

## **Outcomes Committee Minutes**

Monday, March 9, 2020

L - 201

3:00 - 4:30pm

Type of Meeting: Regular Note Taker: Wendy Stout

## **Committee Members:**

Glenn Haller, Faculty co-Chair Meeta Goel, Administrative Co-chair Svetlana Deplazes, Research Analyst LaDonna Trimble, Student Services Dean

Stacey Adams, Faculty Division Rep Tiesha Klundt, Faculty Area Rep Gary Heaton-Smith, Faculty Division Rep Cindy Hendrix, Faculty Division Rep Cynthia Lehman, Faculty Division Rep

Scott Lee, Faculty Area Rep

Fredy Aviles, Faculty Division Rep
Karen Heinzman, Faculty Division Rep
Candace Martin, Faculty Division Rep
Ronda Nogales, Faculty Division Rep
Joe Owens, Faculty Division Rep
Wendy Stout, Faculty Division Rep
Eugenie Trow, Faculty Division Rep
Jedidiah Lobos, Faculty Division Rep
Kaitlin Bessinger, Adjunct Rep
Benedict Ng, Student Rep

	Items	Person	Action
I.	Approval of Agenda		Action Taken: Moved and Approved with having James moved up to the front.  Items added:
II.	Opening Comments from the Chairs	Glenn/ Meeta	Action Taken: Glenn unhappy that this committee does not need to seem to exist and department chairs do everything. Just feels that this committee was left out of the process. For example the mapping is part of this committees jobs. Or the revision process. These things are the mission of this committee and others are doing what they want without using the process this committee has put into place.  Meeta feels like there is a purpose for this committee.



			Falls II. II.
			Follow Up Items:
III.	Approval of Minutes	Glenn	Action Taken: Moved and approved
			Follow Up Items:
IV.	Informational Item – Outcomes Committee	Glenn	Issues Discussed:
	Schedule 23, 2020; Apr. 13, 2020; Apr. 27, ; May 11, 2020		Action Taken:
	, <b>.,</b> ,		Follow Up Items:
V.	Action Items – New Approvals		<u>Issues Discussed:</u>
			Follow Up Items:
VI.	Discussion Item – Training of AP&P Task Force Members		Issues Discussed: The three people on the task force have asked what process they should go through to approve SLOs and such. One way is to approve split by division. The second method should be like the technical review committee in which they all review and approve each revision. Glenn asked what we think. Cindy believes that she feels they should look at them all together.
			There was concessions on them reviewing them all and that all three have to agree that they are approved. Glenn said he would have something written up so that we can approve it at the next meeting.
			Follow Up Items:
VII.	Discussion Item – Training Division Reps and		Issues Discussed:
	updating training materials		Glenn we can't do any training or make updates to material until we have training form the eLumen team. This will take more discussion.
			Follow Up Items:



VIII.	Discussion Items –	James	Januar Discussed:
	eLumen Updates	Dorn	Issues Discussed:  Two phases of the SLO side. Curriculum mapping in which there will be training for chairs and deans on the 13 <sup>th</sup> of this month. The members do not have the access to do this. This is done so everything is link between currunet and eLumen. This will also us to assess PLS, and ILOs.  The last part is "action plans" or the informational gather document. Also the reporting aspect. Chairs can run reports but don't know what set of reports.  Glenn asked about revision of SLOs and PLOs. James said her really was not the person to deal with the curriculum peace of eLumen. That would be Cheyanne or Katheryn (AP&P head).  Jedi asked if eLumen and Canvas are talking. The answer from James is that there is a way using spreadsheets. Easier use of this is being worked on.  Data downloads should go in in the next few weeks to build assessments (which is the file for SLOs to go in).  Curriculum revisions (SLO changes on the curriculum side) will show up on the SLO side of eLumen.  Stacy asked when we can get access to reports. Anyone can see the reports for the classes they thought. The training is in the process is in the works but the reports are there. It was also asked what the outcomes rep can see. James did not know.  Follow Up Items:
IX.	Discussion Items – Structure of Outcomes		Issues Discussed: We approved this in the last meeting. But again the drafts where attached to the agenda and Glenn has asked for another approval to send to the senate. Stacy stated there are a few expiration dates on the information sheet that are wrong. Glenn asked that Stacy let him know what those dates are. Adjunct facility is wrong as the person needs (Kaitlin Bessinger) to be added, Cindy's is wrong and should be 2021.  Department in under purpose to Institutional Learning Outcomes (ILOs) The LO Committee will determine a campus-wide process for the uniform implementation and assessment of Student



	ı	
		Learning Outcomes at the course, program, and department level. (Remove the word Student in this sentence).
		Remove this sentence: A Chair chairing the committee and overseeing that the functions of the LO Committee are met.
		The word Faculty is spelled wrong.
		Change Ensure that Student Learning Outcomes (SLOs) are connected to Institutional Learning Outcomes (ILOs) To
		Ensure that Student Learning Outcomes (SLOs) are connected to Program Learning Outomes (PLOs) and Institutional Learning Outcomes (ILOs)
		Follow Up Items:
		Moved and Approved.
	erm accreditation report	Issues Discussed: There is a meeting next Monday. Glenn hopes to have it written by the next meeting. To show we are looking at the handbook and that we are updating material. To answer how
		we are working to improve. The problem that he is having is
		with mapping. We have been waiting for the Mapping in
		eLumen to take place to move forward in this process on how to inform everyone.
		Some suggests where give on how to deal with this. Glenn will
		have something for this committee at the next meeting.
		Follow Up Items:
XI. Discus	ssion Items –	Issues Discussed:
Traini	ng reporting in eLumen	Tabled as we don't know how to do this.
		Follow Up Items:
	ssion Items – n Plans – new name	Issues Discussed:
	ed also new due date	Action plans will be moving to Program Review.
comi	ng?	The action plans that we can use now in eLumen allows for
		individuals to enter in their comments and action plans. This
		can then be seen in a report by the chair and used to help facilitate the action plan discussion.
		Because of this we need to have a new name for what we now call action plan.
		Several suggestions were given.



	Stacy Improvement plan or outcomes plan. However, if action plans are going to Program Review why are we having this discussion?
	We can revisit if the reorg does not go through.
	Date were discussed. Stacy feels that due dates for action plans should not be changed.
	Follow Up Items:
NEXT MEETING DATE: 3/23/2020	