5.5%	6.9%		✓ PI ✓ PPG
		LGBT	LGBT	Male	1	0.15	1.1%	6.9%		I PPG

Note: Transfer data are not available for 2017-2018 base-line year (choose prior years)
Disagg

Multiple values

#### Definitions

**Proportionality Index (PI)** - "Compares the percentage of a disaggregated subgroup in an initial cohort to its own percentage in the resultant outcome group" – CCCCO 2015 Equity Guidelines (If PI < 1,0 the Subgroup is less prevalent in the Outcome group than in cohort group) **Percentage Point Gap (PPG)** - "Compares the percent of students in a disaggregated subgroup who succeed in an outcome with the percent of all students who succeed in the same outcome"

Percentage Point Gap (PPG) - "Compares the percent of students in a disaggregated subgroup who succeed in an outcome with the percent of all students who succeed in the same outcome" – CCCCO 2015 Equity Guidelines

### Metric: Attained the Vision Goal Completion Definition

Definition: The Attained Goal metric is an unduplicated count of students who earned one or more of the following: Chancellor's Office approved certificate, associate degree, and/or CCC baccalaureate degree, and had an enrollment in the selected or previous year (Student Success Metric 619SW).

Metric Desc	Academic Year	Subg	Disagg	Gender	Numera	% within group	% Subgr oup	PI (< 1.00 then DI)	Full Equity	# of Students "Lost"	PPG(% - %Subg roup)
Attained the	2014-2015	Econ	Not Economicall	Male	46	3.0%	4.6%	0.66	70	24	
Vision Goal Completion		Ethni	American Indian	Female	2	3.3%	4.6%	0.72	3	1	
Definition			Black or African	Female	116	3.2%	4.6%	0.69	169	53	
			American	Male	56	2.2%	4.6%	0.48	117	61	
			Native Hawaiian	Male	0	0.0%	4.6%	0.00	1	1	
		First	Not First	Female	265	3.3%	4.6%	0.72	367	102	
		Gen	Generation	Male	195	3.1%	4.6%	0.67	291	96	
			Foster Youth	Female	23	2.7%	4.6%	0.58	39	16	
		Youth		Male	14	2.7%	4.6%	0.58	24	10	
		LGBT	LGBT	Male	1	0.9%	4.6%	0.19	5	4	
	2015-2016	Ethni	American Indian	Male	2	3.9%	5.0%	0.78	3	1	
			Black or African	Female	114	3.3%	5.0%	0.66	173	59	
			American	Male	49	2.2%	5.0%	0.44	112	63	
			Native Hawaiian	Female	0	0.0%	5.0%	0.00	2	2	
		Foster Youth	Foster Youth	Female	33	3.3%	5.0%	0.66	50	17	
				Male	14	2.3%	5.0%	0.46	30	16	
		LGBT	LGBT	Male	4	3.3%	5.0%	0.66	6	2	
	2016-2017	Ethni	Black or African	Female	106	3.4%	5.3%	0.65	163	57	
			American Native Hawaiian or other Pacific I	Male	63	3.4%	5.3%	0.64	99	36	
				Female	0	0.0%	5.3%	0.00	2	2	
				Male	0	0.0%	5.3%	0.00	1	1	
		Fost	Foster Youth	Female	32	3.2%	5.3%	0.60	53	21	
		LGBT	LGBT	Female	11	2.9%	5.3%	0.56	20	9	
				Male	4	2.2%	5.3%	0.43	9	5	
	2017-2018	Ethni	American Indian	Female	2	3.9%	5.3%	0.74	3	1	
			or Alaska Native	Male	0	0.0%	5.3%	0.00	2	2	
			Asian	Male	9	4.1%	5.3%	0.77	12	3	
			Black or African	Female	120	4.1%	5.3%	0.77	156	36	
			American	Male	67	3.8%	5.3%	0.71	94	27	
			Native Hawaiian	Female	1	3.1%	5.3%	0.59	2	1	
			Foster Youth	Female	42	4.1%	5.3%	0.78	54	12	
		Youth		Male	17	3.0%	5.3%	0.56	30	13	
		LGBT	LGBT	Female	5	1.1%	5.3%	0.20	25	20	
				Male	7	3.1%	5.3%	0.58	12	5	

#### Definitions

**Proportionality Index (PI)** - "Compares the percentage of a disaggregated subgroup in an initial cohort to its own percentage in the resultant outcome group" – CCCCO 2015 Equity Guidelines

(If PI < 1,0 the Subgroup is less prevalent in the Outcome group than in cohort group)

**Percentage Point Gap (PPG)** - "Compares the percent of students in a disaggregated subgroup who succeed in an outcome with the percent of all students who succeed in the same outcome" – CCCCO 2015 Equity Guidelines

### Metric: Completed Both Transfer-Level Math and English within the Distric

Definition: The Transfer Level Math and English is defined as the proportion of students who completed both transfer-level math and English in their first academic year of credit enrollment at AVC (Student Success Metric 501SW).

Metric Desc	Academic Year	Subg	Disagg	Gender	Numera	% within group	% Subgr oup	PI (< 1.00 then DI)	Full Equity	# of Students "Lost"	PPG(% - %Subg roup)
Completed	2014-2015	Disa	Disabled	Female	0	0.0%	3.5%		3	3	-3.5%
Both Transfer-				Male	0	0.0%	3.5%		3	3	-3.6%
Level Math		Econ	Economically Dis	Female	32	2.0%	3.5%		54	22	-2.6%
and English		Ethni	Black or African	Female	1	0.3%	3.5%		13	12	-3.5%
within the Distric			American	Male	5	1.4%	3.5%		12	7	-2.3%
Distric		Fost	Foster Youth	Female	1	0.8%	3.5%		4	3	-2.7%
	2015-2016	Disa	Disabled	Male	1	1.0%	5.1%		5	4	-4.2%
		Ethni	Black or African	Female	8	2.7%	5.1%		15	7	-2.6%
			American	Male	9	3.1%	5.1%		15	6	-2.2%
			More than one ra	Male	1	1.4%	5.1%		4	3	-3.8%
		Fost	Foster Youth	Female	0	0.0%	5.1%		6	6	-5.3%
		Veter	Veteran	Male	0	0.0%	5.1%		1	1	-5.1%
	2016-2017	Disa	Disabled	Female	1	1.1%	6.6%		6	5	-5.6%
				Male	1	1.6%	6.6%		4	3	-5.1%
		Ethni	Black or African	Female	6	2.3%	6.6%		17	11	-4.7%
			American	Male	1	0.4%	6.6%		16	15	-6.7%
			Some other race	Female	0	0.0%	6.6%		1	1	-6.6%
		Fost	Foster Youth	Female	1	0.7%	6.6%		9	8	-6.1%
		LGBT	LGBT	Female	1	1.5%	6.6%		4	3	-5.2%
				Male	0	0.0%	6.6%		3	3	-6.6%
	2017-2018	Disa	Disabled	Female	1	1.1%	5.4%		5	4	-4.5%
		Ethni	Black or African	Female	2	0.6%	5.4%		17	15	-5.3%
	-		American	Male	6	2.3%	5.4%		14	8	-3.4%
			Foster Youth	Female	2	1.3%	5.4%		8	6	-4.3%
	-	Youth		Male	0	0.0%	5.4%		5	5	-5.6%
		LGBT	LGBT	Male	0	0.0%	5.4%		2	2	-5.5%

Definitions

**Proportionality Index (PI)** - "Compares the percentage of a disaggregated subgroup in an initial cohort to its own percentage in the resultant outcome group" – CCCCO 2015 Equity Guidelines

(If PI < 1,0 the Subgroup is less prevalent in the Outcome group than in cohort group)

**Percentage Point Gap (PPG)** - "Compares the percent of students in a disaggregated subgroup who succeed in an outcome with the percent of all students who succeed in the same outcome" – CCCCO 2015 Equity Guidelines

## Metric: Enrolled in the Same Community College

Defintion: The Access metric computes the number of students who applied to AVC , indicated a degree/transfer goal and who enrolled at AVC during the selected year (Student Success Metric 300SW).

Aetric Desc	Academic Year	Subg	Disagg	Gender	Numer	% within group	% Subgr oup	PI (< Full 1.00 Equity then DI) Equity	Students	PPG (% - %Sub roup
Enrolled in	2014-2015	Disa	Not Disabled	Female	3,760	44.3%	45.4%	3,853	93	-2.49
he Same Community		Ethni	Black or Africa		1,185	42.8%	45.4%	1,255	70	-3.19
ollege			American	Male	814	42.1%	45.4%	877		-3.79
			Some other rac		36	26.7%	45.4%	61		-18.99
				Male	24	30.4%	45.4%	36		-15.19
		F .	White	Female	788	40.3%	45.4%	886		-5.79
	-	Fost.	Not Foster You		3,746	44.4%	45.4%	3,826		-2.19
	-		Not LGBT Not Veteran	Female	3,905	44.1%	45.4%	4,015		-2.99
	2015 2016		Black or Africa	Female n Female	3,988	44.4%	45.4%	4,075		-2.39
	2015-2016	Eunni	American	Male	2,125	41.9%	46.0% 46.0%	2,330		-4.99 -4.89
			Some other rad		1,428 67	41.7% 34.5%	46.0%	1,575 89		-4.67
			Some other lat	Male	41	35.0%	46.0%	54		-11.09
			White	Female	1,547	42.0%	46.0%	1,694		-4.69
	-	Fost.	Foster Youth	Male	250	41.3%	46.0%	279		-4.89
	-		Not LGBT	Female	7,690	44.9%	46.0%	7,881		-2.69
	-	Veter	Not Veteran	Female	7,876	45.0%	46.0%	8,050		-2.49
	2016-2017	Disa	Disabled	Female	491	41.7%	46.5%	547		-5.09
			Not Disabled	Female	8,052	45.4%	46.5%	8,248	196	-2.59
	-	Ethni	Black or Africa	n Female	2,080	41.3%	46.5%	2,340	260	-6.19
			American	Male	1,313	41.0%	46.5%	1,490	177	-6.19
			Native Hawaiia	n Female	28	34.1%	46.5%	38	10	-12.49
			White	Female	1,552	41.4%	46.5%	1,741	189	-5.79
			Foster Youth	Female	456	41.4%	46.5%	512	56	-5.39
		Youth		Male	250	41.3%	46.5%	281	31	-5.39
	-		Not Foster You		8,087	45.4%	46.5%	8,283		-2.59
		LGBT	LGBT	Female	312	42.3%	46.5%	343		-4.39
//-/ - -	-		Not LGBT	Female	8,231	45.3%	46.5%	8,452	221	-2.89
	Vete	er Not	Veteran	Female	8,500	45.2%	46.5%	8,754	254	-3.3
		Vet	eran	Male	151	41.3%	46.5%	170		
2017	7-2018 Ethr	ni Am	erican Indian	Male	44	28.2%	42.9%	67	23	-14.7
		Asi	an	Female	280	37.6%	42.9%	319	39	
				Male	222	29.1%	42.9%	327	105	-14.1
			ck or African	Female	2,135	40.4%	42.9%	2,267		
		Am	erican	Male	1,339	39.2%	42.9%	1,464		
			ive Hawaiian	Female	31	32.3%	42.9%	41		
		or o	other Pacific I	Male	21	17.5%	42.9%	51		
		Sor	ne other race	Female	54	24.4%	42.9%	95		-18.5
				Male	32	11.0%	42.9%	124		-32.1
		Wh	ite	Female	1,536	38.7%	42.9%	1,702		
			-	Male	1,395	40.4%	42.9%	1,478		
	Fost	E Fos	ter Youth	Female	495	39.3%	42.9%	539		
		T LG		Female	495	37.6%	42.9%	474		
				, ondeo		07.070	42.070	4/4		-0.0
	LGL			Male	200	32.4%	42.9%	264	64	-10.6

### Metric: Retained from Fall to Spring at the Same College

Definition: Retention is defined as the the proportion of students retained from fall to spring at AVC in the selected year, excluding students who completed an award or transferred to a postsecondary institution (Student Success Metric 406SW).

Metric Desc	Academic Year	Subg	Disagg	Gender	Numera	% within group	% Subgr oup	PI (< 1.00 then DI)	Full Equity	# of Students "Lost"	PPG(% - %Subg roup)
Retained	2014-2015	Disa	Not Disabled	Male	3,515	71.6%	73.1%		3,588	73	-2.3%
from Fall to Spring at the		Econ	Economically Dis	Male	3,432	71.6%	73.1%		3,500	68	-2.2%
Same		Ethni	Black or African	Female	1,179	67.5%	73.1%		1,277	98	-6.4%
College			American	Male	659	60.6%	73.1%		794	135	-13.6%
			More than one ra	Male	169	65.8%	73.1%		188	19	-7.5%
			Some other race	Female	56	56.6%	73.1%		72	16	-16.6%
	_			Male	38	51.4%	73.1%		54	16	-21.8%
		First	Not First	Female	1,907	69.3%	73.1%		2,011	104	-4.8%
	-	Gen	Generation	Male	1,441	66.5%	73.1%		1,583	142	-7.8%
			Foster Youth	Female	323	68.4%	73.1%		345	22	-4.8%
	-	Youth		Male	186	64.1%	73.1%		212	26	-9.1%
		LGBT	Not LGBT	Male	3,874	71.6%	73.1%		3,951	77	-2.4%
		Veter	Veteran	Male	181	64.6%	73.1%		205	24	-8.6%
	2015-2016		Not Economicall	Male	520	66.8%	72.6%		566	46	-6.2%
		Ethni	Black or African	Female	1,048	66.8%	72.6%		1,140	92	-6.6%
	-			Male	597	63.2%	72.6%		685	88	-10.1%
		First Gen		Female	1,699	69.6%	72.6%		1,771	72	-3.6%
	-		Black or African F American N Not First F Generation N Foster Youth F	Male	1,328	69.3%	72.6%		1,391	63	-3.8%
		Foster Youth	Foster Youth	Female	309	63.4%	72.6%		354	45	-9.5%
				Male	193	66.1%	72.6%		212	19	-6.6%
	2016-2017	Ethni		Female	924	65.2%	71.8%		1,019	95	-7.5%
	-		American	Male	547	66.5%	71.8%		591	44	-5.7%
	-			Female	1,550	69.1%	71.8%		1,611	61	-3.3%
		Foster Youth	Foster Youth	Female	306	65.1%	71.8%		338	32	-7.0%
	-			Male	163	63.4%	71.8%		185	22	-8.6%
	-			Female	107	61.8%	71.8%		124	17	-10.1%
				Male	153	64.6%	71.8%		170	17	-7.4%
	2017-2018			Male	3,621	69.5%	71.3%		3,717	96	-3.0%
	-			Male	585	67.3%	71.3%		620	35	-4.3%
		Ethni		Female	945	66.0%	71.3%		1,021	76	-5.9%
				Male	497	59.3%	71.3%		598	101	-12.8%
	-			Male	25	53.2%	71.3%		34	9	-18.2%
	-			Male	1,325	67.8%	71.3%		1,394	69	-4.1%
	-	Fost	Foster Youth	Male	172	58.9%	71.3%		208	36	-12.7%
	-	LGBT		Male	3,854	69.8%	71.3%		3,940	86	-2.6%
		Veter	ter Foster Youth ith Black or African American t Not First Genera ter Foster Youth BT LGBT er Veteran a Not Disabled n Not Economicall ni Black or African American Some other race t Not First Genera t Foster Youth	Male	3,780	70.1%	71.3%		3,850	70	-2.1%
			Veteran	Male	153	62.4%	71.3%		175	22	-9.1%

#### Definitions

**Proportionality Index (PI)** - "Compares the percentage of a disaggregated subgroup in an initial cohort to its own percentage in the resultant outcome group" – CCCCO 2015 Equity Guidelines

(If PI < 1,0 the Subgroup is less prevalent in the Outcome group than in cohort group)

**Percentage Point Gap (PPG)** - "Compares the percent of students in a disaggregated subgroup who succeed in an outcome with the percent of all students who succeed in the same outcome" – CCCCO 2015 Equity Guidelines

### Metric: Transferred to a Four-Year Institution

Definition: The Transfer metric is the number of students who transferred from AVC to a postsecondary institution (Student Success Metric 620SW).

Metric Desc	Academic Year	Subg	Disagg	Gender	Numera	% within group	% Subgr oup	PI (< 1.00 then DI)	Full Equity	# of Students "Lost"	PPG(% - %Subg roup)
Transferred	2014-2015	Disa	Disabled	Male	31	5.8%	7.7%	0.75	41	10	
to a Four-Year		Ethni	American Indian	Female	2	6.1%	7.7%	0.78	3	1	
Institution			Hispanic or Latino	Male	147	5.8%	7.7%	0.75	195	48	
			Native Hawaiian	Male	0	0.0%	7.7%	0.00	1	1	
			Foster Youth	Female	23	5.2%	7.7%	0.68	34	11	
		Youth		Male	11	4.7%	7.7%	0.60	18	7	
		LGBT	LGBT	Female	7	5.8%	7.7%	0.76	9	2	
				Male	2	3.2%	7.7%	0.41	5	3	
	2015-2016	Disa	Disabled	Male	25	5.1%	7.7%	0.66	38	13	
	E	Ethni	Black or African	Male	48	5.6%	7.7%	0.73	66	18	
			Native Hawaiian	Female	0	0.0%	7.7%	0.00	1	1	
			or other Pacific I	Male	0	0.0%	7.7%	0.00	1	1	
			Foster Youth	Female	27	6.1%	7.7%	0.78	34	7	
		Youth		Male	5	2.3%	7.7%	0.29	17	12	
		LGBT	LGBT	Female	6	4.4%	7.7%	0.57	11	5	
	2016-2017	Disa	Disabled	Male	18	3.9%	6.9%	0.57	32	14	
		Ethni	American Indian	Female	1	3.6%	6.9%	0.52	2	1	
			Black or African	Male	39	5.0%	6.9%	0.72	54	15	
			Filipino	Female	6	4.3%	6.9%	0.62	10	4	
			Hispanic or Latino	Male	149	5.2%	6.9%	0.76	196	47	
			Native Hawaiian	Male	0	0.0%	6.9%	0.00	1	1	
		First	First Generation	Male	195	5.5%	6.9%	0.79	246	51	
		LGBT	LGBT	Male	1	1.1%	6.9%	0.15	6	5	

Definitions

**Proportionality Index (PI)** - "Compares the percentage of a disaggregated subgroup in an initial cohort to its own percentage in the resultant outcome group" – CCCCO 2015 Equity Guidelines

(If PI < 1,0 the Subgroup is less prevalent in the Outcome group than in cohort group)

**Percentage Point Gap (PPG)** - "Compares the percent of students in a disaggregated subgroup who succeed in an outcome with the percent of all students who succeed in the same outcome" – CCCCO 2015 Equity Guidelines



# Student Equity Metric Data Definitions

## Attained the Vision Goal Completion

The Attained Goal metric is an unduplicated count of students who earned one or more of the following: Chancellor's Office approved certificate, associate degree, and/or CCC baccalaureate degree, and had an enrollment in the selected or previous year (Student Success Metric 619SW).

## Completed Both Transfer-Level Math and English within the District

The Transfer Level Math and English is defined as the proportion of students who completed both transfer-level math and English in their first academic year of credit enrollment at AVC (Student Success Metric 501SW).

## **Enrolled in the Same Community College**

The Access metric computes the number of students who applied to AVC, indicated a degree/transfer goal and who enrolled at AVC during the selected year (Student Success Metric 300SW).

## Retained from Fall to Spring at the Same College

**Retention** is defined as the proportion of students retained from fall to spring at AVC in the selected year, excluding students who completed an award or transferred to a postsecondary institution (Student Success Metric 406SW).

## Transferred to a Four-Year Institution

Self-Explanatory

# Student Equity Indicators

## ACCESS

The percentage of each population group that is enrolled compared to that group's representation in the adult population within the community served.

## **COURSE COMPLETION (RETENTION)**

Ratio of the number of credit courses that students — by population group — actually complete by the end of the term compared to the number of courses in which students in that group are enrolled on the census day of the term. *Note:* Although Title 5 refers to "retention" the term "course completion" is deemed to embody that term in the guidelines.

"Course Completion" means the successful completion of a credit course for which a student receives a recorded grade of A, B, C, or Credit.

## ESL AND BASIC SKILLS COMPLETION

Ratio of the number of students — by population group — who complete a degreeapplicable course after having completed the final ESL or basic skills course to the number of those students who completed such a final course.

Completion of a degree applicable course means the "successful" completion of English 1A, elementary algebra or any collegiate course which is transferable to a four-year institution, has a value of three or more units, and meets established academic requirements for rigor in literacy and innumeracy.

## DEGREE AND CERTIFICATE COMPLETION

Ratio of the number of students — by population group — who receive a degree or certificate to the number of students in that group with the same informed matriculation goal.

## **TRANSFER RATE**

Ratio of the number of students — by population group — who complete a minimum of 12 units and have attempted a transfer level course in mathematics or English, to the number of students in that group who actually transfer after one or more (up to six) years.



Demographic	Gender	Metric	Baseline	Minimum Equity	Full Equity	Goal	Action
LGBT	Female	Attained the Vision Goal Completion Definition	5	20	25	-	-
Foster Youth	Male	Attained the Vision Goal Completion Definition	17	25	30	-	-
Foster Youth	Female	Attained the Vision Goal Completion Definition	42	44	54	-	-
Asian	Male	Attained the Vision Goal Completion Definition	9	9	12	-	-
Black or African American	Male	Attained the Vision Goal Completion Definition	67	76	94	-	-
Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	Female	Attained the Vision Goal Completion Definition	1	1	2	-	-
American Indian or Alaska Native	Female	Attained the Vision Goal Completion Definition	2	2	3	_	-
Black or African American	Female	Attained the Vision Goal Completion Definition	120	126	156	-	-
Foster Youth	Female	Completed Both Transfer-Level Math and English Within the District in the First Year	2	6	9	_	-
Black or African American	Male	Completed Both Transfer-Level Math and English Within the District in the First Year	6	10	15	-	-
Black or African American	Female	Completed Both Transfer-Level Math and English Within the District in the First Year	2	13	19	-	-
Disabled	Female	Completed Both Transfer-Level Math and English Within the District in the First Year	1	3	5	-	-
Veteran	Male	Retained from Fall to Spring at the Same College	153	170	175	-	-

Demographic	Gender	Metric	Baseline	Minimum Equity	Full Equity	Goal	Action
Foster Youth	Male	Retained from Fall to Spring at the Same College	172	203	209	-	-
Some other race	Male	Retained from Fall to Spring at the Same College	25	33	34	-	-
Black or African American	Male	Retained from Fall to Spring at the Same College	497	588	604	-	-
Black or African American	Female	Retained from Fall to Spring at the Same College	945	1001	1030	-	-
Veteran	Male	Enrolled in the Same Community College	253	279	293	-	-
LGBT	Male	Enrolled in the Same Community College	200	253	266	-	-
LGBT	Female	Enrolled in the Same Community College	415	453	475	-	-
Foster Youth	Female	Enrolled in the Same Community College	495	516	541	-	-
Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	Male	Enrolled in the Same Community College	21	49	52	-	-
American Indian or Alaska Native	Male	Enrolled in the Same Community College	44	64	67	-	-
Some other race	Male	Enrolled in the Same Community College	32	119	125	-	-
Asian	Male	Enrolled in the Same Community College	222	314	329	-	-
Black or African American	Male	Enrolled in the Same Community College	1339	1409	1477	-	-
White	Male	Enrolled in the Same Community College	1395	1418	1487	-	-

Demographic	Gender	Metric	Baseline	Minimum Equity	Full Equity	Goal	Action
Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	Female	Enrolled in the Same Community College	31	39	41	-	-
Some other race	Female	Enrolled in the Same Community College	54	91	95	-	-
Asian	Female	Enrolled in the Same Community College	280	305	320	-	-
White	Female	Enrolled in the Same Community College	1536	1643	1722	-	-
Black or African American	Female	Enrolled in the Same Community College	2135	2183	2289	-	-
LGBT	Male	Transferred to a Four-Year Institution	1	5	6	-	-
Black or African American	Male	Transferred to a Four-Year Institution	39	44	54	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	Male	Transferred to a Four-Year Institution	149	159	196	-	-
American Indian or Alaska Native	Female	Transferred to a Four-Year Institution	1	2	2	-	-
Filipino	Female	Transferred to a Four-Year Institution	6	8	10	-	-
Disabled	Male	Transferred to a Four-Year Institution	18	26	32	1	-
LGBT	Male	Attained the Vision Goal Completion Definition	7	10	12	-1	_

## Add Student Population Groups

## Demographic

Select Demographic

------ Forwarded message ------From: Lezon, Barbara <<u>blezon@cccco.edu</u>> Date: Wed, May 1, 2019 at 1:42 PM Subject: [External Sender] Upcoming changes to small DI groups in NOVA Student Equity Plan To: <<u>SEA-PROGRAM@listserv.cccnext.net</u>>

Thanks to feedback from several colleges, it was determined that the logic put in place to suppress the "small" DI groups (groups with less than 10 students in the denominator) was not working as planned. The vendor is making changes to the logic and will apply the change on Friday afternoon, after testing and data verification occurs.

**Your college will likely see changes in the DI groups listed on the Metrics screen.** You will see "small" DI groups removed (unless you set goals for these), AND you may see larger DI groups (with 10 or more students in the denominator) re-appear.

If you have already set goals for all of your DI groups, please log back into NOVA on Monday to see if any new groups have been added and therefore need goals set.

Again, we thank you for your patience as we continue to improve the Student Equity Plan template. And a huge thank you to the colleges who have been digging into the data, reporting anomalies and asking questions – your partnership is incredibly valued!

Please don't hesitate to contact me if you have any questions or concerns.

**Barbara Lezon** Educational Services and Support Division **California Community Colleges Chancellor's Office** 1102 Q Street | 6<sup>th</sup> Floor Sacramento, CA 95811 phone: 916.323.5275 | email: <u>blezon@cccco.edu</u>