

On behalf of the Program Review Committee, we thank you for your time and effort in completing your Program Review report this year and for your ongoing efforts to continuously improve AVC's programs and services for our students. Your Program Review allows the rest of AVC to better understand your efforts and how they support the college overall.

**Department/Area/Division Name:** ACCT Accounting **Peer Reviewed By:** Wendy Stout, Gary Heaton-Smith

Part 1. Program Overview	Program Overview: Briefly describe how the program contributes to the district mission.  □ Exemplary: A clear connection is described regarding how the program connects to and supports the district mission.  □ Adequate: The work of the program described, but it is not obvious how it contributes to the district mission.  □ Improvement Needed: No response or response does not connect the program to the district mission.  Comments:
	□ REVISION REQUIRED
Part 2A. Analyze the program review data	Analyze the program review data (retrieval instructions) focusing on equity and any internal/external environmental scan information (e.g., surveys, interviews, focus groups, advisory groups, licensure exam scores, & job placement) to identify the program Strengths, Opportunities & Aspirations:  Strengths and Accomplishments: (Guiding Question: What does your program/area do well, including capabilities and greatest accomplishments?)  Opportunities and Challenges: (Guiding Question: What does your program/area need to do better to support/improve student success?)  Aspirations: (Guiding Questions: What does your program/area want to be known for? What is a desired future?)  Exemplary: Thoughtful analysis of program review data and any internal/external environmental scan information is included, with a thorough answer to each guiding question regarding Strengths, Opportunities & Aspirations.  Adequate: Narrative information is provided regarding program review data and any internal/external environmental scan information, but is lacking analysis of data and/or does not fully address each guiding question regarding Strengths, Opportunities & Aspirations. The section could be strengthened with more data and analysis.  Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not analyze program review data and any internal/external environmental scan information and/or does not address each guiding question regarding Strengths, Opportunities & Aspirations.  Comments:

	□ REVISION REQUIRED
Part 2B. Required for CTE	(Required for CTE only) External Data: Advisory Committee Recommendations & Labor Market Data  ⊠ Exemplary: Both recommendations from the Advisory Committee and current relevant Labor Market Data are provided.  □ Adequate: Information is provided about an Advisory Committee, but recommendations are not evident and/or Labor Market Data is included, but does not seem current or relevant.  □ Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not include recommendations from the Advisory Committee and current relevant Labor Market Data.  □ N/A: Not applicable for non-CTE areas  Comments:  □ REVISION REQUIRED
2C. Progress towards SLO/PLO/OO Action Plans	Review and comment on progress toward past SLO/PLO/OO Analysis (Action Plans / Course Improvement Plans).  (List your past outcomes analysis (Action Plans) and progress towards those goals.)  Exemplary: Outcomes Analysis, Course Improvement Plans and/or Action Plans are clearly listed, along with brief commentary about progress and the current status of those plans.  Adequate: Information is provided about SLOs/PLOs/OOs and Outcomes Analysis, Course Improvement Plans and/or Action Plans for the area, but Plans have not been clearly listed and/or progress is unclear.  Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not make evident that Course Improvement Plans and/or Actions Plans have been developed nor if progress has been made.  Comments:
2D. Progress towards past program review goals	Review and comment on progress towards past program review goals.  (List your past program review goals and progress towards those goals.)  Exemplary: Past program review goals are clearly listed, along with brief commentary about progress and the current status of those goals.  Adequate: Information is provided about past program review goals, but goals have not been clearly listed and/or progress is unclear.  Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not make evident what the past goals are nor if progress has been made.  Comments:

3. Program Review Goals	Based on Part 2 above, please list program/area goals for 2023-2024.   □ Exemplary: Each goal is connected to an ILO/PLO/SLO/OO and EMP Goal. The goals are student-centered and are connected to the analysis in Part 2 above. Steps to be taken to achieve the goals are delineated, as well as the measure of success for each.  □ Adequate: Goals are formed, and each is connected to an ILO/PLO/SLO/OO and EMP Goal, but not all goals are clearly connected to analysis in Part 2 and/or are not student-centered. Steps to be taken to achieve the goal and the measure of success are provided for each, but may be unclear or hard to understand.  □ Improvement Needed: No response or goals listed are not connected to an ILO/PLO/SLO/OO and EMP Goal. Goals listed are actually
	resource requests, rather student-centered goals. Steps to be taken to achieve the goal and the measure of success are unclear or not provided.  **Comments:*  \$\sum_{REVISION REQUIRED}\$
Resource Requests that Support Program Needs	Resource Requests that Support Program Needs (based on above analysis)  Exemplary: Each resource request entered is clearly connected to and supports a goal from Part 3.  Adequate: Resource requests have been entered, but not all are clearly connected to goals from Part 3.  Improvement Needed: No response or resource requests do not relate to goals from Part 3.  N/A: No response because additional resources are not needed at this time and/or funding for resources exists from another source.  Comments:
5. Program Data	Insert your Program Review Data here, as well as any other supporting data.

☐ Improvement Needed: No data is provided, or data provided is unreadable or does not support the report.	
Comments:	□ REVISION REQUIRED



On behalf of the Program Review Committee, we thank you for your time and effort in completing your Program Review report this year and for your ongoing efforts to continuously improve AVC's programs and services for our students. Your Program Review allows the rest of AVC to better understand your efforts and how they support the college overall.

**Department/Area/Division Name:** Anthropology **Peer Reviewed By:** Wendy Stout, Gary Heaton-Smith

Part 1. Program Overview	Program Overview: Briefly describe how the program contributes to the district mission.
	□ Exemplary: A clear connection is described regarding how the program connects to and supports the district mission.
	Adequate: The work of the program described, but it is not obvious how it contributes to the district mission.
	☐ Improvement Needed: No response or response does not connect the program to the district mission.
	Comments:
	$\Box$ REVISION REQUIRED
Part 2A. Analyze the program	Analyze the program review data (retrieval instructions) focusing on equity and any internal/external environmental scan information (e.g., surveys, interviews, focus groups, advisory groups, licensure exam scores, & job placement) to identify the program Strengths,
review data	Opportunities & Aspirations:
Teview data	• Strengths and Accomplishments: (Guiding Question: What does your program/area do well, including capabilities and greatest accomplishments?)
	<ul> <li>Opportunities and Challenges: (Guiding Question: What does your program/area need to do better to support/improve student success?)</li> <li>Aspirations: (Guiding Questions: What does your program/area want to be known for? What is a desired future?)</li> </ul>
	☑ Exemplary: Thoughtful analysis of program review data and any internal/external environmental scan information is included, with a thorough answer to each guiding question regarding Strengths, Opportunities & Aspirations.
	□ Adequate: Narrative information is provided regarding program review data and any internal/external environmental scan information, but is lacking analysis of data and/or does not fully address each guiding question regarding Strengths, Opportunities & Aspirations. The section could be strengthened with more data and analysis.
	☐ <b>Improvement Needed</b> : No response or response provided does not analyze program review data and any internal/external environmental scan information and/or does not address each guiding question regarding Strengths, Opportunities & Aspirations.
	Comments:

	□ REVISION REQUIRED
Part 2B. Required for CTE	(Required for CTE only) External Data: Advisory Committee Recommendations & Labor Market Data  □ Exemplary: Both recommendations from the Advisory Committee and current relevant Labor Market Data are provided.  □ Adequate: Information is provided about an Advisory Committee, but recommendations are not evident and/or Labor Market Data is included, but does not seem current or relevant.  □ Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not include recommendations from the Advisory Committee and current relevant Labor Market Data.  □ N/A: Not applicable for non-CTE areas  Comments:  □ REVISION REQUIRED
2C. Progress towards SLO/PLO/OO Action Plans	Review and comment on progress toward past SLO/PLO/OO Analysis (Action Plans / Course Improvement Plans).  (List your past outcomes analysis (Action Plans) and progress towards those goals.)  □ Exemplary: Outcomes Analysis, Course Improvement Plans and/or Action Plans are clearly listed, along with brief commentary about progress and the current status of those plans.  □ Adequate: Information is provided about SLOs/PLOs/OOs and Outcomes Analysis, Course Improvement Plans and/or Action Plans for the area, but Plans have not been clearly listed and/or progress is unclear.  □ Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not make evident that Course Improvement Plans and/or Actions Plans have been developed nor if progress has been made.  Comments: □ REVISION REQUIRED
2D. Progress towards past program review goals	Review and comment on progress towards past program review goals.  (List your past program review goals and progress towards those goals.)  Exemplary: Past program review goals are clearly listed, along with brief commentary about progress and the current status of those goals.  Adequate: Information is provided about past program review goals, but goals have not been clearly listed and/or progress is unclear.  Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not make evident what the past goals are nor if progress has been made.  Comments:

3. Program Review Goals	Based on Part 2 above, please list program/area goals for 2023-2024.  □ Exemplary: Each goal is connected to an ILO/PLO/SLO/OO and EMP Goal. The goals are student-centered and are connected to the analysis in Part 2 above. Steps to be taken to achieve the goals are delineated, as well as the measure of success for each.  □ Adequate: Goals are formed, and each is connected to an ILO/PLO/SLO/OO and EMP Goal, but not all goals are clearly connected to analysis in Part 2 and/or are not student-centered. Steps to be taken to achieve the goal and the measure of success are provided for each, but may be unclear or hard to understand.  □ Improvement Needed: No response or goals listed are not connected to an ILO/PLO/SLO/OO and EMP Goal. Goals listed are actually resource requests, rather student-centered goals. Steps to be taken to achieve the goal and the measure of success are unclear or not provided.
	Comments:
4.	Resource Requests that Support Program Needs (based on above analysis)
Resource Requests that Support Program Needs	□ Exemplary: Each resource request entered is clearly connected to and supports a goal from Part 3.  X Adequate: Resource requests have been entered, but not all are clearly connected to goals from Part 3.  □ Improvement Needed: No response or resource requests do not relate to goals from Part 3.  □ N/A: No response because additional resources are not needed at this time and/or funding for resources exists from another source.  Comments: No request for UR funding  □ REVISION REQUIRED
	- Intribion ratgenits
<b>5.</b>	
Program Data	Insert your Program Review Data here, as well as any other supporting data.
	<ul> <li>☑ Exemplary: Program Review Data and other supporting data (if applicable) is inserted, and is understandable and relevant to the report.</li> <li>☐ Adequate: Program Review Data and other supporting data (if applicable) is inserted, but is difficult to understand and/or may not be clearly relevant to the report.</li> <li>☐ Improvement Needed: No data is provided, or data provided is unreadable or does not support the report.</li> </ul>

Comments:	□ REVISION REQUIRED



On behalf of the Program Review Committee, we thank you for your time and effort in completing your Program Review report this year and for your ongoing efforts to continuously improve AVC's programs and services for our students. Your Program Review allows the rest of AVC to better understand your efforts and how they support the college overall.

Department/Area/Division Name: AJ Administration of Justice

Part 1. Program Overview	Program Overview: Briefly describe how the program contributes to the district mission.  □ Exemplary: A clear connection is described regarding how the program connects to and supports the district mission.  □ Adequate: The work of the program described, but it is not obvious how it contributes to the district mission.  □ Improvement Needed: No response or response does not connect the program to the district mission.  Comments:
Part 2A. Analyze the program review data	Analyze the program review data (retrieval instructions) focusing on equity and any internal/external environmental scan information (e.g., surveys, interviews, focus groups, advisory groups, licensure exam scores, & job placement) to identify the program Strengths, Opportunities & Aspirations:  • Strengths and Accomplishments: (Guiding Question: What does your program/area do well, including capabilities and greatest accomplishments?)  • Opportunities and Challenges: (Guiding Question: What does your program/area need to do better to support/improve student success?)  • Aspirations: (Guiding Questions: What does your program/area want to be known for? What is a desired future?)
	<ul> <li>☑ Exemplary: Thoughtful analysis of program review data and any internal/external environmental scan information is included, with a thorough answer to each guiding question regarding Strengths, Opportunities &amp; Aspirations.</li> <li>☐ Adequate: Narrative information is provided regarding program review data and any internal/external environmental scan information, but is lacking analysis of data and/or does not fully address each guiding question regarding Strengths, Opportunities &amp; Aspirations. The section could be strengthened with more data and analysis.</li> <li>☐ Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not analyze program review data and any internal/external environmental scan information and/or does not address each guiding question regarding Strengths, Opportunities &amp; Aspirations.</li> </ul>

	Comments:
	□ REVISION REQUIRED
Part 2B. Required for CTE	(Required for CTE only) External Data: Advisory Committee Recommendations & Labor Market Data  ⊠ Exemplary: Both recommendations from the Advisory Committee and current relevant Labor Market Data are provided.  □ Adequate: Information is provided about an Advisory Committee, but recommendations are not evident and/or Labor Market Data is included, but does not seem current or relevant.  □ Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not include recommendations from the Advisory Committee and current relevant Labor Market Data.  □ N/A: Not applicable for non-CTE areas  Comments:  □ REVISION REQUIRED
Progress towards SLO/PLO/OO Action Plans	Review and comment on progress toward past SLO/PLO/OO Analysis (Action Plans / Course Improvement Plans).  (List your past outcomes analysis (Action Plans) and progress towards those goals.)  □ Exemplary: Outcomes Analysis, Course Improvement Plans and/or Action Plans are clearly listed, along with brief commentary about progress and the current status of those plans.  □ Adequate: Information is provided about SLOs/PLOs/OOs and Outcomes Analysis, Course Improvement Plans and/or Action Plans for the area, but Plans have not been clearly listed and/or progress is unclear.  □ Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not make evident that Course Improvement Plans and/or Actions Plans have been developed nor if progress has been made.  Comments:  □ REVISION REQUIRED
<b>a</b> D	
Progress towards past program review goals	Review and comment on progress towards past program review goals.  (List your past program review goals and progress towards those goals.)  Exemplary: Past program review goals are clearly listed, along with brief commentary about progress and the current status of those goals.  Adequate: Information is provided about past program review goals, but goals have not been clearly listed and/or progress is unclear.  Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not make evident what the past goals are nor if progress has been made.

	Comments:  □ REVISION REQUIRED
3. Program Review Goals	Based on Part 2 above, please list program/area goals for 2023-2024.
2208.11.220.12011 30.11.2	<ul> <li>□ Exemplary: Each goal is connected to an ILO/PLO/SLO/OO and EMP Goal. The goals are student-centered and are connected to the analysis in Part 2 above. Steps to be taken to achieve the goals are delineated, as well as the measure of success for each.</li> <li>☑ Adequate: Goals are formed, and each is connected to an ILO/PLO/SLO/OO and EMP Goal, but not all goals are clearly connected to analysis in Part 2 and/or are not student-centered. Steps to be taken to achieve the goal and the measure of success are provided for each, but may be unclear or hard to understand.</li> <li>□ Improvement Needed: No response or goals listed are not connected to an ILO/PLO/SLO/OO and EMP Goal. Goals listed are actually</li> </ul>
	resource requests, rather student-centered goals. Steps to be taken to achieve the goal and the measure of success are unclear or not provided.  *Comments:
	□ REVISION REQUIRED
4.	Resource Requests that Support Program Needs (based on above analysis)
Resource Requests that Support Program Needs	<ul> <li>□ Exemplary: Each resource request entered is clearly connected to and supports a goal from Part 3.</li> <li>X Adequate: Resource requests have been entered, but not all are clearly connected to goals from Part 3.</li> <li>□ Improvement Needed: No response or resource requests do not relate to goals from Part 3.</li> <li>□ N/A: No response because additional resources are not needed at this time and/or funding for resources exists from another source.</li> </ul>
	Comments: No technology request for Goal #3  □ REVISION REQUIRED
5.	
Program Data	Insert your Program Review Data here, as well as any other supporting data.
	<ul> <li>☑ Exemplary: Program Review Data and other supporting data (if applicable) is inserted, and is understandable and relevant to the report.</li> <li>☐ Adequate: Program Review Data and other supporting data (if applicable) is inserted, but is difficult to understand and/or may not be clearly relevant to the report.</li> <li>☐ Improvement Needed: No data is provided, or data provided is unreadable or does not support the report.</li> </ul>

Comments:	□ REVISION REQUIRED



On behalf of the Program Review Committee, we thank you for your time and effort in completing your Program Review report this year and for your ongoing efforts to continuously improve AVC's programs and services for our students. Your Program Review allows the rest of AVC to better understand your efforts and how they support the college overall.

**Department/Area/Division Name:** SBS/BCS BIP and OT

Part 1. Program Overview	Program Overview: Briefly describe how the program contributes to the district mission.  □ Exemplary: A clear connection is described regarding how the program connects to and supports the district mission.  □ Adequate: The work of the program described, but it is not obvious how it contributes to the district mission.  □ Improvement Needed: No response or response does not connect the program to the district mission.  Comments:
Part 2A. Analyze the program review data	Analyze the program review data (retrieval instructions) focusing on equity and any internal/external environmental scan information (e.g., surveys, interviews, focus groups, advisory groups, licensure exam scores, & job placement) to identify the program Strengths, Opportunities & Aspirations:  • Strengths and Accomplishments: (Guiding Question: What does your program/area do well, including capabilities and greatest accomplishments?)  • Opportunities and Challenges: (Guiding Question: What does your program/area need to do better to support/improve student success?)  • Aspirations: (Guiding Questions: What does your program/area want to be known for? What is a desired future?)
	<ul> <li>□ Exemplary: Thoughtful analysis of program review data and any internal/external environmental scan information is included, with a thorough answer to each guiding question regarding Strengths, Opportunities &amp; Aspirations.</li> <li>☑ Adequate: Narrative information is provided regarding program review data and any internal/external environmental scan information, but is lacking analysis of data and/or does not fully address each guiding question regarding Strengths, Opportunities &amp; Aspirations. The section could be strengthened with more data and analysis.</li> <li>□ Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not analyze program review data and any internal/external environmental scan information and/or does not address each guiding question regarding Strengths, Opportunities &amp; Aspirations.</li> </ul>

	Comments:
	□ REVISION REQUIRED
Part 2B. Required for CTE	(Required for CTE only) External Data: Advisory Committee Recommendations & Labor Market Data  □ Exemplary: Both recommendations from the Advisory Committee and current relevant Labor Market Data are provided.  □ Adequate: Information is provided about an Advisory Committee, but recommendations are not evident and/or Labor Market Data is included, but does not seem current or relevant.  □ Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not include recommendations from the Advisory Committee and current relevant Labor Market Data.  □ N/A: Not applicable for non-CTE areas  Comments:
	□ REVISION REQUIRED
Progress towards SLO/PLO/OO Action Plans	Review and comment on progress toward past SLO/PLO/OO Analysis (Action Plans / Course Improvement Plans).  (List your past outcomes analysis (Action Plans) and progress towards those goals.)   Exemplary: Outcomes Analysis, Course Improvement Plans and/or Action Plans are clearly listed, along with brief commentary about progress and the current status of those plans.  □ Adequate: Information is provided about SLOs/PLOs/OOs and Outcomes Analysis, Course Improvement Plans and/or Action Plans for the area, but Plans have not been clearly listed and/or progress is unclear.  □ Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not make evident that Course Improvement Plans and/or Actions Plans have been developed nor if progress has been made.  Comments:
	□ REVISION REQUIRED
<b>2D.</b> Progress towards past program review goals	Review and comment on progress towards past program review goals.  (List your past program review goals and progress towards those goals.)  Exemplary: Past program review goals are clearly listed, along with brief commentary about progress and the current status of those goals.  Adequate: Information is provided about past program review goals, but goals have not been clearly listed and/or progress is unclear.  Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not make evident what the past goals are nor if progress has been made.

	Comments:  □ REVISION REQUIRED
3. Program Review Goals	Based on Part 2 above, please list program/area goals for 2023-2024.   Exemplary: Each goal is connected to an ILO/PLO/SLO/OO and EMP Goal. The goals are student-centered and are connected to the analysis
	in Part 2 above. Steps to be taken to achieve the goals are delineated, as well as the measure of success for each.  Adequate: Goals are formed, and each is connected to an ILO/PLO/SLO/OO and EMP Goal, but not all goals are clearly connected to analysis in Part 2 and/or are not student-centered. Steps to be taken to achieve the goal and the measure of success are provided for each, but may be unclear or hard to understand.  Improvement Needed: No response or goals listed are not connected to an ILO/PLO/SLO/OO and EMP Goal. Goals listed are actually
	resource requests, rather student-centered goals. Steps to be taken to achieve the goal and the measure of success are unclear or not provided.  Comments:
	□ REVISION REQUIRED
4.	Resource Requests that Support Program Needs (based on above analysis)
Resource Requests that Support Program Needs	<ul> <li>□ Exemplary: Each resource request entered is clearly connected to and supports a goal from Part 3.</li> <li>□ Adequate: Resource requests have been entered, but not all are clearly connected to goals from Part 3.</li> <li>X Improvement Needed: No response or resource requests do not relate to goals from Part 3.</li> <li>□ N/A: No response because additional resources are not needed at this time and/or funding for resources exists from another source.</li> </ul>
	Comments: No request for stipends supporting goals #1 and #2  □ REVISION REQUIRED
5.	
Program Data	Insert your Program Review Data here, as well as any other supporting data.
	<ul> <li>☑ Exemplary: Program Review Data and other supporting data (if applicable) is inserted, and is understandable and relevant to the report.</li> <li>☐ Adequate: Program Review Data and other supporting data (if applicable) is inserted, but is difficult to understand and/or may not be clearly relevant to the report.</li> <li>☐ Improvement Needed: No data is provided, or data provided is unreadable or does not support the report.</li> </ul>

Comments:	□ REVISION REQUIRED



On behalf of the Program Review Committee, we thank you for your time and effort in completing your Program Review report this year and for your ongoing efforts to continuously improve AVC's programs and services for our students. Your Program Review allows the rest of AVC to better understand your efforts and how they support the college overall.

 $Department/Area/Division\ Name:\ Social\ \&\ Behavioral\ Sciences/Business\ \&\ Computer\ Studies/Business$ 

Part 1. Program Overview	Program Overview: Briefly describe how the program contributes to the district mission.  x Exemplary: A clear connection is described regarding how the program connects to and supports the district mission.  Adequate: The work of the program described, but it is not obvious how it contributes to the district mission.  Improvement Needed: No response or response does not connect the program to the district mission.  Comments:	
Part 2A. Analyze the program review data	Analyze the program review data (retrieval instructions) focusing on equity and any internal/external environmental scan information (e.g., surveys, interviews, focus groups, advisory groups, licensure exam scores, & job placement) to identify the program Strengths, Opportunities & Aspirations:  • Strengths and Accomplishments: (Guiding Question: What does your program/area do well, including capabilities and greatest accomplishments?)  • Opportunities and Challenges: (Guiding Question: What does your program/area need to do better to support/improve student success?)  • Aspirations: (Guiding Questions: What does your program/area want to be known for? What is a desired future?)	
	<ul> <li>□ Exemplary: Thoughtful analysis of program review data and any internal/external environmental scan information is included, with a thorough answer to each guiding question regarding Strengths, Opportunities &amp; Aspirations.</li> <li>x Adequate: Narrative information is provided regarding program review data and any internal/external environmental scan information, but is lacking analysis of data and/or does not fully address each guiding question regarding Strengths, Opportunities &amp; Aspirations. The section could be strengthened with more data and analysis.</li> <li>□ Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not analyze program review data and any internal/external environmental scan information and/or does not address each guiding question regarding Strengths, Opportunities &amp; Aspirations.</li> </ul>	

	Comments:
	□ REVISION REQUIRED
Part 2B. Required for CTE	(Required for CTE only) External Data: Advisory Committee Recommendations & Labor Market Data         □ Exemplary: Both recommendations from the Advisory Committee and current relevant Labor Market Data are provided.         x Adequate: Information is provided about an Advisory Committee, but recommendations are not evident and/or Labor Market Data is included, but does not seem current or relevant.         □ Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not include recommendations from the Advisory Committee and current relevant Labor Market Data.         □ N/A: Not applicable for non-CTE areas            Comments:
Progress towards SLO/PLO/OO Action Plans	Review and comment on progress toward past SLO/PLO/OO Analysis (Action Plans / Course Improvement Plans).  (List your past outcomes analysis (Action Plans) and progress towards those goals.)  Exemplary: Outcomes Analysis, Course Improvement Plans and/or Action Plans are clearly listed, along with brief commentary about progress and the current status of those plans.  Adequate: Information is provided about SLOs/PLOs/OOs and Outcomes Analysis, Course Improvement Plans and/or Action Plans for the area, but Plans have not been clearly listed and/or progress is unclear.  X Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not make evident that Course Improvement Plans and/or Actions Plans have been developed nor if progress has been made.  Comments: In this area you cover goals rather than go over SLO/PLO data. Here you should talk about progress made to course improvement plans (AKA action plans) and the SLO?PLO outcomes
<b>2D.</b> Progress towards past program review goals	Review and comment on progress towards past program review goals.  (List your past program review goals and progress towards those goals.)  X Exemplary: Past program review goals are clearly listed, along with brief commentary about progress and the current status of those goals.  Adequate: Information is provided about past program review goals, but goals have not been clearly listed and/or progress is unclear.  Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not make evident what the past goals are nor if progress has been made.

	Comments:  □ REVISION REQUIRED
<b>3.</b>	Based on Part 2 above, please list program/area goals for 2023-2024.
Program Review Goals	
5	X <b>Exemplary</b> : Each goal is connected to an ILO/PLO/SLO/OO and EMP Goal. The goals are student-centered and are connected to the analysis in Part 2 above. Steps to be taken to achieve the goals are delineated, as well as the measure of success for each.
	☐ Adequate: Goals are formed, and each is connected to an ILO/PLO/SLO/OO and EMP Goal, but not all goals are clearly connected to analysis in Part 2 and/or are not student-centered. Steps to be taken to achieve the goal and the measure of success are provided for each, but
	may be unclear or hard to understand.  Improvement Needed: No response or goals listed are not connected to an ILO/PLO/SLO/OO and EMP Goal. Goals listed are actually resource requests, rather student-centered goals. Steps to be taken to achieve the goal and the measure of success are unclear or not provided.
	Comments:
	$\square$ REVISION REQUIRED
1	
D D	Resource Requests that Support Program Needs (based on above analysis)
Resource Requests that Support Program	X Exemplary: Each resource request entered is clearly connected to and supports a goal from Part 3.
Needs	☐ Adequate: Resource requests have been entered, but not all are clearly connected to goals from Part 3. ☐ Improvement Needed: No response or resource requests do not relate to goals from Part 3.
	$\square$ N/A: No response because additional resources are not needed at this time and/or funding for resources exists from another source.
	Comments:
	$\square$ REVISION REQUIRED
5.	
Program Data	Insert your Program Review Data here, as well as any other supporting data.
	X Exemplary: Program Review Data and other supporting data (if applicable) is inserted, and is understandable and relevant to the report.
	☐ Adequate: Program Review Data and other supporting data (if applicable) is inserted, but is difficult to understand and/or may not be clearly relevant to the report.
	☐ Improvement Needed: No data is provided, or data provided is unreadable or does not support the report.

Comments:	□ REVISION REQUIRED



On behalf of the Program Review Committee, we thank you for your time and effort in completing your Program Review report this year and for your ongoing efforts to continuously improve AVC's programs and services for our students. Your Program Review allows the rest of AVC to better understand your efforts and how they support the college overall.

**Department/Area/Division Name:** SBS/BCS CA **Peer Reviewed By:** Wendy Stout, Gary Heaton-Smith

Part 1. Program Overview	Program Overview: Briefly describe how the program contributes to the district mission.    Exemplary: A clear connection is described regarding how the program connects to and supports the district mission.   X Adequate: The work of the program described, but it is not obvious how it contributes to the district mission.   Improvement Needed: No response or response does not connect the program to the district mission.   Comments:
Part 2A. Analyze the program review data	Analyze the program review data (retrieval instructions) focusing on equity and any internal/external environmental scan information (e.g., surveys, interviews, focus groups, advisory groups, licensure exam scores, & job placement) to identify the program Strengths, Opportunities & Aspirations:  • Strengths and Accomplishments: (Guiding Question: What does your program/area do well, including capabilities and greatest accomplishments?)  • Opportunities and Challenges: (Guiding Question: What does your program/area need to do better to support/improve student success?)  • Aspirations: (Guiding Questions: What does your program/area want to be known for? What is a desired future?)
	x Exemplary: Thoughtful analysis of program review data and any internal/external environmental scan information is included, with a thorough answer to each guiding question regarding Strengths, Opportunities & Aspirations.  □ Adequate: Narrative information is provided regarding program review data and any internal/external environmental scan information, but is lacking analysis of data and/or does not fully address each guiding question regarding Strengths, Opportunities & Aspirations. The section could be strengthened with more data and analysis.  □ Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not analyze program review data and any internal/external environmental scan information and/or does not address each guiding question regarding Strengths, Opportunities & Aspirations.

	Comments:
Part 2B. Required for CTE	(Required for CTE only) External Data: Advisory Committee Recommendations & Labor Market Data         x Exemplary: Both recommendations from the Advisory Committee and current relevant Labor Market Data are provided.         □ Adequate: Information is provided about an Advisory Committee, but recommendations are not evident and/or Labor Market Data is included, but does not seem current or relevant.         □ Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not include recommendations from the Advisory Committee and current relevant Labor Market Data.         □ N/A: Not applicable for non-CTE areas         Comments:
Progress towards SLO/PLO/OO Action Plans	Review and comment on progress toward past SLO/PLO/OO Analysis (Action Plans / Course Improvement Plans).  (List your past outcomes analysis (Action Plans) and progress towards those goals.)    Exemplary: Outcomes Analysis, Course Improvement Plans and/or Action Plans are clearly listed, along with brief commentary about progress and the current status of those plans.    Adequate: Information is provided about SLOs/PLOs/OOs and Outcomes Analysis, Course Improvement Plans and/or Action Plans for the area, but Plans have not been clearly listed and/or progress is unclear.  X Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not make evident that Course Improvement Plans and/or Actions Plans have been developed nor if progress has been made.  Comments: This area should include you course improvement plans(aka Action plans) and progress made towards them    REVISION REQUIRED
2D. Progress towards past program review goals	Review and comment on progress towards past program review goals.  (List your past program review goals and progress towards those goals.)  X Exemplary: Past program review goals are clearly listed, along with brief commentary about progress and the current status of those goals.  Adequate: Information is provided about past program review goals, but goals have not been clearly listed and/or progress is unclear.  Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not make evident what the past goals are nor if progress has been made.  Comments:

	□ REVISION REQUIRED
3.	D
Program Review Goals	Based on Part 2 above, please list program/area goals for 2023-2024.
Trogram review Goars	<ul> <li>□ Exemplary: Each goal is connected to an ILO/PLO/SLO/OO and EMP Goal. The goals are student-centered and are connected to the analysis in Part 2 above. Steps to be taken to achieve the goals are delineated, as well as the measure of success for each.</li> <li>X Adequate: Goals are formed, and each is connected to an ILO/PLO/SLO/OO and EMP Goal, but not all goals are clearly connected to analysis in Part 2 and/or are not student-centered. Steps to be taken to achieve the goal and the measure of success are provided for each, but may be unclear or hard to understand.</li> </ul>
	☐ Improvement Needed: No response or goals listed are not connected to an ILO/PLO/SLO/OO and EMP Goal. Goals listed are actually resource requests, rather student-centered goals. Steps to be taken to achieve the goal and the measure of success are unclear or not provided.
	Comments:
	□ REVISION REQUIRED
1	
4.	Resource Requests that Support Program Needs (based on above analysis)
Resource Requests that Support Program Needs	X Exemplary: Each resource request entered is clearly connected to and supports a goal from Part 3.  □ Adequate: Resource requests have been entered, but not all are clearly connected to goals from Part 3.  □ Improvement Needed: No response or resource requests do not relate to goals from Part 3.
	□ N/A: No response because additional resources are not needed at this time and/or funding for resources exists from another source.
	Comments:
	$\square$ REVISION REQUIRED
5.	
Program Data	Insert your Program Review Data here, as well as any other supporting data.
	X Exemplary: Program Review Data and other supporting data (if applicable) is inserted, and is understandable and relevant to the report.  ☐ Adequate: Program Review Data and other supporting data (if applicable) is inserted, but is difficult to understand and/or may not be clearly relevant to the report.
	☐ Improvement Needed: No data is provided, or data provided is unreadable or does not support the report.

Comments:	□ REVISION REQUIRED



On behalf of the Program Review Committee, we thank you for your time and effort in completing your Program Review report this year and for your ongoing efforts to continuously improve AVC's programs and services for our students. Your Program Review allows the rest of AVC to better understand your efforts and how they support the college overall.

Department/Area/Division Name: Child Development Center

Part 1. Program Overview	Program Overview: Briefly describe how the program contributes to the district mission.    Exemplary: A clear connection is described regarding how the program connects to and supports the district mission.    X Adequate: The work of the program described, but it is not obvious how it contributes to the district mission.    Improvement Needed: No response or response does not connect the program to the district mission.    Comments:			
Part 2A. Analyze the program review data	Analyze the program review data (retrieval instructions) focusing on equity and any internal/external environmental scan information (e.g., surveys, interviews, focus groups, advisory groups, licensure exam scores, & job placement) to identify the program Strengths, Opportunities & Aspirations:  • Strengths and Accomplishments: (Guiding Question: What does your program/area do well, including capabilities and greatest accomplishments?)  • Opportunities and Challenges: (Guiding Question: What does your program/area need to do better to support/improve student success?)  • Aspirations: (Guiding Questions: What does your program/area want to be known for? What is a desired future?)			
	<ul> <li>□ Exemplary: Thoughtful analysis of program review data and any internal/external environmental scan information is included, with a thorough answer to each guiding question regarding Strengths, Opportunities &amp; Aspirations.</li> <li>x Adequate: Narrative information is provided regarding program review data and any internal/external environmental scan information, but is lacking analysis of data and/or does not fully address each guiding question regarding Strengths, Opportunities &amp; Aspirations. The section could be strengthened with more data and analysis.</li> <li>□ Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not analyze program review data and any internal/external environmental scan information and/or does not address each guiding question regarding Strengths, Opportunities &amp; Aspirations.</li> </ul>			

	Comments:
Part 2B. Required for CTE	(Required for CTE only) External Data: Advisory Committee Recommendations & Labor Market Data are provided.  □ Exemplary: Both recommendations from the Advisory Committee and current relevant Labor Market Data are provided.  □ Adequate: Information is provided about an Advisory Committee, but recommendations are not evident and/or Labor Market Data is included, but does not seem current or relevant.  □ Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not include recommendations from the Advisory Committee and current relevant Labor Market Data.  X N/A: Not applicable for non-CTE areas  Comments:  □ REVISION REQUIRED
Progress towards SLO/PLO/OO Action Plans	Review and comment on progress toward past SLO/PLO/OO Analysis (Action Plans / Course Improvement Plans).  (List your past outcomes analysis (Action Plans) and progress towards those goals.)    Exemplary: Outcomes Analysis, Course Improvement Plans and/or Action Plans are clearly listed, along with brief commentary about progress and the current status of those plans.  X Adequate: Information is provided about SLOs/PLOs/OOs and Outcomes Analysis, Course Improvement Plans and/or Action Plans for the area, but Plans have not been clearly listed and/or progress is unclear.    Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not make evident that Course Improvement Plans and/or Actions Plans have been developed nor if progress has been made.    Comments:   REVISION REQUIRED
2D. Progress towards past program review goals	Review and comment on progress towards past program review goals.  (List your past program review goals and progress towards those goals.)  X Exemplary: Past program review goals are clearly listed, along with brief commentary about progress and the current status of those goals.  Adequate: Information is provided about past program review goals, but goals have not been clearly listed and/or progress is unclear.  Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not make evident what the past goals are nor if progress has been made.  Comments:

	□ REVISION REQUIRED
3	
Program Review Goals	Based on Part 2 above, please list program/area goals for 2023-2024.
Trogram review Goars	□ Exemplary: Each goal is connected to an ILO/PLO/SLO/OO and EMP Goal. The goals are student-centered and are connected to the analysis in Part 2 above. Steps to be taken to achieve the goals are delineated, as well as the measure of success for each.  X Adequate: Goals are formed, and each is connected to an ILO/PLO/SLO/OO and EMP Goal, but not all goals are clearly connected to analysis in Part 2 and/or are not student-centered. Steps to be taken to achieve the goal and the measure of success are provided for each, but may be unclear or hard to understand.
	☐ Improvement Needed: No response or goals listed are not connected to an ILO/PLO/SLO/OO and EMP Goal. Goals listed are actually resource requests, rather student-centered goals. Steps to be taken to achieve the goal and the measure of success are unclear or not provided.
	Comments:
	□ REVISION REQUIRED
1	
<b>4.</b>	Resource Requests that Support Program Needs (based on above analysis)
Resource Requests that Support Program	X Exemplary: Each resource request entered is clearly connected to and supports a goal from Part 3.
Needs	☐ Adequate: Resource requests have been entered, but not all are clearly connected to goals from Part 3. ☐ Improvement Needed: No response or resource requests do not relate to goals from Part 3.
	□ N/A: No response because additional resources are not needed at this time and/or funding for resources exists from another source.
	Comments:
	$\square$ REVISION REQUIRED
5.	
Program Data	Insert your Program Review Data here, as well as any other supporting data.
	☐ <b>Exemplary</b> : Program Review Data and other supporting data (if applicable) is inserted, and is understandable and relevant to the report.
	☐ <b>Adequate</b> : Program Review Data and other supporting data (if applicable) is inserted, but is difficult to understand and/or may not be clearly relevant to the report.
	x Improvement Needed: No data is provided, or data provided is unreadable or does not support the report.

Comments:	Nothing was provided	□ REVISION REQUIRED



On behalf of the Program Review Committee, we thank you for your time and effort in completing your Program Review report this year and for your ongoing efforts to continuously improve AVC's programs and services for our students. Your Program Review allows the rest of AVC to better understand your efforts and how they support the college overall.

Department/Area/Division Name: Social & Behavioral Science Child & Family Education/Education

Part 1. Program Overview	Program Overview: Briefly describe how the program contributes to the district mission.  □ Exemplary: A clear connection is described regarding how the program connects to and supports the district mission.  X Adequate: The work of the program described, but it is not obvious how it contributes to the district mission.  □ Improvement Needed: No response or response does not connect the program to the district mission.  Comments:			
Part 2A. Analyze the program review data	Analyze the program review data (retrieval instructions) focusing on equity and any internal/external environmental scan information (e.g., surveys, interviews, focus groups, advisory groups, licensure exam scores, & job placement) to identify the program Strengths, Opportunities & Aspirations:  • Strengths and Accomplishments: (Guiding Question: What does your program/area do well, including capabilities and greatest accomplishments?)  • Opportunities and Challenges: (Guiding Question: What does your program/area need to do better to support/improve student success?)  • Aspirations: (Guiding Questions: What does your program/area want to be known for? What is a desired future?)			
	<ul> <li>□ Exemplary: Thoughtful analysis of program review data and any internal/external environmental scan information is included, with a thorough answer to each guiding question regarding Strengths, Opportunities &amp; Aspirations.</li> <li>X Adequate: Narrative information is provided regarding program review data and any internal/external environmental scan information, but is lacking analysis of data and/or does not fully address each guiding question regarding Strengths, Opportunities &amp; Aspirations. The section could be strengthened with more data and analysis.</li> <li>□ Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not analyze program review data and any internal/external environmental scan information and/or does not address each guiding question regarding Strengths, Opportunities &amp; Aspirations.</li> </ul>			

	Comments:
	$\square$ REVISION REQUIRED
Part 2B. Required for CTE	(Required for CTE only) External Data: Advisory Committee Recommendations & Labor Market Data         X Exemplary: Both recommendations from the Advisory Committee and current relevant Labor Market Data are provided.         □ Adequate: Information is provided about an Advisory Committee, but recommendations are not evident and/or Labor Market Data is included, but does not seem current or relevant.         □ Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not include recommendations from the Advisory Committee and current relevant Labor Market Data.         □ N/A: Not applicable for non-CTE areas         Comments:
Progress towards SLO/PLO/OO Action Plans	Review and comment on progress toward past SLO/PLO/OO Analysis (Action Plans / Course Improvement Plans).  (List your past outcomes Analysis, Course Improvement Plans and/or Action Plans are clearly listed, along with brief commentary about progress and the current status of those plans.  X Adequate: Information is provided about SLOs/PLOs/OOs and Outcomes Analysis, Course Improvement Plans and/or Action Plans for the area, but Plans have not been clearly listed and/or progress is unclear.    Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not make evident that Course Improvement Plans and/or Actions Plans have been developed nor if progress has been made.    Comments: Please cite and connect to specific SLO/PLO/OO Analysis    REVISION REQUIRED
2D. Progress towards past program review goals	Review and comment on progress towards past program review goals.  (List your past program review goals and progress towards those goals.)  X Exemplary: Past program review goals are clearly listed, along with brief commentary about progress and the current status of those goals.  Adequate: Information is provided about past program review goals, but goals have not been clearly listed and/or progress is unclear.  Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not make evident what the past goals are nor if progress has been made.  Comments:

	□ REVISION REQUIRED
2	
<b>3.</b>	Based on Part 2 above, please list program/area goals for 2023-2024.
Program Review Goals	☐ <b>Exemplary</b> : Each goal is connected to an ILO/PLO/SLO/OO and EMP Goal. The goals are student-centered and are connected to the analysis
	in Part 2 above. Steps to be taken to achieve the goals are delineated, as well as the measure of success for each.
	X Adequate: Goals are formed, and each is connected to an ILO/PLO/SLO/OO and EMP Goal, but not all goals are clearly connected to analysis in Part 2 and/or are not student-centered. Steps to be taken to achieve the goal and the measure of success are provided for each, but may be unclear or hard to understand.
	☐ Improvement Needed: No response or goals listed are not connected to an ILO/PLO/SLO/OO and EMP Goal. Goals listed are actually resource requests, rather student-centered goals. Steps to be taken to achieve the goal and the measure of success are unclear or not provided.
	Comments:
	$\square$ REVISION REQUIRED
1	
4.	Resource Requests that Support Program Needs (based on above analysis)
Resource Requests	X Exemplary: Each resource request entered is clearly connected to and supports a goal from Part 3.
that Support Program Needs	☐ Adequate: Resource requests have been entered, but not all are clearly connected to goals from Part 3.
110000	☐ Improvement Needed: No response or resource requests do not relate to goals from Part 3.
	□ N/A: No response because additional resources are not needed at this time and/or funding for resources exists from another source.
	Comments:
	$\square$ REVISION REQUIRED
5.	
Program Data	Insert your Program Review Data here, as well as any other supporting data.
	X Exemplary: Program Review Data and other supporting data (if applicable) is inserted, and is understandable and relevant to the report.  ☐ Adequate: Program Review Data and other supporting data (if applicable) is inserted, but is difficult to understand and/or may not be clearly relevant to the report.
	☐ <b>Improvement Needed:</b> No data is provided, or data provided is unreadable or does not support the report.

Comments:	□ REVISION REQUIRED



On behalf of the Program Review Committee, we thank you for your time and effort in completing your Program Review report this year and for your ongoing efforts to continuously improve AVC's programs and services for our students. Your Program Review allows the rest of AVC to better understand your efforts and how they support the college overall.

**Department/Area/Division Name:** Economics

Part 1. Program Overview	Program Overview: Briefly describe how the program contributes to the district mission.  X Exemplary: A clear connection is described regarding how the program connects to and supports the district mission.  Adequate: The work of the program described, but it is not obvious how it contributes to the district mission.  Improvement Needed: No response or response does not connect the program to the district mission.  Comments:
Part 2A. Analyze the program review data	Analyze the program review data (retrieval instructions) focusing on equity and any internal/external environmental scan information (e.g., surveys, interviews, focus groups, advisory groups, licensure exam scores, & job placement) to identify the program Strengths, Opportunities & Aspirations:  Strengths and Accomplishments: (Guiding Question: What does your program/area do well, including capabilities and greatest accomplishments?)  Opportunities and Challenges: (Guiding Question: What does your program/area need to do better to support/improve student success?)  Aspirations: (Guiding Questions: What does your program/area want to be known for? What is a desired future?)  X Exemplary: Thoughtful analysis of program review data and any internal/external environmental scan information is included, with a thorough answer to each guiding question regarding Strengths, Opportunities & Aspirations.  Adequate: Narrative information is provided regarding program review data and any internal/external environmental scan information, but is lacking analysis of data and/or does not fully address each guiding question regarding Strengths, Opportunities & Aspirations. The section could be strengthened with more data and analysis.  Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not analyze program review data and any internal/external environmental scan information and/or does not address each guiding question regarding Strengths, Opportunities & Aspirations.  Comments:

	$\Box$ REVISION REQUIRED
Part 2B.	(Required for CTE only) External Data: Advisory Committee Recommendations & Labor Market Data
Required for CTE	<ul> <li>□ Exemplary: Both recommendations from the Advisory Committee and current relevant Labor Market Data are provided.</li> <li>□ Adequate: Information is provided about an Advisory Committee, but recommendations are not evident and/or Labor Market Data is included, but does not seem current or relevant.</li> <li>□ Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not include recommendations from the Advisory Committee and current relevant Labor Market Data.</li> <li>X N/A: Not applicable for non-CTE areas</li> </ul>
	Comments:  □ REVISION REQUIRED
Progress towards SLO/PLO/OO Action Plans	Review and comment on progress toward past SLO/PLO/OO Analysis (Action Plans / Course Improvement Plans).  (List your past outcomes analysis (Action Plans) and progress towards those goals.)  X Exemplary: Outcomes Analysis, Course Improvement Plans and/or Action Plans are clearly listed, along with brief commentary about progress and the current status of those plans.  Adequate: Information is provided about SLOs/PLOs/OOs and Outcomes Analysis, Course Improvement Plans and/or Action Plans for the area, but Plans have not been clearly listed and/or progress is unclear.  Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not make evident that Course Improvement Plans and/or Actions Plans have been developed nor if progress has been made.  Comments:
2D. Progress towards past program review goals	Review and comment on progress towards past program review goals.  (List your past program review goals and progress towards those goals.)  X Exemplary: Past program review goals are clearly listed, along with brief commentary about progress and the current status of those goals.  Adequate: Information is provided about past program review goals, but goals have not been clearly listed and/or progress is unclear.  Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not make evident what the past goals are nor if progress has been made.  Comments:

2	
3.	Based on Part 2 above, please list program/area goals for 2023-2024.
Program Review Goals	<ul> <li>X Exemplary: Each goal is connected to an ILO/PLO/SLO/OO and EMP Goal. The goals are student-centered and are connected to the analysis in Part 2 above. Steps to be taken to achieve the goals are delineated, as well as the measure of success for each.</li> <li>□ Adequate: Goals are formed, and each is connected to an ILO/PLO/SLO/OO and EMP Goal, but not all goals are clearly connected to analysis in Part 2 and/or are not student-centered. Steps to be taken to achieve the goal and the measure of success are provided for each, but may be unclear or hard to understand.</li> <li>□ Improvement Needed: No response or goals listed are not connected to an ILO/PLO/SLO/OO and EMP Goal. Goals listed are actually resource requests, rather student-centered goals. Steps to be taken to achieve the goal and the measure of success are unclear or not provided.</li> </ul>
	resource requests, rather student-centered goars. Steps to be taken to achieve the goar and the measure of success are unclear of not provided.
	Comments:
	$\Box$ REVISION REQUIRED
4	
4.	Resource Requests that Support Program Needs (based on above analysis)
Resource Requests that Support Program Needs	<ul> <li>□ Exemplary: Each resource request entered is clearly connected to and supports a goal from Part 3.</li> <li>□ Adequate: Resource requests have been entered, but not all are clearly connected to goals from Part 3.</li> <li>X Improvement Needed: No response or resource requests do not relate to goals from Part 3.</li> <li>□ N/A: No response because additional resources are not needed at this time and/or funding for resources exists from another source.</li> </ul>
	Comments: Resource request is lacking tie to goals/data in Part 3
	□ REVISION REQUIRED
5.	
Program Data	Insert your Program Review Data here, as well as any other supporting data.
	<ul> <li>X Exemplary: Program Review Data and other supporting data (if applicable) is inserted, and is understandable and relevant to the report.</li> <li>☐ Adequate: Program Review Data and other supporting data (if applicable) is inserted, but is difficult to understand and/or may not be clearly relevant to the report.</li> <li>☐ Improvement Needed: No data is provided, or data provided is unreadable or does not support the report.</li> </ul> Comments:
	□ REVISION REQUIRED



On behalf of the Program Review Committee, we thank you for your time and effort in completing your Program Review report this year and for your ongoing efforts to continuously improve AVC's programs and services for our students. Your Program Review allows the rest of AVC to better understand your efforts and how they support the college overall.

**Department/Area/Division Name:** SBS/HISTORY **Peer Reviewed By:** Wendy Stout, Gary Heaton-Smith

Part 1. Program Overview	Program Overview: Briefly describe how the program contributes to the district mission.  x Exemplary: A clear connection is described regarding how the program connects to and supports the district mission.  Adequate: The work of the program described, but it is not obvious how it contributes to the district mission.  Improvement Needed: No response or response does not connect the program to the district mission.  Comments:
Part 2A. Analyze the program review data	Analyze the program review data (retrieval instructions) focusing on equity and any internal/external environmental scan information (e.g., surveys, interviews, focus groups, advisory groups, licensure exam scores, & job placement) to identify the program Strengths, Opportunities & Aspirations:  • Strengths and Accomplishments: (Guiding Question: What does your program/area do well, including capabilities and greatest accomplishments?)  • Opportunities and Challenges: (Guiding Question: What does your program/area need to do better to support/improve student success?)  • Aspirations: (Guiding Questions: What does your program/area want to be known for? What is a desired future?)
	<ul> <li>□ Exemplary: Thoughtful analysis of program review data and any internal/external environmental scan information is included, with a thorough answer to each guiding question regarding Strengths, Opportunities &amp; Aspirations.</li> <li>x Adequate: Narrative information is provided regarding program review data and any internal/external environmental scan information, but is lacking analysis of data and/or does not fully address each guiding question regarding Strengths, Opportunities &amp; Aspirations. The section could be strengthened with more data and analysis.</li> <li>□ Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not analyze program review data and any internal/external environmental scan information and/or does not address each guiding question regarding Strengths, Opportunities &amp; Aspirations.</li> </ul>

	Comments:
Part 2B. Required for CTE	(Required for CTE only) External Data: Advisory Committee Recommendations & Labor Market Data are provided.  □ Exemplary: Both recommendations from the Advisory Committee and current relevant Labor Market Data are provided.  □ Adequate: Information is provided about an Advisory Committee, but recommendations are not evident and/or Labor Market Data is included, but does not seem current or relevant.  □ Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not include recommendations from the Advisory Committee and current relevant Labor Market Data.  X N/A: Not applicable for non-CTE areas  Comments:  □ REVISION REQUIRED
Progress towards SLO/PLO/OO Action Plans	Review and comment on progress toward past SLO/PLO/OO Analysis (Action Plans / Course Improvement Plans).  (List your past outcomes analysis (Action Plans) and progress towards those goals.)    Exemplary: Outcomes Analysis, Course Improvement Plans and/or Action Plans are clearly listed, along with brief commentary about progress and the current status of those plans.  X Adequate: Information is provided about SLOs/PLOs/OOs and Outcomes Analysis, Course Improvement Plans and/or Action Plans for the area, but Plans have not been clearly listed and/or progress is unclear.    Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not make evident that Course Improvement Plans and/or Actions Plans have been developed nor if progress has been made.    Comments:   REVISION REQUIRED
2D. Progress towards past program review goals	Review and comment on progress towards past program review goals.  (List your past program review goals and progress towards those goals.)  Exemplary: Past program review goals are clearly listed, along with brief commentary about progress and the current status of those goals. X Adequate: Information is provided about past program review goals, but goals have not been clearly listed and/or progress is unclear.  Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not make evident what the past goals are nor if progress has been made.  Comments:

	□ REVISION REQUIRED
3.	D
Program Review Goals	Based on Part 2 above, please list program/area goals for 2023-2024.
Trogram review Goars	<ul> <li>□ Exemplary: Each goal is connected to an ILO/PLO/SLO/OO and EMP Goal. The goals are student-centered and are connected to the analysis in Part 2 above. Steps to be taken to achieve the goals are delineated, as well as the measure of success for each.</li> <li>X Adequate: Goals are formed, and each is connected to an ILO/PLO/SLO/OO and EMP Goal, but not all goals are clearly connected to analysis in Part 2 and/or are not student-centered. Steps to be taken to achieve the goal and the measure of success are provided for each, but may be unclear or hard to understand.</li> </ul>
	☐ Improvement Needed: No response or goals listed are not connected to an ILO/PLO/SLO/OO and EMP Goal. Goals listed are actually resource requests, rather student-centered goals. Steps to be taken to achieve the goal and the measure of success are unclear or not provided.
	Comments:
	$\Box$ REVISION REQUIRED
4.	Resource Requests that Support Program Needs (based on above analysis)
Resource Requests	
that Support Program	X Exemplary: Each resource request entered is clearly connected to and supports a goal from Part 3.  ☐ Adequate: Resource requests have been entered, but not all are clearly connected to goals from Part 3.
Needs	☐ Improvement Needed: No response or resource requests do not relate to goals from Part 3.
	□ N/A: No response because additional resources are not needed at this time and/or funding for resources exists from another source.
	Comments:
	$\Box$ REVISION REQUIRED
5.	
Program Data	Insert your Program Review Data here, as well as any other supporting data.
	X Exemplary: Program Review Data and other supporting data (if applicable) is inserted, and is understandable and relevant to the report.  ☐ Adequate: Program Review Data and other supporting data (if applicable) is inserted, but is difficult to understand and/or may not be clearly relevant to the report.
	☐ Improvement Needed: No data is provided, or data provided is unreadable or does not support the report.

Comments:	□ REVISION REQUIRED



On behalf of the Program Review Committee, we thank you for your time and effort in completing your Program Review report this year and for your ongoing efforts to continuously improve AVC's programs and services for our students. Your Program Review allows the rest of AVC to better understand your efforts and how they support the college overall.

Department/Area/Division Name: SBS/Business and Computer Studies/Management

Part 1. Program Overview	Program Overview: Briefly describe how the program contributes to the district mission.  X Exemplary: A clear connection is described regarding how the program connects to and supports the district mission.  Adequate: The work of the program described, but it is not obvious how it contributes to the district mission.  Improvement Needed: No response or response does not connect the program to the district mission.  Comments:
Part 2A. Analyze the program review data	Analyze the program review data (retrieval instructions) focusing on equity and any internal/external environmental scan information (e.g., surveys, interviews, focus groups, advisory groups, licensure exam scores, & job placement) to identify the program Strengths, Opportunities & Aspirations:  • Strengths and Accomplishments: (Guiding Question: What does your program/area do well, including capabilities and greatest accomplishments?)  • Opportunities and Challenges: (Guiding Question: What does your program/area need to do better to support/improve student success?)  • Aspirations: (Guiding Questions: What does your program/area want to be known for? What is a desired future?)
	<ul> <li>□ Exemplary: Thoughtful analysis of program review data and any internal/external environmental scan information is included, with a thorough answer to each guiding question regarding Strengths, Opportunities &amp; Aspirations.</li> <li>x Adequate: Narrative information is provided regarding program review data and any internal/external environmental scan information, but is lacking analysis of data and/or does not fully address each guiding question regarding Strengths, Opportunities &amp; Aspirations. The section could be strengthened with more data and analysis.</li> <li>□ Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not analyze program review data and any internal/external environmental scan information and/or does not address each guiding question regarding Strengths, Opportunities &amp; Aspirations.</li> </ul>

	Comments:
Part 2B. Required for CTE	(Required for CTE only) External Data: Advisory Committee Recommendations & Labor Market Data         □ Exemplary: Both recommendations from the Advisory Committee and current relevant Labor Market Data are provided.         x Adequate: Information is provided about an Advisory Committee, but recommendations are not evident and/or Labor Market Data is included, but does not seem current or relevant.         □ Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not include recommendations from the Advisory Committee and current relevant Labor Market Data.         □ N/A: Not applicable for non-CTE areas         Comments:
Progress towards SLO/PLO/OO Action Plans	Review and comment on progress toward past SLO/PLO/OO Analysis (Action Plans / Course Improvement Plans).  (List your past outcomes analysis (Action Plans) and progress towards those goals.)  X Exemplary: Outcomes Analysis, Course Improvement Plans and/or Action Plans are clearly listed, along with brief commentary about progress and the current status of those plans.  Adequate: Information is provided about SLOs/PLOs/OOs and Outcomes Analysis, Course Improvement Plans and/or Action Plans for the area, but Plans have not been clearly listed and/or progress is unclear.  Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not make evident that Course Improvement Plans and/or Actions Plans have been developed nor if progress has been made.  Comments:
2D. Progress towards past program review goals	Review and comment on progress towards past program review goals.  (List your past program review goals and progress towards those goals.)  Exemplary: Past program review goals are clearly listed, along with brief commentary about progress and the current status of those goals. X Adequate: Information is provided about past program review goals, but goals have not been clearly listed and/or progress is unclear.  Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not make evident what the past goals are nor if progress has been made.  Comments: Please cite progress in addition to meet/did not meet.

	□ REVISION REQUIRED
2	
<b>J.</b>	Based on Part 2 above, please list program/area goals for 2023-2024.
Program Review Goals	☐ Exemplary: Each goal is connected to an ILO/PLO/SLO/OO and EMP Goal. The goals are student-centered and are connected to the analysis
	in Part 2 above. Steps to be taken to achieve the goals are delineated, as well as the measure of success for each.  X Adequate: Goals are formed, and each is connected to an ILO/PLO/SLO/OO and EMP Goal, but not all goals are clearly connected to analysis in Part 2 and/or are not student-centered. Steps to be taken to achieve the goal and the measure of success are provided for each, but may be unclear or hard to understand.
	☐ Improvement Needed: No response or goals listed are not connected to an ILO/PLO/SLO/OO and EMP Goal. Goals listed are actually resource requests, rather student-centered goals. Steps to be taken to achieve the goal and the measure of success are unclear or not provided.
	Comments: Please make goals measurable by citing specific numbers.
	$\square$ REVISION REQUIRED
1	
4.	Resource Requests that Support Program Needs (based on above analysis)
Resource Requests that Support Program	X Exemplary: Each resource request entered is clearly connected to and supports a goal from Part 3.
Needs	☐ Adequate: Resource requests have been entered, but not all are clearly connected to goals from Part 3.
	☐ Improvement Needed: No response or resource requests do not relate to goals from Part 3.  N/A: No response because additional resources are not needed at this time and/or funding for resources exists from another source.
	Comments:
	□ REVISION REQUIRED
5.	
Program Data	Insert your Program Review Data here, as well as any other supporting data.
	Y Evamplanty: Program Payiaty Data and other supporting data (if applicable) is inserted, and is understandable and valeyant to the second
	X Exemplary: Program Review Data and other supporting data (if applicable) is inserted, and is understandable and relevant to the report.  Adequate: Program Review Data and other supporting data (if applicable) is inserted, but is difficult to understand and/or may not be clearly relevant to the report.
	☐ <b>Improvement Needed:</b> No data is provided, or data provided is unreadable or does not support the report.

Comments:	□ REVISION REQUIRED



On behalf of the Program Review Committee, we thank you for your time and effort in completing your Program Review report this year and for your ongoing efforts to continuously improve AVC's programs and services for our students. Your Program Review allows the rest of AVC to better understand your efforts and how they support the college overall.

Department/Area/Division Name: SBS/Business and Computer Studies/Marketing

Part 1. Program Overview	Program Overview: Briefly describe how the program contributes to the district mission.  x Exemplary: A clear connection is described regarding how the program connects to and supports the district mission.  Adequate: The work of the program described, but it is not obvious how it contributes to the district mission.  Improvement Needed: No response or response does not connect the program to the district mission.  Comments:
Part 2A. Analyze the program review data	Analyze the program review data (retrieval instructions) focusing on equity and any internal/external environmental scan information (e.g., surveys, interviews, focus groups, advisory groups, licensure exam scores, & job placement) to identify the program Strengths, Opportunities & Aspirations:  • Strengths and Accomplishments: (Guiding Question: What does your program/area do well, including capabilities and greatest accomplishments?)  • Opportunities and Challenges: (Guiding Question: What does your program/area need to do better to support/improve student success?)  • Aspirations: (Guiding Questions: What does your program/area want to be known for? What is a desired future?)
	<ul> <li>X Exemplary: Thoughtful analysis of program review data and any internal/external environmental scan information is included, with a thorough answer to each guiding question regarding Strengths, Opportunities &amp; Aspirations.</li> <li>□ Adequate: Narrative information is provided regarding program review data and any internal/external environmental scan information, but is lacking analysis of data and/or does not fully address each guiding question regarding Strengths, Opportunities &amp; Aspirations. The section could be strengthened with more data and analysis.</li> <li>□ Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not analyze program review data and any internal/external environmental scan information and/or does not address each guiding question regarding Strengths, Opportunities &amp; Aspirations.</li> </ul>

	Comments:
Part 2B. Required for CTE	(Required for CTE only) External Data: Advisory Committee Recommendations & Labor Market Data         X Exemplary: Both recommendations from the Advisory Committee and current relevant Labor Market Data are provided.         □ Adequate: Information is provided about an Advisory Committee, but recommendations are not evident and/or Labor Market Data is included, but does not seem current or relevant.         □ Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not include recommendations from the Advisory Committee and current relevant Labor Market Data.         □ N/A: Not applicable for non-CTE areas         Comments:
Progress towards SLO/PLO/OO Action Plans	Review and comment on progress toward past SLO/PLO/OO Analysis (Action Plans / Course Improvement Plans).  (List your past outcomes analysis (Action Plans) and progress towards those goals.)  X Exemplary: Outcomes Analysis, Course Improvement Plans and/or Action Plans are clearly listed, along with brief commentary about progress and the current status of those plans.  Adequate: Information is provided about SLOs/PLOs/OOs and Outcomes Analysis, Course Improvement Plans and/or Action Plans for the area, but Plans have not been clearly listed and/or progress is unclear.  Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not make evident that Course Improvement Plans and/or Actions Plans have been developed nor if progress has been made.  Comments:
2D. Progress towards past program review goals	Review and comment on progress towards past program review goals.  (List your past program review goals and progress towards those goals.)  X Exemplary: Past program review goals are clearly listed, along with brief commentary about progress and the current status of those goals.  Adequate: Information is provided about past program review goals, but goals have not been clearly listed and/or progress is unclear.  Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not make evident what the past goals are nor if progress has been made.  Comments:

	□ REVISION REQUIRED
3.	Based on Part 2 above, please list program/area goals for 2023-2024.
Program Review Goals	based on Fart 2 above, please list program/area goals for 2025-2024.
Trogram review Goars	<ul> <li>□ Exemplary: Each goal is connected to an ILO/PLO/SLO/OO and EMP Goal. The goals are student-centered and are connected to the analysis in Part 2 above. Steps to be taken to achieve the goals are delineated, as well as the measure of success for each.</li> <li>X Adequate: Goals are formed, and each is connected to an ILO/PLO/SLO/OO and EMP Goal, but not all goals are clearly connected to analysis in Part 2 and/or are not student-centered. Steps to be taken to achieve the goal and the measure of success are provided for each, but may be unclear or hard to understand.</li> </ul>
	☐ Improvement Needed: No response or goals listed are not connected to an ILO/PLO/SLO/OO and EMP Goal. Goals listed are actually resource requests, rather student-centered goals. Steps to be taken to achieve the goal and the measure of success are unclear or not provided.
	Comments: Please create metrics to make goals measurable.
	$\Box$ REVISION REQUIRED
1	
<b>4.</b>	Resource Requests that Support Program Needs (based on above analysis)
Resource Requests that Support Program Needs	X Exemplary: Each resource request entered is clearly connected to and supports a goal from Part 3.  Adequate: Resource requests have been entered, but not all are clearly connected to goals from Part 3.  Improvement Needed: No response or resource requests do not relate to goals from Part 3.
	$\square$ N/A: No response because additional resources are not needed at this time and/or funding for resources exists from another source.
	Comments:
	□ REVISION REQUIRED
5.	
Program Data	Insert your Program Review Data here, as well as any other supporting data.
	X Exemplary: Program Review Data and other supporting data (if applicable) is inserted, and is understandable and relevant to the report.  Adequate: Program Review Data and other supporting data (if applicable) is inserted, but is difficult to understand and/or may not be clearly relevant to the report.
	☐ Improvement Needed: No data is provided, or data provided is unreadable or does not support the report.

Comments:	□ REVISION REQUIRED



On behalf of the Program Review Committee, we thank you for your time and effort in completing your Program Review report this year and for your ongoing efforts to continuously improve AVC's programs and services for our students. Your Program Review allows the rest of AVC to better understand your efforts and how they support the college overall.

Department/Area/Division Name: Philosophy

Part 1. Program Overview	Program Overview: Briefly describe how the program contributes to the district mission.  X Exemplary: A clear connection is described regarding how the program connects to and supports the district mission.  Adequate: The work of the program described, but it is not obvious how it contributes to the district mission.  Improvement Needed: No response or response does not connect the program to the district mission.  Comments:
	□ REVISION REQUIRED
Part 2A. Analyze the program review data	Analyze the program review data (retrieval instructions) focusing on equity and any internal/external environmental scan information (e.g., surveys, interviews, focus groups, advisory groups, licensure exam scores, & job placement) to identify the program Strengths, Opportunities & Aspirations:  • Strengths and Accomplishments: (Guiding Question: What does your program/area do well, including capabilities and greatest accomplishments?)  • Opportunities and Challenges: (Guiding Question: What does your program/area need to do better to support/improve student success?)  • Aspirations: (Guiding Questions: What does your program/area want to be known for? What is a desired future?)
	<ul> <li>X Exemplary: Thoughtful analysis of program review data and any internal/external environmental scan information is included, with a thorough answer to each guiding question regarding Strengths, Opportunities &amp; Aspirations.</li> <li>☐ Adequate: Narrative information is provided regarding program review data and any internal/external environmental scan information, but is lacking analysis of data and/or does not fully address each guiding question regarding Strengths, Opportunities &amp; Aspirations. The section could be strengthened with more data and analysis.</li> <li>☐ Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not analyze program review data and any internal/external environmental scan information and/or does not address each guiding question regarding Strengths, Opportunities &amp; Aspirations.</li> <li>Comments:</li> </ul>

	D DEVISION DEQUIDED
	□ REVISION REQUIRED
Part 2B.	(Required for CTE only) External Data: Advisory Committee Recommendations & Labor Market Data
Required for CTE	<ul> <li>□ Exemplary: Both recommendations from the Advisory Committee and current relevant Labor Market Data are provided.</li> <li>□ Adequate: Information is provided about an Advisory Committee, but recommendations are not evident and/or Labor Market Data is included, but does not seem current or relevant.</li> <li>□ Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not include recommendations from the Advisory Committee and current relevant Labor Market Data.</li> <li>X N/A: Not applicable for non-CTE areas</li> </ul>
	Comments:  □ REVISION REQUIRED
Progress towards SLO/PLO/OO Action Plans	Review and comment on progress toward past SLO/PLO/OO Analysis (Action Plans / Course Improvement Plans).  (List your past outcomes analysis (Action Plans) and progress towards those goals.)  Exemplary: Outcomes Analysis, Course Improvement Plans and/or Action Plans are clearly listed, along with brief commentary about progress and the current status of those plans.  x Adequate: Information is provided about SLOs/PLOs/OOs and Outcomes Analysis, Course Improvement Plans and/or Action Plans for the area, but Plans have not been clearly listed and/or progress is unclear.  Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not make evident that Course Improvement Plans and/or Actions Plans have been developed nor if progress has been made.  Comments:
2D. Progress towards past program review goals	Review and comment on progress towards past program review goals.  (List your past program review goals and progress towards those goals.)  Exemplary: Past program review goals are clearly listed, along with brief commentary about progress and the current status of those goals. X Adequate: Information is provided about past program review goals, but goals have not been clearly listed and/or progress is unclear.  Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not make evident what the past goals are nor if progress has been made.  Comments:

2	
<b>J.</b>	Based on Part 2 above, please list program/area goals for 2023-2024.
Program Review Goals	<ul> <li>□ Exemplary: Each goal is connected to an ILO/PLO/SLO/OO and EMP Goal. The goals are student-centered and are connected to the analysis in Part 2 above. Steps to be taken to achieve the goals are delineated, as well as the measure of success for each.</li> <li>x Adequate: Goals are formed, and each is connected to an ILO/PLO/SLO/OO and EMP Goal, but not all goals are clearly connected to analysis in Part 2 and/or are not student-centered. Steps to be taken to achieve the goal and the measure of success are provided for each, but may be unclear or hard to understand.</li> <li>□ Improvement Needed: No response or goals listed are not connected to an ILO/PLO/SLO/OO and EMP Goal. Goals listed are actually resource requests, rather student-centered goals. Steps to be taken to achieve the goal and the measure of success are unclear or not provided.</li> </ul>
	Comments:
	$\Box$ REVISION REQUIRED
4	
4.	Resource Requests that Support Program Needs (based on above analysis)
Resource Requests that Support Program Needs	X Exemplary: Each resource request entered is clearly connected to and supports a goal from Part 3.  Adequate: Resource requests have been entered, but not all are clearly connected to goals from Part 3.  Improvement Needed: No response or resource requests do not relate to goals from Part 3.  N/A: No response because additional resources are not needed at this time and/or funding for resources exists from another source.  Comments:
	$\square$ REVISION REQUIRED
5.	
Program Data	Insert your Program Review Data here, as well as any other supporting data.
	x Exemplary: Program Review Data and other supporting data (if applicable) is inserted, and is understandable and relevant to the report.  ☐ Adequate: Program Review Data and other supporting data (if applicable) is inserted, but is difficult to understand and/or may not be clearly relevant to the report.  ☐ Improvement Needed: No data is provided, or data provided is unreadable or does not support the report.
	Comments:  □ REVISION REQUIRED



On behalf of the Program Review Committee, we thank you for your time and effort in completing your Program Review report this year and for your ongoing efforts to continuously improve AVC's programs and services for our students. Your Program Review allows the rest of AVC to better understand your efforts and how they support the college overall.

Department/Area/Division Name: Social Science/Political Science

Part 1. Program Overview	Program Overview: Briefly describe how the program contributes to the district mission.  □ Exemplary: A clear connection is described regarding how the program connects to and supports the district mission.  X Adequate: The work of the program described, but it is not obvious how it contributes to the district mission.  □ Improvement Needed: No response or response does not connect the program to the district mission.  Comments:
Part 2A. Analyze the program review data	Analyze the program review data (retrieval instructions) focusing on equity and any internal/external environmental scan information (e.g., surveys, interviews, focus groups, advisory groups, licensure exam scores, & job placement) to identify the program Strengths, Opportunities & Aspirations:  • Strengths and Accomplishments: (Guiding Question: What does your program/area do well, including capabilities and greatest accomplishments?)  • Opportunities and Challenges: (Guiding Question: What does your program/area need to do better to support/improve student success?)  • Aspirations: (Guiding Questions: What does your program/area want to be known for? What is a desired future?)
	<ul> <li>□ Exemplary: Thoughtful analysis of program review data and any internal/external environmental scan information is included, with a thorough answer to each guiding question regarding Strengths, Opportunities &amp; Aspirations.</li> <li>X Adequate: Narrative information is provided regarding program review data and any internal/external environmental scan information, but is lacking analysis of data and/or does not fully address each guiding question regarding Strengths, Opportunities &amp; Aspirations. The section could be strengthened with more data and analysis.</li> <li>□ Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not analyze program review data and any internal/external environmental scan information and/or does not address each guiding question regarding Strengths, Opportunities &amp; Aspirations.</li> </ul>

	Comments:
Part 2B. Required for CTE	(Required for CTE only) External Data: Advisory Committee Recommendations & Labor Market Data  □ Exemplary: Both recommendations from the Advisory Committee and current relevant Labor Market Data are provided.  □ Adequate: Information is provided about an Advisory Committee, but recommendations are not evident and/or Labor Market Data is included, but does not seem current or relevant.  □ Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not include recommendations from the Advisory Committee and current relevant Labor Market Data.  X N/A: Not applicable for non-CTE areas  Comments:  □ REVISION REQUIRED
Progress towards SLO/PLO/OO Action Plans	Review and comment on progress toward past SLO/PLO/OO Analysis (Action Plans / Course Improvement Plans).  (List your past outcomes analysis (Action Plans) and progress towards those goals.)  Exemplary: Outcomes Analysis, Course Improvement Plans and/or Action Plans are clearly listed, along with brief commentary about progress and the current status of those plans.  X Adequate: Information is provided about SLOs/PLOs/OOs and Outcomes Analysis, Course Improvement Plans and/or Action Plans for the area, but Plans have not been clearly listed and/or progress is unclear.  Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not make evident that Course Improvement Plans and/or Actions Plans have been developed nor if progress has been made.  Comments:
2D. Progress towards past program review goals	Review and comment on progress towards past program review goals.  (List your past program review goals and progress towards those goals.)  Exemplary: Past program review goals are clearly listed, along with brief commentary about progress and the current status of those goals. X Adequate: Information is provided about past program review goals, but goals have not been clearly listed and/or progress is unclear.  Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not make evident what the past goals are nor if progress has been made.  Comments:

	□ REVISION REQUIRED
3	
D	Based on Part 2 above, please list program/area goals for 2023-2024.
Program Review Goals	<ul> <li>□ Exemplary: Each goal is connected to an ILO/PLO/SLO/OO and EMP Goal. The goals are student-centered and are connected to the analysis in Part 2 above. Steps to be taken to achieve the goals are delineated, as well as the measure of success for each.</li> <li>X Adequate: Goals are formed, and each is connected to an ILO/PLO/SLO/OO and EMP Goal, but not all goals are clearly connected to analysis in Part 2 and/or are not student-centered. Steps to be taken to achieve the goal and the measure of success are provided for each, but may be unclear or hard to understand.</li> </ul>
	☐ Improvement Needed: No response or goals listed are not connected to an ILO/PLO/SLO/OO and EMP Goal. Goals listed are actually resource requests, rather student-centered goals. Steps to be taken to achieve the goal and the measure of success are unclear or not provided.
	Comments:
	□ REVISION REQUIRED
1	
<b>4.</b>	Resource Requests that Support Program Needs (based on above analysis)
Resource Requests that Support Program Needs	□ Exemplary: Each resource request entered is clearly connected to and supports a goal from Part 3.  □ Adequate: Resource requests have been entered, but not all are clearly connected to goals from Part 3.  X Improvement Needed: No response or resource requests do not relate to goals from Part 3.
	□ N/A: No response because additional resources are not needed at this time and/or funding for resources exists from another source.
	Comments: Consider requesting funding for the FPD guest lectures
5.	
Program Data	Insert your Program Review Data here, as well as any other supporting data.
	<ul> <li>X Exemplary: Program Review Data and other supporting data (if applicable) is inserted, and is understandable and relevant to the report.</li> <li>□ Adequate: Program Review Data and other supporting data (if applicable) is inserted, but is difficult to understand and/or may not be clearly relevant to the report.</li> <li>□ Improvement Needed: No data is provided, or data provided is unreadable or does not support the report.</li> </ul>

Comments:	□ REVISION REQUIRED



On behalf of the Program Review Committee, we thank you for your time and effort in completing your Program Review report this year and for your ongoing efforts to continuously improve AVC's programs and services for our students. Your Program Review allows the rest of AVC to better understand your efforts and how they support the college overall.

**Department/Area/Division Name:** Psychology **Peer Reviewed By:** Wendy Stout, Gary Heaton-Smith

Part 1. Program Overview	Program Overview: Briefly describe how the program contributes to the district mission.  X Exemplary: A clear connection is described regarding how the program connects to and supports the district mission.  Adequate: The work of the program described, but it is not obvious how it contributes to the district mission.  Improvement Needed: No response or response does not connect the program to the district mission.
	Comments:  □ REVISION REQUIRED
Part 2A. Analyze the program review data	Analyze the program review data (retrieval instructions) focusing on equity and any internal/external environmental scan information (e.g., surveys, interviews, focus groups, advisory groups, licensure exam scores, & job placement) to identify the program Strengths, Opportunities & Aspirations:  Strengths and Accomplishments: (Guiding Question: What does your program/area do well, including capabilities and greatest accomplishments?)  Opportunities and Challenges: (Guiding Question: What does your program/area need to do better to support/improve student success?)  Aspirations: (Guiding Questions: What does your program/area want to be known for? What is a desired future?)  X Exemplary: Thoughtful analysis of program review data and any internal/external environmental scan information is included, with a thorough answer to each guiding question regarding Strengths, Opportunities & Aspirations.  Adequate: Narrative information is provided regarding program review data and any internal/external environmental scan information, but is lacking analysis of data and/or does not fully address each guiding question regarding Strengths, Opportunities & Aspirations. The section could be strengthened with more data and analysis.  Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not analyze program review data and any internal/external environmental scan information and/or does not address each guiding question regarding Strengths, Opportunities & Aspirations.

	Comments:
Part 2B. Required for CTE	(Required for CTE only) External Data: Advisory Committee Recommendations & Labor Market Data         □ Exemplary: Both recommendations from the Advisory Committee and current relevant Labor Market Data are provided.         □ Adequate: Information is provided about an Advisory Committee, but recommendations are not evident and/or Labor Market Data is included, but does not seem current or relevant.         □ Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not include recommendations from the Advisory Committee and current relevant Labor Market Data.         X N/A: Not applicable for non-CTE areas     Comments:  □ REVISION REQUIRED
Progress towards SLO/PLO/OO Action Plans	Review and comment on progress toward past SLO/PLO/OO Analysis (Action Plans / Course Improvement Plans).  (List your past outcomes analysis (Action Plans) and progress towards those goals.)    Exemplary: Outcomes Analysis, Course Improvement Plans and/or Action Plans are clearly listed, along with brief commentary about progress and the current status of those plans.  x Adequate: Information is provided about SLOs/PLOs/OOs and Outcomes Analysis, Course Improvement Plans and/or Action Plans for the area, but Plans have not been clearly listed and/or progress is unclear.    Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not make evident that Course Improvement Plans and/or Actions Plans have been developed nor if progress has been made.    Comments:   REVISION REQUIRED
2D. Progress towards past program review goals	Review and comment on progress towards past program review goals.  (List your past program review goals and progress towards those goals.)  X Exemplary: Past program review goals are clearly listed, along with brief commentary about progress and the current status of those goals.  Adequate: Information is provided about past program review goals, but goals have not been clearly listed and/or progress is unclear.  Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not make evident what the past goals are nor if progress has been made.  Comments:

	□ REVISION REQUIRED
<b>3.</b>	Based on Part 2 above, please list program/area goals for 2023-2024.
Program Review Goals	
	□ Exemplary: Each goal is connected to an ILO/PLO/SLO/OO and EMP Goal. The goals are student-centered and are connected to the analysis in Part 2 above. Steps to be taken to achieve the goals are delineated, as well as the measure of success for each.  X Adequate: Goals are formed, and each is connected to an ILO/PLO/SLO/OO and EMP Goal, but not all goals are clearly connected to analysis in Part 2 and/or are not student-centered. Steps to be taken to achieve the goal and the measure of success are provided for each, but may be unclear or hard to understand.
	☐ Improvement Needed: No response or goals listed are not connected to an ILO/PLO/SLO/OO and EMP Goal. Goals listed are actually resource requests, rather student-centered goals. Steps to be taken to achieve the goal and the measure of success are unclear or not provided.
	Comments: Please create more measurable goals
	$\Box$ REVISION REQUIRED
1	
<b>1.</b>	Resource Requests that Support Program Needs (based on above analysis)
Resource Requests that Support Program Needs	<ul> <li>□ Exemplary: Each resource request entered is clearly connected to and supports a goal from Part 3.</li> <li>X Adequate: Resource requests have been entered, but not all are clearly connected to goals from Part 3.</li> <li>□ Improvement Needed: No response or resource requests do not relate to goals from Part 3.</li> </ul>
	□ N/A: No response because additional resources are not needed at this time and/or funding for resources exists from another source.
	Comments: Not clearly tied to goals
	□ REVISION REQUIRED
5.	
Program Data	Insert your Program Review Data here, as well as any other supporting data.
	X Exemplary: Program Review Data and other supporting data (if applicable) is inserted, and is understandable and relevant to the report.  Adequate: Program Review Data and other supporting data (if applicable) is inserted, but is difficult to understand and/or may not be clearly relevant to the report.
	☐ Improvement Needed: No data is provided, or data provided is unreadable or does not support the report.

Comments:	□ REVISION REQUIRED



On behalf of the Program Review Committee, we thank you for your time and effort in completing your Program Review report this year and for your ongoing efforts to continuously improve AVC's programs and services for our students. Your Program Review allows the rest of AVC to better understand your efforts and how they support the college overall.

**Department/Area/Division Name:** Real Estate **Peer Reviewed By:** Wendy Stout, Gary Heaton-Smith

Part 1. Program Overview	Program Overview: Briefly describe how the program contributes to the district mission.  Exemplary: A clear connection is described regarding how the program connects to and supports the district mission.  Adequate: The work of the program described, but it is not obvious how it contributes to the district mission.  Improvement Needed: No response or response does not connect the program to the district mission.
	Comments:  REVISION REQUIRED
Part 2A. Analyze the program review data	Analyze the program review data (retrieval instructions) focusing on equity and any internal/external environmental scan information (e.g., surveys, interviews, focus groups, advisory groups, licensure exam scores, & job placement) to identify the program Strengths, Opportunities & Aspirations:  • Strengths and Accomplishments: (Guiding Question: What does your program/area do well, including capabilities and greatest accomplishments?)  • Opportunities and Challenges: (Guiding Question: What does your program/area need to do better to support/improve student success?)  • Aspirations: (Guiding Questions: What does your program/area want to be known for? What is a desired future?)    Exemplary: Thoughtful analysis of program review data and any internal/external environmental scan information is included, with a thorough answer to each guiding question regarding Strengths, Opportunities & Aspirations.    Adequate: Narrative information is provided regarding program review data and any internal/external environmental scan information, but is lacking analysis of data and/or does not fully address each guiding question regarding Strengths, Opportunities & Aspirations. The section could be strengthened with more data and analysis.    Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not analyze program review data and any internal/external environmental scan information and/or does not address each guiding question regarding Strengths, Opportunities & Aspirations.    Comments:

Part 2B. Required for CTE	(Required for CTE only) External Data: Advisory Committee Recommendations & Labor Market Data
	<ul> <li>Exemplary: Both recommendations from the Advisory Committee and current relevant Labor Market Data are provided.</li> <li>☐ Adequate: Information is provided about an Advisory Committee, but recommendations are not evident and/or Labor Market Data is included, but does not seem current or relevant.</li> <li>☐ Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not include recommendations from the Advisory Committee and current relevant Labor Market Data.</li> <li>☐ N/A: Not applicable for non-CTE areas</li> </ul> Comments:
Progress towards SLO/PLO/OO Action Plans	Review and comment on progress toward past SLO/PLO/OO Analysis (Action Plans / Course Improvement Plans).  (List your past outcomes analysis (Action Plans) and progress towards those goals.)
	<ul> <li>□ Exemplary: Outcomes Analysis, Course Improvement Plans and/or Action Plans are clearly listed, along with brief commentary about progress and the current status of those plans.</li> <li>□ Adequate: Information is provided about SLOs/PLOs/OOs and Outcomes Analysis, Course Improvement Plans and/or Action Plans for the area, but Plans have not been clearly listed and/or progress is unclear.</li> <li>□ Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not make evident that Course Improvement Plans and/or Actions Plans have been developed nor if progress has been made.</li> <li>Comments: Here you should list course improvement plans and progress to them.</li> <li>□ REVISION REQUIRED</li> </ul>
<b>2D.</b>	Review and comment on progress towards past program review goals.  (List your past program review goals and progress towards those goals.)
Progress towards past program review goals	<ul> <li>■ Exemplary: Past program review goals are clearly listed, along with brief commentary about progress and the current status of those goals.</li> <li>■ Adequate: Information is provided about past program review goals, but goals have not been clearly listed and/or progress is unclear.</li> <li>■ Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not make evident what the past goals are nor if progress has been made.</li> <li>Comments: The goals you have listed in 2C should be here and then a brief statement about progress towards each goal.</li> <li>■ REVISION REQUIRED</li> </ul>
<b>3.</b>	Based on Part 2 above, please list program/area goals for 2023-2024.
Program Review Goals	<ul> <li>■ Exemplary: Each goal is connected to an ILO/PLO/SLO/OO and EMP Goal. The goals are student-centered and are connected to the analysis in Part 2 above. Steps to be taken to achieve the goals are delineated, as well as the measure of success for each.</li> <li>■ Adequate: Goals are formed, and each is connected to an ILO/PLO/SLO/OO and EMP Goal, but not all goals are clearly connected to analysis in Part 2 and/or are not student-centered. Steps to be taken to achieve the goal and the measure of success are provided for each, but may be unclear or hard to understand.</li> <li>■ Improvement Needed: No response or goals listed are not connected to an ILO/PLO/SLO/OO and EMP Goal. Goals listed are actually resource requests, rather student-centered goals. Steps to be taken to achieve the goal and the measure of success are unclear or not provided.</li> </ul>

	Comments:
Resource Requests that Support Program	Resource Requests that Support Program Needs (based on above analysis)  Exemplary: Each resource request entered is clearly connected to and supports a goal from Part 3.  Adequate: Resource requests have been entered, but not all are clearly connected to goals from Part 3.  Improvement Needed: No response or resource requests do not relate to goals from Part 3.
Needs	N/A: No response because additional resources are not needed at this time and/or funding for resources exists from another source.
	Comments:
_	Insert your Program Review Data here, as well as any other supporting data.
Program Data	<ul> <li>Exemplary: Program Review Data and other supporting data (if applicable) is inserted, and is understandable and relevant to the report.</li> <li>Adequate: Program Review Data and other supporting data (if applicable) is inserted, but is difficult to understand and/or may not be clearly relevant to the report.</li> <li>Improvement Needed: No data is provided, or data provided is unreadable or does not support the report.</li> </ul>
	Comments:   REVISION REQUIRED



On behalf of the Program Review Committee, we thank you for your time and effort in completing your Program Review report this year and for your ongoing efforts to continuously improve AVC's programs and services for our students. Your Program Review allows the rest of AVC to better understand your efforts and how they support the college overall.

Department/Area/Division Name: Sociology

Part 1. Program Overview	Program Overview: Briefly describe how the program contributes to the district mission.  Exemplary: A clear connection is described regarding how the program connects to and supports the district mission.  Adequate: The work of the program described, but it is not obvious how it contributes to the district mission.  Improvement Needed: No response or response does not connect the program to the district mission.  Comments: Here you should have a clear connection as to how the program connects to and supports the district mission.
Part 2A. Analyze the program review data	Analyze the program review data (retrieval instructions) focusing on equity and any internal/external environmental scan information (e.g., surveys, interviews, focus groups, advisory groups, licensure exam scores, & job placement) to identify the program Strengths, Opportunities & Aspirations:  • Strengths and Accomplishments: (Guiding Question: What does your program/area do well, including capabilities and greatest accomplishments?)  • Opportunities and Challenges: (Guiding Question: What does your program/area need to do better to support/improve student success?)  • Aspirations: (Guiding Questions: What does your program/area want to be known for? What is a desired future?)    Exemplary: Thoughtful analysis of program review data and any internal/external environmental scan information is included, with a thorough answer to each guiding question regarding Strengths, Opportunities & Aspirations.    Adequate: Narrative information is provided regarding program review data and any internal/external environmental scan information, but is lacking analysis of data and/or does not fully address each guiding question regarding Strengths, Opportunities & Aspirations. The section could be strengthened with more data and analysis.    Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not analyze program review data and any internal/external environmental scan information and/or does not address each guiding question regarding Strengths, Opportunities & Aspirations.    Comments:

Dont 2D	(Required for CTE only) External Data: Advisory Committee Recommendations & Labor Market Data
Part 2B. Required for CTE	<ul> <li>□ Exemplary: Both recommendations from the Advisory Committee and current relevant Labor Market Data are provided.</li> <li>□ Adequate: Information is provided about an Advisory Committee, but recommendations are not evident and/or Labor Market Data is included, but does not seem current or relevant.</li> <li>□ Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not include recommendations from the Advisory Committee and current relevant Labor Market Data.</li> <li>□ N/A: Not applicable for non-CTE areas</li> <li>Comments: You provided good labor market data but no advisory committee recommendations are covered.</li> <li>□ REVISION REQUIRED</li> </ul>
2C.	Review and comment on progress toward past SLO/PLO/OO Analysis (Action Plans / Course Improvement Plans). (List your past outcomes analysis (Action Plans) and progress towards those goals.)
Progress towards SLO/PLO/OO Action Plans	<ul> <li>□ Exemplary: Outcomes Analysis, Course Improvement Plans and/or Action Plans are clearly listed, along with brief commentary about progress and the current status of those plans.</li> <li>□ Adequate: Information is provided about SLOs/PLOs/OOs and Outcomes Analysis, Course Improvement Plans and/or Action Plans for the area, but Plans have not been clearly listed and/or progress is unclear.</li> <li>□ Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not make evident that Course Improvement Plans and/or Actions Plans have been developed nor if progress has been made.</li> <li>Comments: This area should include course improvement plans and progress towards them this looks like goals</li> <li>□ REVISION REQUIRED</li> </ul>
2D.	Review and comment on progress towards past program review goals. (List your past program review goals and progress towards those goals.)
Progress towards past program review goals	Exemplary: Past program review goals are clearly listed, along with brief commentary about progress and the current status of those goals.  Adequate: Information is provided about past program review goals, but goals have not been clearly listed and/or progress is unclear.  Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not make evident what the past goals are nor if progress has been made.  Comments:
	☐ REVISION REQUIRED
3.	Based on Part 2 above, please list program/area goals for 2023-2024.
Program Review Goals	<ul> <li>□ Exemplary: Each goal is connected to an ILO/PLO/SLO/OO and EMP Goal. The goals are student-centered and are connected to the analysis in Part 2 above. Steps to be taken to achieve the goals are delineated, as well as the measure of success for each.</li> <li>□ Adequate: Goals are formed, and each is connected to an ILO/PLO/SLO/OO and EMP Goal, but not all goals are clearly connected to analysis in Part 2 and/or are not student-centered. Steps to be taken to achieve the goal and the measure of success are provided for each, but may be unclear or hard to understand.</li> <li>□ Improvement Needed: No response or goals listed are not connected to an ILO/PLO/SLO/OO and EMP Goal. Goals listed are actually resource requests, rather student-centered goals. Steps to be taken to achieve the goal and the measure of success are unclear or not provided.</li> </ul>

	Comments:
	☐ REVISION REQUIRED
1	Resource Requests that Support Program Needs (based on above analysis)
Resource Requests that Support Program Needs	Exemplary: Each resource request entered is clearly connected to and supports a goal from Part 3.  Adequate: Resource requests have been entered, but not all are clearly connected to goals from Part 3.  Improvement Needed: No response or resource requests do not relate to goals from Part 3.  N/A: No response because additional resources are not needed at this time and/or funding for resources exists from another source.  Comments:
	☐ REVISION REQUIRED
<b>_</b>	Insert your Program Review Data here, as well as any other supporting data.
Program Data	Exemplary: Program Review Data and other supporting data (if applicable) is inserted, and is understandable and relevant to the report.  Adequate: Program Review Data and other supporting data (if applicable) is inserted, but is difficult to understand and/or may not be clearly relevant to the report.  Improvement Needed: No data is provided, or data provided is unreadable or does not support the report.
	Comments:   REVISION REQUIRED