

Program Review Committee Meeting Minutes

Monday, October 6, 2025 MH-321

Time: 3pm - 4:30pm

Type of Meeting: Regular Note Taker: Richie Neil Hao

Committee Members:

Dr. Richie Neil Hao, Faculty Co-Chair

Dr. Rebecca Farley, Co-Chair

Dr. Gary Heaton-Smith, Outcomes Committee Chair, A&H Division Representative

VACANT, Research Analyst/Tech

Dr. Alex Parisky, eLumen Data Steward

Cindy Vargas, HSS Division Representative

Reina Burgos, Counseling Division Representative

Samuel Padilla, Aerospace Industrial Arts & Applied Technologies Division Representative

Dr. Cynthia Lehman, S&BS Division Representative

Dr. Joshua Strong, MSE Division Representative

Annamarie Perez, Language & Comm Arts Division Representative

Linda Parker, Equity & Student Achievement Representative

Megan Owens, Faculty at Large Representative

Van Rider, Workforce Development & Community Engagement

VACANT, Student Services

VACANT, Classified Representative

Dr. Jedi Lobos, Academic Dean, Academic Affairs

VACANT, ASO Representative

Absent: Rebecca, Alex, Van

Guests: None

	Items	Person	Action
I.	Action Item: Approval of the Agenda	Richie	<u>Issues Discussed:</u> None.
			Action Taken: Approved.
II.	Opening Comments from	Richie/	Issues Discussed: Richie welcomed the committee and let
	the Co-Chairs	Rebecca	everyone know that Rebecca can't make it due to conference
			attendance.
III.	Opening Comments from the Public		<u>Issues Discussed:</u> None.
IV.	Action Item: Approval of Meeting Minutes	Richie	Issues Discussed: None.
	(9/15/25)		Action Taken: Abstention from Megan. Approved.
٧.	Discussion Item: Fall 2025	Richie	Issues Discussed: Richie asked if there were any issues that may
	Course/Outcome		have come up from people asking for assistance with CIP/OIP
	Improvement Plan &		and Program Review training.



Program Review Training		Richie mentioned that he was made aware of the discrepancy
(<u>Workshop Sign-Up</u>)		of data that shows up in the Program Awards area (degrees received) from the Program Review dashboard's Enrollment tab
		vs. going to a separate Program Awards dashboard.
		Follow Up Items: Richie will let Dr. Svetlana Deplazes know
		about that discrepancy. Other than that, Richie will provide two more campus-wide reminders about Program Review 11/15
		due date.
VI. Discussion Item: Program Review Rollout Plan	Richie	Issues Discussed: Richie asked if the committee found anything about what an annual update report looks like from other
Review Rollout Flair		colleges. The committee needs more time to investigate it.
		Follow Up Items: More research is needed and will discuss
		further at the next meeting.
VII. Discussion Item: Future Course/Outcome Improvement Plans	Richie	Issues Discussed: The committee discussed a little about CIP/OIP last spring but could not finish the discussion then.
improvement rans		Richie raised a few CIP/OIP issues for discussion: (1) The 9/30
		due date gets people off guard. (2) People are generally
		confused they need to complete two tasks: Completing the last academic year's CIP (2024-25) on eLumen. Going back to the
		year before (2023-24) to respond to this year's Program Review Report.
		The other issue is, if we're doing 2024-25 Program Review, why
		are we responding to 2023-24 CIP data? Richie does not believe it makes sense because the Program Review report should be consistent with the year that is being examined.
		Instead of having 9/30 due date and then 11/15 due date,
		Richie proposed to streamline everything and do the CIP in the
		Program Review on 11/15. Richie added that CIP's questions
		can be transferred directly into the Program Review report. The committee can look at those questions and modify them if
		needed. The first question deals with SLOs that meet/exceed
		the performance line and how to sustain it. The second
		question asks about which SLO that are below the performance line and how to improve it. The last question asks about
		resources needed to implement changes.
		Megan expressed that one deadline on Nov. 15 th is easier to remember. It makes sense for CIP to inform Program Review goals for the same academic year.
		goals for the same academic year.



	Cary also pointed out streamlining the CID into the Drogger
	Gary also pointed out streamlining the CIP into the Program Review report is more practical and intentional. It also avoids confusion. Having said that, Gary can only assume that CIP data will be available on Coursedog but wonders how it's going to look like. While not specific to CIP, Richie said that he got to see a brief demo of the assessment side of Coursedog, and it appears to allow committee members to kick the report back to faculty/staff directly with a space for comments. However, we don't exactly know how it's going to look like until we get to build a template on Coursedog.
	Jedi also felt that resources in CIP needs to be defined. Richie suggested that perhaps there's no need to discuss resources in CIP and focus on resources in Program Review report only. Gary agreed because if CIP were integrated in the Program Review report, then there's no need to have a separate question about resources in CIP.
	With a productive discussion with the committee, Richie asked the committee if further discussion is needed, or if the committee is ready to vote at the next meeting to eliminate the 9/30 CIP due date and integrate CIP in the Program Review report. The committee agreed to make it as an action item for the next meeting.
	Follow Up Items: Richie will put CIP/OIP on the agenda as an
	action item for next meeting.
VIII. Information Item: What's	<u>FALL</u> :
Ahead This Year	 Update and provide Program Review Training in Canvas
	Review PR Handbook, update as necessary
	 Provide CIP instructions & training, due 9/30
	Division Reps will provide support in the Program
	Review process to their divisions.
	 Receive Program Review reports, due 11/15
	 Define the peer review process, update forms as
	necessary
	<u>SPRING</u> :
	 Peer review norming session, train committee
	members, form peer review teams, begin working
	on Peer Review reports.
	Complete Peer Reviews of Program Review reports,
	provide feedback to each program.
	Consider changes needed to Program Review
	process, forms, committee, etc.



IX. NEXT MEETING DATES:	Future Meeting Dates: (1st & 3rd Mondays 3pm – 4:30pm)
	<u>Fall 2025:</u>
	8/18/25 (Cancelled)
	9/1/25 (No meeting, Labor Day)
	9/15/25
	10/6/25
	10/20/25
	11/3/25
	11/17/25
	12/1/25
	<u>Spring 2026:</u>
	2/2/26 (No meeting, Spring semester has not started)
	2/16/26 (No meeting, President's Day)
	3/2/26
	3/16/26
	4/6/26 (No meeting, Spring Break)
	4/20/26
	5/4/26
	5/18/26
	6/1/26

Program Review Committee Goals for 2025-2026

- 1) Establish and better define the connection between the Program Review and the Budget resource allocation and approval process.
- 2) Collaborate with the campus community to enhance communication, engagement, and implementation of the program review process in alignment with the college mission thereby fostering a culture of continuous self-reflection and dialogue.
- 3) Evaluate the Program Review template based on feedback to better support operational areas.
- 4) Utilize the Program Review process evaluation data to make continuous improvements.