

## **Peer Review Report 2012-13**

**Program Name: Institutional Advancement and Foundation**

### **Assessment of Comprehensive Self-Study Report**

**X**\_\_\_ The self-study reflects a thorough effort to present a well-documented review of the program; analysis of each data element is thorough, unbiased and accurate; conclusions, goals/objectives, planning and recommendations are well-substantiated by the analysis of the data; information indicates clear connections of the program to institutional learning outcomes and mission.

\_\_\_ The self-study presents adequate program information; analysis of data measures is provided; narrative information is provided and addresses the criteria; conclusions, goals/objectives, planning and recommendations relate to the analysis of data, institutional learning outcomes and mission.

\_\_\_ The self-study report is lacking in one or more areas and/or contains some inaccuracies. The report must be revised and resubmitted in order to meet the requirements of the program review process.

### **Comments about the self-study report**

Narration is supported by data provided throughout the report.

### **Recommendations to the program**

Submit future program review reports by the October 31 deadline.

The comprehensive report covers four years. Your report data and discussion repeatedly covered 10 – 15 years. Please focus more on the four years in review in your 2016 report.

4.1 Please include the number of survey respondents in future reports.

**The 2012-13 Institutional Advancement and Foundation self-study report has been reviewed and accepted by the Program Review Committee.**