



Program Review Peer Review

Program/Division/Area Name:	Health & Safety Sciences
Date:	3/9/2021

On behalf of the Program Review Committee (PRC), we thank you for your time and effort in completing the Program Review this year and for your ongoing efforts to continuously improve AVC's programs and services for our students. Your program review allows the rest of AVC to better understand your efforts and how they support the college mission, vision, EMP and other goals.

Program Review Committee Feedback

<i>Program Review Report Section</i>	<i>Exemplary:</i> Reflects a clear and thorough report that presents a well-documented review of the program.	<i>Adequate:</i> The self-study adequately presents program information for each section e.g. analysis of data; narrative information is provided regarding goals/objectives, planning, and recommendations relating to the analysis and use of data, institutional learning outcomes, and mission.	<i>Improvement Needed:</i> One or more sections of the report are lacking and/or contain some inaccuracies. The report must be revised and resubmitted in order to meet the requirements of the program review process. Complete/revise Part(s) mentioned in the Comments Section.	<i>Comments:</i>
Program Overview, Overall		X	<input type="checkbox"/>	
1.1.Program's contribution to the District Mission	X	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	The campus and community benefit from these programs that lead to such important services and impact, highlighted by the current circumstances of the pandemic and first responders.

1.2. Program highlights	X	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
2.A. Results of environmental scan information for program	<input type="checkbox"/>	X	<input type="checkbox"/>	Please see comments at the end, specific to each area.
2.B. Analysis of program review data	<input type="checkbox"/>	X	<input type="checkbox"/>	Please see comments at the end, specific to each area.
2.C. Progress towards SLO/PLO/OO Action Plans	<input type="checkbox"/>	X	<input type="checkbox"/>	Please see comments at the end, specific to each area.
2.D. Progress towards past program review goals	<input type="checkbox"/>	X	<input type="checkbox"/>	Please see comments at the end, specific to each area.
3. 2020-2021 Planning: Division/Program/Area Goals	<input type="checkbox"/>	X	<input type="checkbox"/>	Please see comments at the end, specific to each area.
4. Resource Requests that Support Program Needs	<input type="checkbox"/>	X	<input type="checkbox"/>	Please see comments at the end, specific to each area.

COMMENTS:

Overall, this is a thoughtful Program Review that highlights the significant contribution of the division to the AVC community. The division has goals focused on programmatic improvement and student success.

Additional Comments by Discipline:

EMT: Good job highlighting the program’s accomplishments. In Part 2A, the labor statistics are useful, but advisory committee recommendations should also be included for CTE programs. Also, the following sentence is unclear and needs data to support it: *“According to the AVC program data page the course has a high enrolment count, but success rates are less than 1%.”* Unfortunately, the data included in Part 5 is for Biology and not EMT, so no program data has been provided. In Part 2B, low completion rates would be a weakness, not a threat, and again the data needs to be provided. It seems this is a critical piece of data for the program. Good job addressing progress on Action Plans and Program Review goals in Part 2C and 2D. The goals in Part 3 are thoughtful and centered on program improvement and student success. The requests for resources in Part 4 are supported by the goals in Part 3.

Fire Technology: Good job highlighting the program’s accomplishments. The report presents a clear and well-thought-out analysis of the state of the program and clearly identifies areas that could possibly threaten the existence and successful continuation of the program. Resource requests in Part 4 are mostly supported by goals in Part 3. The request for additional faculty is connected comments in Part 2A / 2B, but not directly supported by goals. Overall, well done, and a strong use of data.

Medical Office Assisting: Good job connecting to the college mission statement. In Part 1.1, it says the “MOA course” in two different instances. There is more than one course in this discipline, so it is a little confusing. Good use of data in Part 2A. This is a very thoroughly prepared report with very good analysis of the data and clear plans for future action. The goals are clearly articulated and are achievable and measurable. Well done!

Nutrition & Foods: Good job highlighting the program’s accomplishments. In Part 2A, more than just enrollment data is needed. This is an important opportunity to consider data beyond what is provided and consider what is influencing your industry, demand for degrees, jobs, etc. In Part 3, the one goal listed is noble, but more detail could be provided in the “steps to be taken” column. Overall, well done!

Radiological Technology: Good work highlighting the program’s accomplishments including first time pass rate and job placement rate. Great use of data in Part 2A. Thoughtful SWOT in Part 2B. In Part 2C, the SLOs and SLO data are listed, which is not needed. In the future, the Action Plans should be clearly identified and progress noted. Action Plan 1 is somewhat vague, but Action Plan 2 seems well-defined. In Part 2D, comments about progress on last year’s Program Review goals are required. You provided 5 goals and detailed tables with excellent information, however these don’t match the 3 goals listed in last year’s Program Review. The goals in Part 3 provide support for the resources requested in Part 4. In Part 5, excellent supplemental data is provided, but the required Program Review data also needs to be included here.

Registered Nursing: Excellent Program Review report, which is carefully prepared and includes a thorough data analysis and clearly stated goals. The goals in Part 3 are thoughtful and focused on student success and program improvement, and provide strong support for resource requests in Part 4. In Part 5, it appears the data provided is two years old and should be updated with the newer data available.

Respiratory Care: Excellent Program Review report. Good work highlighting the program’s accomplishments including RRT credentialing success. Great use of data in Part 2A. Thoughtful and data-driven SWOT in Part 2B. The goals in Part 3 provide support for the resources requested in Part 4.

Vocational Nursing: Excellent Program Review report. Good work highlighting the program's accomplishments including NCLEX pass rates. Great use of data in Part 2A. Thoughtful and data-driven SWOT in Part 2B. The goals in Part 3 provide support for the resources requested in Part 4.