

Program Review Committee Meeting Minutes

Monday, October 3, 2022 via ZOOM 991 5688 4024

https://cccconfer.zoom.us/j/99156884024

Time - 3pm - 4:30pm

Type of Meeting: Regular Note Taker: Stacey Adams

Committee Members:

Stacey Adams, Faculty Co-Chair

Dr. Meeta Goel, Co-Chair

Dr. Gary Heaton-Smith, Outcomes Committee Chair, A&H Division Representative

Vanessa Escobar, Research Analyst

Dr. Svetlana Deplazes, eLumen Data Steward

Cindy Vargas, Athletics & Kinesiology Division Representative

Reina Burgos, Counseling Division Representative

Samuel Padilla, CTE Division Representative

Richard Fleishman, S&BS Division Representative

Dr. Cynthia Lehman, S&BS Division Representative

Joshua Strong, MSE Division Representative

Ronda Nogales (Karen Heinzman), Language & Comm Arts Division Representative

Wendy Stout, HSS Division Representative

Van Rider, Library Division Representative

Megan Owens, Faculty at Large Representative

LaDonna Trimble, Student Services

VACANT, Classified Representative

Christos Valiotis, Academic Dean, Academic Affairs

VACANT, ASO Representative

Present: Stacey, Cindy, Joshua, Megan, Reina, Rich, Wendy, Vanessa, Karen, Meeta, Svetlana, Christos, Cynthia,

Van,

Absent: Gary, La Donna, Samuel

Guests:

	Items	Person	Action
I.	Opening Comments from	Meeta /	Issues Discussed: Meeta brought up a question about how the
	the Co-Chairs	Stacey	Executive Council program review report is done and organized.
			Meeta will discuss with the President. Conversation to be continued
			in the near future.
II.	Open Comments from the		Issues Discussed: none
	Public		
III.	Action Item: Approval of	Stacey	Issues Discussed: 9/19/2022 Meeting Minutes
	Meeting Minutes		Action Taken: Approved, 3 abstentions
	-9/19/2022		Follow Up Items: Stacey to post to PR webpage
	3, 13, 2322		



IV.	Discussion: CIPs Check In	Stacey	Issues Discussed: Stacey asked division reps to share about how CIPs are going in their areas. Overall positive feedback was given, but some questions came up in the process. Some have access issues with eLumen and assigning collaborators. Follow Up Items: Svetlana suggested that we may want to assign collaborators in eLumen in advance in the future as cycles are planned to make it easier for department chairs.
V.	Action Item: Updating the Program Review Committee Information Sheet	Stacey	Issues Discussed: We revised the PR Committee purpose at the previous meeting, updating language in this section and also trying to keep the purpose more broad and less specific, so it doesn't need to be updated frequently when the committee makes changes to procedures in the future. Action Taken: Approved unanimously Follow Up Items: Stacey will send the revised Committee Information sheet to the Academic Senate.
VI.	Discussion: Program Review & Your Role	Stacey	Issues Discussed: Stacey encouraged committee members to be leaders in their areas, reach out to deans, department chairs and offer support and help. Division reps should encourage their areas to meet together to work on Program Review, exchange ideas and collaborate. Stacey shared that in Part 3 for some goals, she found it challenging to complete the new column on the far right "Measure of Success - (How would you know you've achieved your goal?)" Christos shared the idea that because the way we write the goals, not all goals will be measurable. We may want to consider this in the wording of this section of the Program Review form in the future. Follow Up Items: Committee members should contact their constituents and provide support in the PR process over the next 6 weeks. Look through the Program Review Training in Canvas.
VII.	Discussion: Changing the Peer Review Process & Form	Stacey	Issues Discussed: Stacey shared that she believes the Peer Review process and form may need to be updated and revised. The current form and process does not work well for large divisions or areas with many academic disciplines or functional areas. Follow Up Items: Committee members should look at the existing Peer Review form and review some Peer Review reports from last year, which can be found on the PR webpage. Bring ideas and feedback to the next meeting.
VIII.	Discussion: Accreditation and Caring Campus Initiative	Meeta	Issues Discussed: Meeta shared about the Caring Campus process briefly. It is the topic of one of our QFEs (QFE 2) for Accreditation. Initially it starts with classified, but later there could be more faculty involvement. Follow Up Items:



IX. Information Item: What's	FALL:
Ahead This Year	✓ Provide updated PR Handbook
	✓ Provide CIP instructions & training, due 9/30
	 Update and provide Program Review Training
	 Division Reps will provide support in the Program Review
	process to their divisions.
	 Receive Program Review reports due 11/15
	 Define the peer review process, train committee members.
	SPRING:
	■ Form peer review teams, begin working on Peer Review
	reports.
	 Complete Peer Reviews of Program Review reports, provide
	feedback to each program.
	 Consider changes needed to Program Review process, forms,
	committee, etc.
X. NEXT MEETING DATES:	Future Meeting Dates:
	8/15/22 (cancel)
	8/29/22* 5th Monday 9/5/22 (Labor Day, no meeting)
	9/19/22
	1 0/3/22 10/17/22
	11/7/22
	11/21/22 1/16/23 (MLK Day, no meeting)
	2/6/23
	2/20/23 (President's Day, no meeting) 3/6/23 (Spring Break, no meeting)
	3/20/23
	4/3/23 4/17/23
	5/1/23

Program Review Goals for 2022-2023

- 1) Work toward better alignment of resource requests with the Budget Committee.
- 2) Utilize the Program Review process to strengthen connections between success, retention and equity data trends, and actual actions taken for continuous improvement.
- 3) Improve communication about Program Review with the campus community.