ANTELOPE VALLEY COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT

Lancaster, California

2004 MEASURE R GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

June 30, 2013

ANTELOPE VALLEY COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT

2004 MEASURE R GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS June 30, 2013

CONTENTS

INI	DEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT	1
ВА	ASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS:	
	BALANCE SHEET	3
	STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGE IN FUND BALANCE	4
	NOTES TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	5
то	THER REPORTS:	
	INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS	11



INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

To the Citizens' Bond
Oversight Committee
and the Board of Trustees
Antelope Valley Community College District

Report on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying basic financial statements of Antelope Valley Community College District (the "District") 2004 Measure R General Obligation Bonds activity included in the Capital Outlay Projects Fund of the District (the "Bond Fund") of the District as of and for the year ended June 30, 2013, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the District's Bond Fund basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these basic financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.

Opinion

In our opinion, the basic financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Bond Fund of Antelope Valley Community College District, as of June 30, 2013, and the changes in financial position for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Emphasis of Matter

As discussed in Note 1, the financial statements present only the Bond Fund and do not purport to, and do not, present fairly the financial position of the District as of June 30, 2013, and the changes in financial position for the year then ended, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Report on Other Legal and Regulatory Requirements

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated January 9, 2014 on our consideration of the District's internal control over financial reporting and on our test of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters for the Bond Fund. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on internal control over financial reporting or on compliance for the Bond Fund. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering Antelope Valley Community College District's internal control over financial reporting and compliance for the Bond Fund.

Crowe Horwath LLP

Crown Hours Cut

Sacramento, California January 9, 2014

ANTELOPE VALLEY COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT 2004 MEASURE R GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS BALANCE SHEET June 30, 2013

ASSETS		
Cash and cash equivalents (Note 2) Accounts receivable	\$	3,928,369 13,481
Total assets	<u>\$</u>	3,941,850
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE		
Liabilities: Accounts payable	\$	522,547
Commitments (Note 4)		

Restricted fund balance

Total liabilities and fund balance

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

3,419,303

\$ 3,941,850

ANTELOPE VALLEY COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT 2004 MEASURE R GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGE IN FUND BALANCE For the Year Ended June 30, 2013

Revenues: Interest income (Note 2)	<u>\$ 53,975</u>
Total revenues	53,975
Expenditures:	
Salaries and benefits	10,220
Contract services	372,989
Capital outlay	<u>6,692,355</u>
Total expenditures	7,075,564
Deficiency of revenues over expenditures	(7,021,589)
Restricted fund balance, July 1, 2012	10,440,892
Restricted fund balance, June 30, 2013	<u>\$ 3,419,303</u>

NOTE 1 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Antelope Valley Community College District (the "District") accounts for only the 2004 General Obligation Bond Resources, in the Capital Projects Fund ("Bond Fund"). Financial transactions are in accordance with policies and procedures of the State Chancellor's Office's *California Community Colleges Budget and Accounting Manual*. The accounting policies of the Bond Fund conform to generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America as prescribed by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB). The following is a summary of the significant accounting policies:

The Bond Fund was established to account for the expenditures of general obligation bonds issued under the General Obligation Bonds (Election of 2004, Series A, B, C and Refunding Bonds). The total authorized issuance amount of the bonds is \$139,000,000. Series A of the bonds were sold in April 2005, for \$30,000,000, Series B and C were sold in August 2007 for \$52,536,256 and \$56,460,276, respectively. In August 2006 Refunding Bonds were issued in the amount of \$24,336,792. These financial statements are not intended to present fairly the financial position and results of operations of the District in compliance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

<u>Basis of Accounting</u>: Basis of accounting refers to when revenues and expenditures or expenses are recognized in the accounts and reported in the financial statements. Basis of accounting relates to the timing of measurement made, regardless of the measurement focus applied.

The basic financial statements represent the Bond Fund of the District, a governmental type fund, and are presented on the modified accrual basis of accounting. Under the modified accrual basis of accounting, revenues are recorded when susceptible to accrual; i.e., both measurable and available. "Available" means collectible within the current period or within 60 days after year end. Expenditures are generally recognized under the modified accrual basis of accounting when the related liability is incurred.

<u>Cash and Cash Equivalents</u>: For the purpose of the financial statements, cash equivalents are defined as financial instruments with an original maturity of three months or less. Funds invested in the Los Angeles County Treasury are considered cash equivalents.

Restricted Fund Balance: Restricted fund balance includes resources which are legally or contractually restricted by external third parties. Fund balance is restricted for capital projects of the Capital Outlay Projects Fund in accordance with the Bond Project List for 2004 Measure R General Obligation Bonds.

<u>Accounting Estimates</u>: The presentation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions. These estimates and assumptions affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenditures during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

<u>Encumbrances</u>: Encumbrance accounting is used in all budgeted funds to reserve portions of applicable appropriations for which commitments have been made. Encumbrances are recorded for purchase orders, contracts, and other commitments when they are written. Encumbrances are liquidated when the commitments are paid. All encumbrances are liquidated as of June 30.

(Continued)

NOTE 2 - CASH AND INVESTMENTS

Cash and investments at June 30, 2013 consisted of \$3,928,369 held in the County Treasury investment pool.

The California Government Code requires California banks and savings and loan associations to secure the Bond Fund's deposits by pledging government securities as collateral. The market value of pledged securities must equal 110 percent of an agency's deposits. California law also allows financial institutions to secure an agency's deposits by pledging first trust deed mortgage notes having a value of 150 percent of an agency's total deposits and collateral is considered to be held in the name of the Bond Fund. All cash held by financial institutions is entirely insured or collateralized

<u>Credit Risk</u>: In accordance with Education Code Section 41001, the Bond Fund maintains substantially all of its cash in the Los Angeles County Treasury. The County Treasurer of Los Angeles County acts as the Measure R General Obligation Bond Fiscal Agent. The County pools these funds with those of other districts in the County and invests the cash. These pooled funds are carried at fair value. Interest earned is deposited quarterly into participating funds. Any investment losses are proportionately shared by all funds in the pool.

Because the Bond Fund's deposits are maintained in a recognized pooled investment fund under the care of a third party and the Bond Fund's share of the pooled investment fund does not consist of specific, identifiable investment securities owned by the District, no disclosure of the individual deposits and investments or related custodial credit risk classifications is required.

In accordance with applicable State laws, the Los Angeles County Treasurer may invest in derivative securities. However, at June 30, 2013, the Los Angeles County Treasurer has represented that the Treasurer's pooled investment fund contained no derivatives or other investments with similar risk profiles.

<u>Investments Authorized by Debt Agreements</u>: Investment of debt proceeds held by bond trustees are governed by provisions of the debt agreements, rather than the general provisions of the California Government Code or the District's investment policy. The table below identifies the investment types that are authorized for investments held by bond trustees. The table also identifies certain provisions of these debt agreements that address interest rate risk, credit risk, and concentration of credit risk.

		Maximum	Maximum
	Maximum	Percentage	Investment in
Authorized Investment Type	<u>Maturity</u>	Allowed	One Issuer
	-		
County Pooled Investment Fund	None	None	None

<u>Disclosures Relating to Interest Rate Risk</u>: Interest rate risk is the risk that changes in market interest rates will adversely affect the fair value of an investment. Generally, the longer the maturity of an investment, the greater the sensitivity of its fair value to changes in market interest rates. As of year end, no maximum average maturity of the investments contained in the County investment pool.

(Continued)

NOTE 2 - CASH AND INVESTMENTS (Continued)

Information about the sensitivity of the fair values of the District's investments to market interest rate fluctuations is provided by the following table that shows the maturity date of each investment:

	Weighted
	Average
	Maturity
Investment Type	(in Years)
Los Angeles County Investment Pool	1.73

<u>Concentration of Credit Risk</u>: The District's investment policy places limits on the amount it may invest in any one issuer. At June 30, 2013, the District had no concentration of credit risk.

NOTE 3 – GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND ISSUES

The election of 2004 General Obligation Bonds were authorized at an election of the registered voters of Antelope Valley Community College District held on November 2, 2010, at which more than 55% of the persons voting on the proposition voted to authorize the issuance and sale of not to exceed \$139,000,000 principal amount of general obligation bonds of the District. The bonds are included in the audited financial statements of the District. As of June 30, 2013, the District has issued \$138,996,532 of Measure R bonds.

In April 2005, the District issued the 2004 General Obligation Bonds, Series 2004A in the amount of \$30,000,000. The bonds mature beginning on August 1, 2006 through August 1, 2027 with interest yields ranging from 3.00 to 5.00 percent.

In August 2006, the District issued the 2006 General Obligation Refunding Bonds in the amount of \$24,336,792. The bonds consist of \$6,801,792 Capital Appreciation bonds maturing August 1, 2007 to August 1, 2016 with interest yields ranging from 3.65 to 4.25 percent and \$17,535,000 in Current Interest Term Bonds maturing on August 1, 2017 through August 1, 2022 with an interest yield of 5.00 percent. The purpose of these bonds is to refund the General Obligation Bonds, Election 2004, Series A bonds.

In August 2007, the District issued the 2004 General Obligation Bonds, Series 2004B & Series 2004C for \$52,536,256 and \$56,460,276, respectively. Series 2004B consists of \$12,231,256 Capital Appreciation bonds maturing August 1, 2032 to August 1, 2036 with an accretion rate of 5.63 to 5.67 percent and \$40,305,000 in Current Interest Term Bonds maturing August 1, 2037 to August 1, 2039 which yield 4.90 percent. Series 2004C consist of \$14,375,276 Capital Appreciation Bonds which mature August 1, 2027 to August 1, 2032 with an accretion rate of 5.51 to 5.63 percent and \$42,085,000 in Current Interest Serial Bonds which mature August 1, 2008 to August 1, 2026 with interest yields ranging from 4.00 to 5.25 percent.

The Bonds represent an obligation of the District payable solely from *ad valorem* property taxes levied and collected by the County of Los Angeles and Kern County on properties within the District. The Board of Supervisors of Los Angeles and Kern Counties have power and are obligated to annually levy *ad valorem* taxes for the payment of interest on, and principal of, the Bonds upon all property subject to taxation by the District without limitation of rate or amount, except as to certain personal property which is taxable at limited rates.

(Continued)

NOTE 3 – GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND ISSUES (Continued)

The general long-term liabilities maturity schedules are as follows:

2004 Current Interest General Obligation Bonds, Series A:

Year Ending <u>June 30,</u>		<u>Principal</u>	Interest	<u>Total</u>
2014 2015 2016	\$	375,000 460,000 550,000	\$ 44,785 29,650 10,656	\$ 419,785 489,650 560,656
	<u>\$</u>	1,385,000	\$ 85,091	\$ 1,470,091

2004 Current Interest General Obligation Bonds, Series B:

Year Ending June 30,	<u>Principal</u>	<u>Interest</u>	<u>Total</u>
2014	\$ -	\$ 2,116,013	\$ 2,116,013
2015	-	2,116,013	2,116,013
2016	-	2,116,013	2,116,013
2017	-	2,116,013	2,116,013
2018	-	2,116,013	2,116,013
2019-2023	-	10,580,065	10,580,065
2024-2028	-	10,580,065	10,580,065
2029-2033	-	10,580,065	10,580,065
2034-2038	12,345,000	10,255,994	22,600,994
2039-2040	27,960,000	1,497,563	 29,457,563
	<u>\$ 40,305,000</u>	\$ 54,073,817	\$ 94,378,817

2004 Capital Appreciation General Obligation Bonds, Series B:

Year Ending June 30,	<u>Principal</u>	<u>Interest</u>	<u>Total</u>
2033 2034-2037	\$ 2,367,371 <u>9,863,885</u>	\$ 7,057,629 35,576,115	\$ 9,425,000 45,440,000
	<u>\$ 12,231,256</u>	\$ 42,633,744	\$ 54,865,000

NOTE 3 – GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND ISSUES (Continued)

2004 Current Interest General Obligation Bonds Series C:

Year Ending <u>June 30,</u>	<u>Pr</u>	incipal	<u>Interest</u>	<u>Total</u>
2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019-2023 2024-2028	68 81 93 1,08 7,86	\$5,000 \$ \$0,000 \$5,000 \$6,000 \$5,000 \$6,000	2,003,156 1,976,700 1,945,038 1,904,451 1,854,076 8,198,174 2,994,750	\$ 2,568,156 2,656,700 2,755,038 2,839,451 2,934,076 16,063,174 31,374,750
	\$ 40,31	15,000 \$	20,876,345	\$ 61,191,345
2004 Capital Appreciation General Obligation I	Bonds, Series	: C:		
Year Ending <u>June 30,</u>	<u>Pr</u>	<u>incipal</u>	<u>Interest</u>	<u>Total</u>
2024-2028 2029-2033		74,315 \$ 00,962	5,790,685 27,884,038	\$ 8,765,000 39,285,000
	<u>\$ 14,37</u>	<u>75,277</u> \$	33,674,723	\$ 48,050,000
2006 Capital Appreciation General Obligation I	Refunding Bo	nds:		
Year Ending <u>June 30,</u>	<u>Pr</u>	incipal	<u>Interest</u>	<u>Total</u>
2014 2015 2016 2017	53 49	\$0,593 \$ \$6,598 93,495 59,590	766,405 857,453 1,168,457 717,705	\$ 1,346,998 1,394,051 1,661,952 1,377,295
	\$ 2,27	<u>70,276</u> \$	3,510,020	\$ 5,780,296

NOTE 3 – GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND ISSUES (Continued)

2006 Current Interest General Obligation Refunding Bonds:

Year Ending <u>June 30,</u>	<u>Principal</u>	<u>Interest</u>		<u>Total</u>
2014	\$ -	\$ 876,750	\$	876,750
2015	-	876,750		876,750
2016	-	876,750		876,750
2017	-	876,750		876,750
2018	2,230,000	821,000		3,051,000
2019-2023	<u> 15,305,000</u>	2,063,625		17,368,625
	<u>\$ 17,535,000</u>	<u>\$ 6,391,625</u>	<u>\$</u>	23,926,625

Total General Obligation Bonds Outstanding:

Year Ending June 30,		<u>Principal</u>		<u>Interest</u>		<u>Total</u>
2014	\$	1,520,593	\$	5,807,109	\$	7,327,702
2015		1,676,598		5,856,566		7,533,164
2016		1,853,495		6,116,914		7,970,409
2017		1,594,590		5,614,919		7,209,509
2018		3,310,000		4,791,089		8,101,089
2019-2023		23,170,000		20,841,864		44,011,864
2024-2028		31,354,315		19,365,500		50,719,815
2029-2033		13,768,333		45,521,732		59,290,065
2034-2038		22,208,885		45,832,109		68,040,994
2039-2040	_	27,960,000		1,497,563	_	29,457,563
	<u>\$</u>	128,416,809	\$ 1	161,245,365	<u>\$</u>	<u>289,662,174</u>

NOTE 4 – CONSTRUCTION COMMITMENTS

As of June 30, 2013, the District has approximately \$1.2 million in outstanding commitments on 2004 General Obligation Bond construction contracts.



INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

To the Citizens' Bond
Oversight Committee and the Board of Trustees
Antelope Valley Community College District

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the basic financial statements of Antelope Valley Community College District (the "District") 2004 Measure R General Obligation Bonds (the "Bond Fund") as of and for the year ended June 30, 2013, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the District's Bond Fund financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated January 9, 2014.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the District's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) of the Bond Fund to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control over Bond Fund financial reporting. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control over financial reporting for the Bond Fund.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the District's Bond Fund financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of the Bond Fund's compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under Government Auditing Standards.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance for the Bond Fund. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards in considering the entity's internal control and compliance for the Bond Fund. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

> Crown Howell UP Crowe Horwath LLP

Sacramento, California January 9, 2014