

Distance Education	and Technology Committee	Tuesday, April 13 , 2021
	Agenda	3:00 p.m 4:00 p.m., <u>Zoom Link</u>
Type of Meeting: Regular Please Review/Bring: Agenda H Perry Jehlicka, Faculty Co-chair Greg Bormann, VPAA Designee VACANT – ADMIN Council – Alex Parisky, ITS Management	e Dean ment Media Specialist (Ex-Officio) edia Specialist ve on n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n	3:00 p.m 4:00 p.m., <u>Zoom Link</u>
Oscar Sanchez, ASO Representa	tive	
Items	Ac	ction
I. Call to Order		
II. Approval of Agenda		
III. Opening Comments from Co-chairs		
IV. Open Comments from the Public		
V. Approval of Minutes	A. March, 23 th 2021 DETC Minutes (a	attachment)
VI. Discussion Items	A. Discussion on presentation by <u>Ees</u>	-
	 B. HyFlex Model Discussion – Perry Je C. Local POCR Review Process Update 	
	D. <u>AVC Online Update</u> – Perry Jehlicka	-
	E. Update on Faculty Training – Perry	
	F. Proctoring Service Recommendation	•
	G. Student Orientation Video Project	-

A. Recommend HonorLock as AVC Proctoring Service to Faculty Senate

VII. Action Items



	B. Approve Current Design for AVCONLINE Update
VIII. Adjournment	
NEXT MEETING:	
4/27/21	



Distance Education and Technology Committee Minutes		Tuesday, April 13 , 2021 3:00 p.m 4:00 p.m., <u>Zoom Link</u>	
Type of Meeting: Regular Please Review/Bring: Agenda Packet Perry Jehlicka, Faculty Co-chair P Greg Bormann, VPAA Designee P VACANT – ADMIN Council – Dean Alex Parisky, ITS Management P Mike Wilmes, Learning Management Media Specialist (Ex-Officio) ABSENT Ken Sawicki, ITS Alternative Media Specialist P Greg Krynen, IMC Representative P Dr. Scott Lee, AP&P ABSENT			
John Toth, Faculty Union <i>ABSENT</i> Sheri Langaman, Classified Union P May Sanicolas, Counseling <i>ABSENT</i> Jim Bowen, Career Tech Ed P Ken Lee, Rhetoric & Literacy P Dr. Ariel Tumbaga, Arts & Humanities P Dr. Scott Lee, Library <i>ABSENT</i> Mary Jacobs, Health & Safety Sciences P Ken Shahla, Math, Science & Engineering P Kimberly Barker, Social & Behavioral Sciences P Barry Green, Kinesiology & Athletics P Dr. Rona Brynin, Senator At-Large <i>ABSENT</i> Dr. Ed Beyer, Senator At-Large <i>P</i> Oscar Sanchez, ASO Representative P Isabelle Saber, VPAA P David Adams, Guest P			
Items I. Call to Order	Action		
I. Call to Order II. Approval of Agenda	3:01 pm Approved, unanimous		
III. Opening Comments from Co-chairs	Perry - will get more into it in the discussio Dean Bormann - none.	n items.	
IV. Open Comments from the Public	None		
V. Approval of Minutes	A. March, 23 th 2021 DETC Minutes (a	ttachment) - Approved, 1 abstension	



VI Discussion Items	A Discussion on anomatation by Foreworth, Ale Devict, Oracle for the
VI. Discussion Items	A. Discussion on presentation by <u>Eesysoft</u> – Alex Parisky - Open for discussion,
	what did everyone think of the product? Perry - since it's not an instructor
	driven program, may not work well. There could be issues with the union as
	well. But it was all administrator driven. Dr. Beyer - all the info from the
	analytics is great, could be very useful, but since it's not instructor driven, then
	it could be challenging. Dean Bormann - faculty had the power to determine
	what they saw, but it should be between the instructor and students. Will
	there only be one person on campus that can access/edit this info? Perry -
	may not work for us here at AVC. The info it provided is great, but it may come
	late and cause problems. Mary - in short classes, it could become a problem if
	the faculty doesn't know in a timely manner that a student is struggling. Dr.
	Beyer - does anyone see the value of having access to this software? Perry -
	No. Dr. Tumbaga - can it be changed to faculty driven? Dr. Beyer - presenter
	said not, it can't be changed.
	B. HyFlex Model Discussion – Perry Jehlicka - This is a discussion on not just our
	campus, but quite a few campuses right now. There are lots of people talking
	about this right now, and Perry was asked to bring this to the DETC, to create a
	group to look into this. Dr. Beyer - what is the official definition of this? Perry -
	that's kind of what this discussion is for, to build a definition. Dr. Beyer - how
	was this implemented? It never came to DETC or the senate. There are quite a
	few things wrong with this model, and it is not equitable. Not all faculty are
	able to do this to ensure success for students. Perry - the purpose of this is to
	put a group together, probably in the Fall, to work with AP&P to get this more
	defined. It's not valid if it never went past the Senate.
	Isabelle - this campus is not great with the 10+1. This is not considered a new
	modality. This was ok'd by the board, and was asked to bring back 50% of the
	students safely. If you don't agree with this, you don't have to teach Summer
	classes. We've lost 3,500 students, enrollment is way down. This is used to get
	people used to coming back to work. A slow, gradual return to campus. We
	are all here to serve students. Dr. Beyer - what about the success of the
	students? Isabelle - have you seen the data from the last year and success
	rates? Things are harder for the majority of our students in an online
	environment. Ken Lee - what about speech classes? Some are in person,
	others are over the camera. Grading them should be different. Isabelle - this is
	equality, not equity. You can ask your students to pre-record their speeches,
	but that's just an idea. I'm not telling any faculty how to teach their classes.
	Succession of the state of the



Students can choose online or in person modes for their classes. This should help to serve students better. Kim Barker - working with joint special populations. We see that some students are thriving during this pandemic, others are not. Equity is a very serious mission. This model can support it, and could help our students. The most important thing is our students. What would have been better? Dr. Beyer - following the correct procedures outlined in the college policies.

Isabelle - David Adams can corroborate the discussions between union and senate groups. It is the rep's jobs to bring the info back to their divisions and disseminate it. Found out later that it was not happening. Hoping that we can craft a decision making document that takes the matters of 10+1 into consideration, and decide how decisions are made and how input is received. Dr. Beyer - It is outlined in the administrative document. Isabelle - that is the first rung of the ladder. It hasn't been updated in quite some time. David Adams - I agree, this is a 10+1 issue. This has to be approved by the academic senate. My main concern is that the procedures are followed. The senate needs to discuss it and approve it or not. The senate hasn't officially talked or voted on this yet. Isabelle - if the administration wants to bring something forward, and they bring it to the Senate president, who else should they bring it to? Dr. Beyer - The college council. Isabelle - The composition and charge of that committee has changed drastically over past years. It is not chaired by legal counsel. Will continue to work with the faculty leadership. There are exceptions of course, and those have been approved. The main issue is that we are letting down our black and brown students and some of our faculty. This is the main focus right now. David Adams - this should be voted on by the senate, not decided by just Van Rider. Isabelle - this was decided that it was not a new modality, so that changed how this was handled and implemented. We need to try this first and see how it works for the faculty and students, then let them choose if they want to use it or not in the future. This is solely designed to create opportunities for our students. Perry - appreciate everybody's input. Should I gather more info on Hyflex and bring it to the next meeting?

C. Local POCR Review Process Update – Perry Jehlicka - In the final stages of reviewing the 3 faculty member's classes. It does involve some time, be warned. If you are interested, please reach out to Perry with questions. Dr. Beyer - maybe we're approaching this wrong, maybe we should get a core



	group of faculty to fully understand the process, etc before they review a
	course. There also needs to be motivation to help faculty come forward. Perry
	- that may not be a bad idea.
	D. AVC Online Update – Perry Jehlicka/Alex Parisky - action item.
	E. Update on Faculty Training – Perry Jehlicka/ Alex Parisky - going well, gotten
	lots of positive feedback. Hoping to get the second training ready soon too.
	F. Proctoring Service Recommendation Discussion – Perry Jehlicka - action item.
	G. Student Orientation Video Project – Perry Jehlicka - not quite done yet. Should
	be done in the next day or two.
	,
VII. Action Items	A. Recommend HonorLock as AVC Proctoring Service to Faculty Senate -
	approved, unanimous.
	B. Approve Current Design for AVCONLINE Update - approved, unanimous.
VIII. Adjournment	<u>4:11 pm</u>
NEXT MEETING:	
4/27/21	